Magnifico, Rose

SPECIAL C W
COMMUNICATION

C4

Date: Nov 26/4TEM NO. 2

Subject: Attachments: Item 2 closed meetings November 26 2014 November 26item2.doc

From: Carrie Liddy < carrie.liddy@sympatico.ca Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2014 11:29 AM

To: Bevilacqua, Maurizio; Racco, Sandra; Iafrate, Marilyn; Schulte, Deb; DeFrancesca, Rosanna; Carella, Tony; Shefman,

Alan; Abrams, Jeffrey; Michael Di Biase; Rosati, Gino **Subject:** Item 2 closed meetings November 26 2014

Please see the attached written deputation for item 2 November 26 2014.

The closed meeting report of earlier this week and the previous report are clear that these two closed meetings were illegal.

I urge Council to act properly and bring new council resolutions to address the matters and discuss in OPEN session.

STOP using taxpayer money to hire lawyers to cover up illegal closed meetings.

November 26 2014

Item 2: COW and Council meetings of today

Dear Mayor and Members of Vaughan Council

The closed meeting report is clear . The secret closed meeting in question was an illegal meeting

I brought this to your attention and raised this issue during the OMB non-hearing, where the City filed a motion preventing from the appeal being heard.

There were over 40 closed meetings held regarding the Vaughan hospital. That includes the zoning bylaw, the land agreement, and cost sharing agreement. Each one was referred to closed session under the same reference used in the closed meeting ruled illegal by Amberley Gavel.

I remind you that the closed meeting report of March 31 2009 has yet to be addressed. Accepting a report and repeating the same violations five years later is proof that this council does whatever it wants, regardless of the legality. The consequences are huge amounts of taxpayer money are used to pay lawyers to defend actions of Vaughan Council that are (through this report) proven to be illegal.

As you all know, I have now filed an appeal with Divisional Court asking the court to either render the zoning bylaw void or send the matter back to the OMB, and one of the nine items that I am appealing is the fact the bylaws were <u>passed in closed session</u>. I will be adding this report to my Divisional Court appeal to provide even more evidence that the SCC decision with regards to holding illegal closed meetings applies to my appeal.

Of course this appeal uses a huge amount of taxpayer resources, when the proper thing to do would bring public hearings and properly discuss in OPEN session ALL the matters you illegally held in closed session.

I strongly urge you to act to stop using taxpayer money to hire lawyers to defend against the citizens of Vaughan and act properly.

Thank you