

Britto, John

From: Abrams, Jeffrey
Sent: Monday, September 07, 2015 4:00 PM
To: Birch, Carol; Britto, John
Subject: FW: File OP.15.004 and Z.15.012

C 44	
COMMUNICATION	
CW (PH) -	SEPT 9/15
ITEM -	4

For PH

From: AGMastro@aol.com [mailto:AGMastro@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2015 12:07 PM
To: Bevilacqua, Maurizio <Maurizio.Bevilacqua@vaughan.ca>; Racco, Sandra <Sandra.Racco@vaughan.ca>; Di Biase, Michael <Michael.DiBiase@vaughan.ca>; Ferri, Mario <Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca>; Rosati, Gino <Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca>; Abrams, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Abrams@vaughan.ca>
Cc: Birch, Carol <Carol.Birch@vaughan.ca>
Subject: File OP.15.004 and Z.15.012

Mayor Bevilacqua & Members of Council
City of Vaughan,
Maple, Ontario

TO: ALL THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF OUR COUNCIL

RE: File No. OP.1.04and Z.15.012
APPLICATION TO SUBDIVIDE TWO LOTS IN CONCORD WEST
BALDWIN/SOUTHVIEW/ROCKVIEW
KEELE AND NO. 7 AREA

My family moved on Hillside Ave. in 1981. The area has already changed a lot in our 34 years in the area. We have seen increases in traffic, noise and people investing considerable amounts of money, like myself, in buying the smaller homes and building much larger homes suited for the larger lots. Fortunately, during all this, we have been able to maintain the character of our community which provides us with the peace and tranquility that make up for the items and services that we lack in our neighbourhood. We, do not have immediate services available to us, such as libraries, pools, churches, community centres, schools, doctors' offices and all other good things that belong in or close to a residential neighbourhood.

Consequently, I, and I think for the majority of my neighbours that I have spoken to, officially oppose the above captioned applications to amend the official plan and the severance of the lots. I don't agree that this is a minor variance in that it wants to change the Official Plan and therefore should not be look at in its microcosm but in the larger scope of what is happening in the entire area of the Official Plan. Should this be looked at when the Official Plan is review in its entirety? Our present designation in the Official plan is Low-Rise Residential and in the zoning by-law we are zoned **R1V Old Village Residential** This should be maintained and in fact should be entrenched in any future OP review.

We have to protect the character of our small community and this type of proposed smaller lots in our area is not compatible with the existing and is changing the existing policies in the Low-Rise designation.

The smaller lots which have been allowed around our OLD VILLAGE designated area, have been allowed as a buffer from the industrial uses/noises to the south; the noise, pollution and activity from the roads, highways and uses to the north east, west and south which surround us.

Elected members the proposed changes are **totally unacceptable!**, deny this application and please send a clear message to the public that the Concord West, **R1V Old Village Residential zoning** is here to stay.

Yours truly,

Alfredo G. Mastrodicasa, P.Eng.