

**Subject:**

FW: Dufferin Vista Ltd. 230 Grand Trunk Ave, L  
Z.16.016

<b>C 10</b>
<b>COMMUNICATION</b>
CW (PH) - <u>June 21/16</u>
ITEM - <u>3</u>

**From:** Richard Lorello <[rlorello@rogers.com](mailto:rlorello@rogers.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2016 10:10 AM

**To:** Abrams, Jeffrey

**Reply To:** Richard Lorello

**Cc:** Bevilacqua, Maurizio; Iafrate, Marilyn; Carella, Tony; DeFrancesca, Rosanna; Racco, Sandra; Di Biase, Michael; Ferri, Mario; Rosati, Gino; Shefman, Alan; Noor Javed; MacKenzie, John; Furio Liberatore; Anthony Percaccio; Coco Papoi; Adam Martin-Robbins; Craig, Suzanne

**Subject:** Dufferin Vista Ltd. 230 Grand Trunk Ave, Lot 17, Concession 3, Planning Block 18- File # Z.16.016

Happy first day of summer Mr. Abrams

Please post this communications in advance of the public hearing to be held on the evening of June 21

I am writing to express my opposition to this application on the basis that it is inconsistent with the City's long standing position on this property that it be preserved as environmentally sensitive green space. It is also contrary to Vaughan's Official Plan. The residents continue feel betrayed by Council's decision to support the applicant at the OMB to redesignate the property for low density development.

Nothing has really changed to support the City's position on these lands other than with the property's ownership. Many questions remain unanswered with this application due to the fact that leading up to the OMB hearing, most if not all meetings were held in closed session meetings regarding this property, leaving residents out of the loop to fend for themselves. This is totally unacceptable in a city that maintains that it values community engagement. We would still like to understand what Planning Staff's position was on this land. Did Council act contrary to Staff's advice or did Council over rule Staff's advice with a political decision?

Should council choose to support the applicant yet again to re-zone the property to accomodate 100 plus townhouses, it will further undermine the City of Vaughan's reputation to protect enviromentally sensitive lands and further promotes the negative image that the City of Vaughan and several members of council supports the development sector at all costs, to the detriment of existing residents and our natural heritage.

I ask Council to reject this re-zoning application and act responsibly for the residents and our natural heritage.

Sincerely  
Richard T. Lorello