Mr. Mario Pacitto 17 Fiorello Court Vaughan, ON L4H 0V4

August 30, 2012

## DELIVERED BY EMAIL: Janice.heron@vaughan.ca

Enforcement Services Department City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

### Attention: Janice Heron

Dear Madam:

Reconsideration for a Request for Fence Height Exemption -25 Fiorello Court, Vaughan RE: Application Denied by Council - June 26, 2012

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated August 24, 2012, with respect to the City's reconsideration of the above noted application.

As per our previous letter, which was submitted on May 16, 2012 in opposition to the aforementioned application (see attached), we are once again, writing to voice our opposition to the application. In particular, we are confused as to why there should be a reconsideration of the application given that we have not been notified of the particulars of the additional information, which forms the basis for the reconsideration.

As such, we oppose the fence height exemption for the same reasons highlighted in our letter of May 16<sup>th</sup>. In addition, we wish to advise you that we our currently in the process of having the fencing contractor return to our property to correct the issue with the height of the fence and would appreciate it if Council would stand behind their decision of June 26, 2012 so that we can have the issue corrected.

Thank you.

Yours very truly,

Guille Mario Pacitto

Mr. Mario Pacitto and Mrs. Filomena Pacitto 17 Fiorello Court Vaughan, ON L4H 0V4

May 16, 2012

### DELIVERED BY EMAIL: Janice.heron@vaughan.ca

Enforcement Services Department City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

#### Attention: Janice Heron

Dear Madam:

RE: Request for Fence Height Exemption - 25 Fiorello Court, Vaughan

We, Mario and Filomena Pacitto, are property owners of 17 Fiorello Court and as such, adjoining neighbours to 25 Fiorello Court, the subject property of the above noted exemption request.

As adjoining property owners, we oppose the fence height exemption and would like to voice our concerns with the request for the proposed fence height exemption at 25 Fiorello Court.

At the time we contracted with Galaxy Fencing to complete the fence, all adjoining property owners, including the owners of 25 Fiorello Court agreed that the fence height for all properties would be six feet, as is stipulated in the contract with Galaxy. Unfortunately, at the time the fence was installed we were our of the country and were unable to voice our displeasure with the manner in which the fence was installed by Galaxy, in particular, the height of the fence. However, upon our return, we immediately notified all of our adjoining neighbours as well as Galaxy, that the fence was not completed properly due to the increased height of the fence.

Our concern is that the space between our side yard and that of 25 Fiorello Court is very narrow and to construct a fence that is greater than six feet, which is the maximum height under the current by-law, only makes matters worse, as the space feels very dark and enclosed. Furthermore, since our house is the smallest house of all the surrounding lots and is also a bungalow, the increased fence height makes our lot appear even smaller.

We feel that the current fence By-Law 80-90, was created to ensure uniformity amongst property owners and serves as a basis for architectural control. If the height of the fence is raised it will not only change the appearance of our house but it will change the visible appearance and feeling of the streetscape. We do not feel it is appropriate to have an exemption granted if we are not in agreement as the fence clearly affects the both of us equally. As such, it is only fair to enforce the current by-law so that all property owners are treated fairly.

In addition, we would like to advise you that all of the affected property owners namely, Vittorio and Tuccia Ferrari (15 Sangria Court), and the property owner of 11 Fiorello Court, as well as the fence contractor, Galaxy Fencing, have come to an agreement, whereby Galaxy will be cutting the fence height down to six feet for the rear lot and the lot on the opposite side of our house, as per the current by-law and the terms which were initially agreed upon when Galaxy was contracted to install the fences. (Please find attached a copy of the Contract) As a result, we are appealing the request for a fence height exemption as this would result in us having a higher fence on one side of our house when compared to the opposite side and the rear yard fence.

Based on the above, we are appealing to the Corporation of the City of Vaughan and the Committee of The Whole, to enforce the current by-law and act in the best interests of the current and future residents and to not grant the fence height exemption.

Yours very truly.

Mario Pacitic

- Jacitto

Filomena Pacitto



CHAUDIO 416-791-0271 CAINER 416-809-1926

Galaxy Fencing (905) 451-1663

Work Order

Client Name: MARIO	Date: 1/9/11
Client Address:	
Phone Number:	Alt Number:
<u>Fost Size:</u> 4x4 / 5x5 6z <u>Height of Fence:</u> 3ft / 4ft / 5ft 6ft / 7ft / 8	5 <u>Fence Style:</u> Private / Alt / Lattice Board-on-Board Tongue n' Grove / <sup>t/</sup> <u>Material:</u> PT (Cedar ) Trex / WI
<u>Site Lavout</u> House	Quantity and Cost    Shared Feet  Shared    Winshared     # of Gates     Dirt Rem.     @\$/FT = \$    Dirt Rem.     # of Gates /FT = \$
GST# 803 203 876 RT 0001 S5% payment due after post installa	Notes/ $2x8$ SCALCOP <u>FRAME</u> /TC = \$ 13/RH 9724 H.S.T. = \$ $\frac{13}{7.06/17}$ 1264. 12 H.S.T. = \$ $\frac{17.766/17}{1264.12}$ H.S.T. = \$ $\frac{17.766/17}{1264.12}$ H.S.T. = \$ $\frac{17.766/17}{1264.12}$ Total = \$ $\frac{17.7871}{17.7871}$
<i>(</i> ) <i>(</i> )	Method of Payment:
Date: <u>7/2 [] [</u> 2	Sistomer Signature:

•



August 31<sup>st</sup>, 2012

HAND DELIVERED

Mayor and Members of Council City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

RECEIVED	-
AUG 3 1 2012	
CITY OF VAUGHAN CLERKS DEPARTMENT	



ATTENTION: CLERKS DEPARTMENT

# RE: OBJECTION TO REQUEST FOR FENCE HEIGHT EXEMPTION LOT 35 & 31 FIORELLO COURT REGISTERED PLAN 65M-4106

Arista Homes is the builder of all the homes on Fiorello Court and of 50% of the homes in the Vaughan Valley Estates subdivision.

We oppose this fence height exemption based on the following:

We, along with the City have worked very hard to produce Architectural Design and Control Guidelines which would make this subdivision exclusive in its design and character in the City of Vaughan.

Within these architectural guidelines we further enhanced the subdivision's appearance by establishing the lots north of Stanton Avenue as an executive residential enclave. Both of the above mentioned homes are located within this enclave and have benefited by these stringent guidelines.

Section 7.6 of the Block 40 south Architectural Design Guidelines deals with corner lot privacy fencing and stipulates a maximum height of 6' or 1.8m. Also, as you know the City's current fence By-Law 80-90 stipulates the same maximum height.

.../2