20

## DEPUTATION – MR. ADAM GORNY WITH RESPECT TO THE PARKING BY-LAW

The Committee of the Whole recommends that the deputation of Mr. Adam Gorny, and Communications C2, dated September 13, 2012, and C7, be received.

## Magnifico, Rose

Subject:

Deputation

ca Communication CW: November 6, 2012 Item:



From: Adam Gorny [mailto:adgor312@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 11:41 AM To: Magnifico, Rose Subject: Fwd: Deputation

Good Morning Ms Magnifico

I was advised by the "Complant officer" to complain to the council if I do not like his decision which I intend to do. The By-law under which violation was issued reads contrary to his decision. I have spent time and money (fine) on this issue and I intend to speak to council and have my money reimbursed.

As for the other issue, I have attempted to point out to your traffic department the problem with the new :"No Parking" zone in front of our residence. So far it has resulted in one parking violation, issued after 7 Pm. Since my elected official has decided that the issue is not worth his attention I need a council to amend the new Zone.

Other factors in play begin to create the picture of the harassment, for which we may seek other help. Adam Gorny, P.Eng.

From: Adam Gorny [mailto:adgor312@gmail.com] Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 3:53 PM To: Abrams, Jeffrey Subject: Deputation

Greetings Mr. Abrams;

I wish to appear on front of the Committee of the Whole in order to make a two fold deputation. To that end, I may require on extended time.

One, as hinted to by a "Dispute Official" in the enforcement department is connected in gross misapplication of the City By-law 1-96 in fact misreading it in order to generate summons revenue.

The second is to dispute and suggest alternative to a No-parking zone instituted in front of my residence. As a result, over-eager meter maids now issue notices

I understand that the next meetings will be in October, please let me know the date.

Adam Gorny, P.Eng.

This e-mail, including any attachment(s), may be confidential and is intended solely for the attention and information of the named addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify me immediately by return e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission from your computer, including any attachment(s). Any unauthorized distribution, disclosure or copying of this message and attachment(s) by anyone other than the recipient is strictly prohibited.

Dear members of the council



I am here to discuss a newly instituted "No Parking" area in front of my residence. I have attempted to speak to my Councilor, Shefman, but he told me that it to petty for him. He deals only with big issues. Mr. Gord Sherman, who came to see me this past month wasn't of much help either.

My residence is adjacent to Brownridge Public School, and cars park in front of it, morning, noon, and at 3 :00 Pm. The study, on which the No Parking area was established, is incomplete, for my purpose.

It deals with left turns onto Brownsridge, during school hours I assume, and obscured visibility. I tend to agree, if indeed my assumption is correct. The study doesn't provide that detail.

My issue is one car parked, after hours, say between 5:00Pm and 10:00 Pm. During a week and sometime during a weekend.

It is an issue of a enjoyment of ones residence, and convenience of dropping grocery off. In early June when this new law came to effect, I have asked to give us an area, with the sign, allowing parking in the designated period.

No positive response. Same after Mr. Sherman's visit.

IN THE MEANTIME, hundreds of cars a week park in front of my residence between 8:00 Am and 3:00 Pm with no penalty. Some cars park in other times as well. WITH NO PENALTY.

WE GOT TICKETED. Now we park far away. In fact, as a result of this change I got a ticket on Sunday 7:00 morning for parking on the grass of my house, on the Zahavy side. By-law 1-96, on bases of wchich I have received a ticket is poorly worded and ambiguous. I may follow Mr. Sherman's suggestion to have a judge untangle it. **BUT, I AM COMPELED TO MAINTAIN THE GRASS.** 

## Here is what I want;

1 .Install permanent Enforcement officers in front of my residence, from 8:00 Am to 10;00 Pm, specially 3;00 Pm and issue tickets to all offenders. Or deputise me to take pictures of the licence plates and provide them to your enforcement services, to issue tickets. OR

2. We will provide you with licence plate numbers of MAXIMUM three cars to be allowed to park in the limited, traffic free times, without being ticketed.

## OR

3. Since ALL EXCEPT US can park in front of our residence, I will call it a harassment and prevention of enjoyment of residence. That becomes a Constitutional issue, that a Superior Court may have to decide on.

My problem rings bells of a mess this City got into in 2009. In fact I was one of the presenters at that time as well. I had to do with private places of worship, and interestingly enough, the issue came about due to a mess of designated parking and times of exclusion. One would assume that in 3 years City has hired a competent traffic engineer. I GUESS NOT.