

C 28	
COMMUNICATION	
CW (PH) -	April 5/16
ITEM -	5

From: Racco, Sandra
Sent: April-04-16 8:28 PM
To: 'Natasha Latysh'
Cc: Caputo, Mary; Peverini, Mauro; Uyeyama, Grant; MacKenzie, John; Abrams, Jeffrey; Furfaro, Cindy
Subject: RE: modification to Vaughan Official Plan 2010 for April 5th public hearing
Attachments: PL111184-MAR-09-2016 (2).pdf

Dear Nataliya and Konstanting,

Thank you, I do appreciate your comments made however please note that any landowner can make an application to the City to develop his or her parcel of land. The applicant is expected to undertake due diligence and responsibility to show to the City that their application does not negatively impact the existing community and is guided by the Vaughan Official Plan that has been approved by Council. In this particular circumstances, the recent OMB decision released over an appeal made by the landowner has changed the designation of the lands in question from *Natural Area* to a combination of *Low Density Residential*, *Low Density Residential Special Study Area* and *Natural Area* (I have enclosed a copy of the OMB decision for your review).

And now as you know, an application for a Draft Plan of Subdivision has come forward and will be dealt with tomorrow night (Tuesday, April 5th) during the Public Hearing Committee meeting scheduled for 7:00 pm in Council Chamber at the Vaughan City Hall.

The intent of the public hearing is to receive comments from the public, whether it's an individual, a business or an agency. You have the opportunity to make deputation in front of staff and Committee members (i.e. Council members), where they will listen intently and take notes on all the issues arising from the comments. Should you or your neighbours not able to attend the meeting, you are also welcome to submit a letter or e-mail (like what you have done here) or any other form of communications to the City and they will become part of the public record. Please note that NO DECISION will be made at this meeting however, often after the public meeting, staff will meet with applicant to go over the various issues raised and ask applicant to take whatever action necessary to resolve them, including providing the necessary studies and/or technical reports and only when staff is satisfied that the applicant has met the City's standard, will staff proceed to write a technical report with recommendations to bring forward for Council's consideration.

I am sorry that you won't be able to attend the meeting but your e-mail has been submitted to the City Clerk as part of the public record for this file. A letter was sent out in the mail from my office to the neighbouring residents detailing the chronology of the OMB case and the decision last week, I trust you are in receipt of that letter. The planner who is assigned to this application is Mary Caputo. Should you have further questions regarding this application, please feel free to contact Mary or myself.

In the meantime, I wish you a pleasant evening!!!

Sandra Young Racco, B. Mus.Ed., A.R.C.T.
 Councillor, Concord/North Thornhill
 City of Vaughan

"For the Community"

To subscribe to Councillor Racco's e-newsletter, please click [here](#).

Visit [Racco's Community Forum](#) on Facebook.

Please visit my new website www.4myCommunity.ca



"Don't be distracted by criticism. Remember that the only taste of success some people have is when they take a bite out of you"

From: Natasha Latysh [<mailto:natasha.latysh@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2016 4:28 PM

To: Racco, Sandra

Subject: modification to Vaughan Official Plan 2010 for April 5th public hearing

Dear Sandra

My name is Nataliya Latysh, my husband name is Konstanting Teslenko. We reside at 23 Maurier blvd Maple L6A 0T9.

We are contacting you regarding public hearing related to modification to Vaughan Official Plan 2010 and change in land designation from Natural Areas to Low Rise residential (scheduled on April 5th 2016). Unfortunately we are unable to attend. However we would like to express strong objection to proposed changes

- 1) We believe that not enough space was dedicated to Natural area in our subdivision even as per 2010 plan. Further reduction of Natural areas is unacceptable
- 2) We believe that tax dollars that we pay to city should not be invested in special study about destroying nice natural setting and cutting mature trees
- 3) We believe that city should revise policies related to density population in new development areas. Houses are squeezed as sardines – huge houses on super small lots, increased number of townhouses etc. New subdivisions not only look ugly. Commute is getting bigger and bigger issue: Differing, Rutherford, Major Mackenzie, Keel are jammed, GO trains packed, there is not enough Go parking space at Rutherford and Major Mackenzie

We hope our opinion matters

Thank you

Nataliya Latysh

Konstanting Teslenko