CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 9. 2014

Item 37, Report No. 41, of the Committee of the Whole, which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on December 9, 2014.

37

SIGN VARIANCE APPLICATION
FILE NO: SV.14-013
OWNER: T-STAR CORP.
LOCATION: 1600 LANGSTAFF ROAD
LOT 38, PLAN 65M-2043
WARD 4

The Committee of the Whole recommends:

- 1) That consideration of this matter be deferred to the Council meeting of January 20, 2015, to allow further consultation with the applicant; and
- 2) That the deputation of Mr. Just Cole, Steel Art Signs Corp, Esna Park Drive, Markham, be received.

Recommendation

The Sign Variance Committee recommends:

1. That Sign Variance Application SV.14-013, T-Star Corp., be REFUSED.

Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

Economic Impact

None

Communications Plan

The results of this application will be communicated to the applicant through the Secretary to the Sign Variance Committee.

Purpose

The applicant is requesting to erect one (1) additional wall sign on an existing elevation where a current wall sign exists for the same tenant.

Background - Analysis and Options

Section 6 – General Provisions for All Signs

- 6.1 Limit on Number of signs
 One (1) only of the following sign types per exterior wall per business premises:
 a) Wall sign
- 6.2 Compliance with Site Development Agreements

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

This report is consistent with the priorities previously set by Council and the necessary resources have been allocated and approved.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 9. 2014

Item 37, CW Report No. 41 - Page 2

Regional Implications

Region of York Engineering approval is not required for the proposed signs.

Conclusion

The Wall elevation would permit a sign up to 20 sq.m. Although the proposed sign and existing wall sign combined is 6.64 sq.m, it does not meet the minimum height requirement of 2.44m above the finished floor level immediately below such sign. In addition, staff have concurred that the support of an additional sign for the purpose of advertising a phrase rather than business identification is unnecessary and creates excessive signage, and would not be keeping with the intent and purpose of the sign bylaw. The applicant currently uses two pylon signs, one wall sign, directional and window signs to assist with conducting their day to day operations.

If Council finds merit in the application a Sign Permit issued by the Building Standards Department is required.

Attachments

- 1. Site Plan
- 2. Sketch of Sign

Report prepared by:

Dave Madore Supervisor-Licensing & Permits Division, City Clerk's Office Ext. 8679

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE DECEMBER 2, 2014

SIGN VARIANCE APPLICATION

FILE NO: SV.14-013 OWNER: T-STAR CORP.

LOCATION: 1600 LANGSTAFF ROAD

LOT 38, PLAN 65M-2043

WARD 4

Recommendation

The Sign Variance Committee recommends:

1. That Sign Variance Application SV.14-013, T-Star Corp., be REFUSED.

Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

Economic Impact

None

Communications Plan

The results of this application will be communicated to the applicant through the Secretary to the Sign Variance Committee.

<u>Purpose</u>

The applicant is requesting to erect one (1) additional wall sign on an existing elevation where a current wall sign exists for the same tenant.

Background - Analysis and Options

Section 6 - General Provisions for All Signs

- 6.1 Limit on Number of signs
 - One (1) only of the following sign types per exterior wall per business premises:
 - a) Wall sign
- 6.2 Compliance with Site Development Agreements

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

This report is consistent with the priorities previously set by Council and the necessary resources have been allocated and approved.

Regional Implications

Region of York Engineering approval is not required for the proposed signs.

Conclusion

The Wall elevation would permit a sign up to 20 sq.m. Although the proposed sign and existing wall sign combined is 6.64 sq.m, it does not meet the minimum height requirement of 2.44m above the finished floor level immediately below such sign. In addition, staff have concurred that the support of an additional sign for the purpose of advertising a phrase rather than business

identification is unnecessary and creates excessive signage, and would not be keeping with the intent and purpose of the sign bylaw. The applicant currently uses two pylon signs, one wall sign, directional and window signs to assist with conducting their day to day operations.

If Council finds merit in the application a Sign Permit issued by the Building Standards Department is required.

Attachments

- 1. Site Plan
- 2. Sketch of Sign

Report prepared by:

Dave Madore Supervisor-Licensing & Permits Division, City Clerk's Office Ext. 8679

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Madore Chair, Sign Variance Committee

/as



