COMMUNICATION
CW: VLUNO 16[15]

Item: 10

Please refer to: **Katarzyna Sliwa** e-mail: katarzynas@davieshowe.com direct line: 416.263,4511 File No. 702275



Davies Howe Partners LLP

Lawyers

The Fifth Floor 99 Spadina Ave Toronto, Ontario M5V 3P8

T 416.977.7088 F 416.977.8931 davieshowe.com June 15, 2015

By E-Mail Only to jeffrey.abrams@vaughan.ca

Mr. Jeffrey Abrams City Clerk City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

Attention: Mayor Bevilacqua and Council Members

Your Worship and Members of Council:

Re: Committee of the Whole ("Committee") Meeting, June 16, 2015
Natural Heritage Network Study ("NHN Study")
Natural Heritage Network Inventory and Improvements
Study Completion and Recommended Amendment to the
Vaughan Official Plan 2010 (the "Proposed Amendments")
Teston Green Landowners Group ("Block 27")

We write on behalf of Block 27 and its constituent landowner group members as listed in Schedule "A" to this letter.

Since the Committee's meeting on April 14, 2015 our clients and their consultants have had several meetings with City Staff. Progress was made with respect to eight matters – some minor text and definition revisions, and others more significant.

There remain four significant areas of disagreement. We respectfully ask that Staff be directed to continue to meet with our clients and our clients' consultants. We also ask that the Proposed Amendments, Compensation Protocol and NHN mapping be considered at the same time as part of a comprehensive Official Plan Amendment ("OPA").

Our clients' concerns are as follow:

 Definition of Significant Valleylands and Corridors, 3.2.3.4: Staff have treated all valleylands as "significant" without justification. In addition, valley "corridor" has been defined in a way that may result in extending it well beyond the physical limits of the valley.



Davies Howe Partners LLP The only reference to significant valleylands in the Vaughan Official Plan 2010 is in the definition of "Valley and Stream Corridor" which indicates that further clarification will be provided through the NHN Study and future development applications. The NHN Study does not provide clarity or an explanation, technical or otherwise, for declaring all valleylands significant.

The City is required to provide this clarification by the *Provincial Policy Statement*, 2014 ("PPS"). It differentiates between "valleylands" and "significant valleylands" (i.e., the latter exhibiting important physical/hydrological/ecological attributes and functions and representing the best examples in a given geographic area).

The Natural Heritage Reference Manual clearly states that "the identification and evaluation of significant valleylands based on the recommended criteria from the Ministry of Natural Resources is the responsibility of planning authorities". Staff are - without explanation or justification - treating all valleylands as significant in the context of the PPS, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan ("ORMCP") and the Greenbelt Plan. This is a clear example of the NHN Study stepping outside of the terms of reference.

Therefore, inclusion of the following additional text at the end of policy 3.2.3.4 is unjustified and without demonstrated merit - that "All valley corridors in Vaughan are significant valleylands".

Furthermore, the TRCA's definition of "stream corridors" has been used by Staff; however, this is not the same as "permanent and intermittent streams" as defined by the Province. Stream corridors include "depressional features ... whether or not they contain a watercourse". Therefore a "stream corridor" goes well beyond the definition of a "permanent and intermittent stream" because it includes ephemeral drainage features, dry swales and agricultural rills.

The policy should mirror the corresponding *Greenbelt Plan* provision, if not word for word, then at least in intent. Our clients' consultants have recommended that policy 3.2.3.4. a) ii) be revised as follows to provide clarity:

- ii. a minimum 30 metre vegetation protection zone from the feature limit significant valleylands [assuming that these are differentiated from valleylands] and permanent and intermittent streams within the Oak Ridges Moraine and Greenbelt Plan Areas.
- 2. Compensation for Non-Significant Woodlands: Staff's recommendation requires compensation for non-significant woodlands (i.e. between 0.2 and 0.5 hectares in size) and indicates that there must be a "net gain" in woodland area. This is not consistent with the policies in the Region's Official Plan.



Davies Howe Partners LLP With respect to policy 3.3.3.4, a definition of what would qualify as a net gain has been requested by our clients' consultants, as well as clarification regarding the meaning and intent of "Woodland compensation...vegetation protection zones." If the intent is that compensation will not be accepted within Provincial Plan areas, our clients strongly objects to this approach.

3. Language in the Proposed Amendments that Elevates an Advisory Agency, such as the TRCA, to a Quasi-Approval-Authority: This is apparent in the language in items 7, 16 and 17 of the Proposed Amendments.

For example, Item 7 requires that compensation be to the satisfaction of TRCA for alteration of several core features (e.g., woodlands) that are not within TRCA's legislated jurisdiction, which only relates to wetlands, watercourses and valleys. In addition, with the inclusion of references to publications such as the TRCA's Living City Policies, the City's environmental policies can be amended or added to as these documents change from time to time, without the benefit of public consultation or the appeal rights available under the Planning Act. The Official Plan is intended to be a clear statement of applicable polices and all relevant matters should be included in it.

4. Compensation Protocol: Staff have indicated that additional studies are required to determine the Compensation Protocol, and have proposed to defer the question to the Secondary Plan process. We have not been provided with any explanation as to how this would occur. Our clients' position is that the Compensation Protocol, Proposed Amendments and NHN mapping must be considered and decided at the same time, and must be part of a comprehensive OPA.

At the April 14, 2015 Committee meeting we heard from Planning Commissioner Mackenzie that the Compensation Protocol could be ready for late fall or early winter. With the Proposed Amendments and OPA arising from the NHN Study scheduled to come back to Council in September for approval, we urge the Committee that the Compensation Protocol be dealt with at the same time. If required, the entire matter should briefly be deferred to ensure that the Compensation Protocol is included in and consistent with the OPA.

Our previous submissions to the Committee are attached for greater detail and convenience of reference.

We request that I be added to the list of delegates for the Committee of the Whole meeting.

Thank you for the continued opportunity to provide you with comments.



Davies Howe Partners LLP Yours sincerely,

DAVIES HOWE PARTNERS LLP

Karzyna Sliwa

encl. As above

copy: Ms. Dawne Jubb, Solicitor, City of Vaughan

Mr. John Mackenzie, Commissioner of Planning, City of Vaughan

Mr. Tony Iacobelli, Environmental Planner, City of Vaughan

Mr. Gerry Lynch, Cole Engineering Group Ltd.

Mr. Don Fraser, Beacon Environmental Mr. John Bousfield, Bousfields Inc.

Clients

## SCHEDULE "A"

## **BLOCK 27 LANDOWNER GROUP MEMBERS**

Lormel Developments Ltd.
Di Poce Consulting Inc.
Keltree Developments Inc.
West Jane Developments Inc.
Gusgo Holdings Ltd.
Rosehollow Estates Inc.
Erica La Posta, Peter La Posta, Stephen Di Biase, Adrian Di Biase, Eliana Di Biase
Vincenza Petricca
Heathfield Construction Ltd.
Keele Street Properties Limited
Giuseppe Battistella, Palmira Battistella
Ferrara Glade Investments Inc.
Bayview-Wellington Properties Inc.
Gold Park (Maple) Inc.
Teston Woods Development Corporation
Alderlane Estates Inc.