From: Mario Racco Brownridge Ratepayers Assoc.

CY CW(PH) March 7/17 Item 3

Centre Street & Dufferin Study area; 7 March 2017; Public Hearing

Chair & members of Council I will be making some comments on behalf of the Brownridge Ratepayers Association on the study.

I have attended the meetings that have been organized, in the community, by the City & its consultants. I have filed a few emails with Planning & spoken with staff on this topic.

As I have written & said, I am disappointed that the study that was approved for 3 of the 4 corners, now is recommending only on one corner – the East/North corner.

I have spoken with the Provincial agency & I was told that the Agency is participating on the study, yet the recommendation is to do nothing now & later do a Tertiary study. There is no need to do that. The City should be able to decide what is best for the City & approve those decisions. There is nothing, in my opinion, preventing the City from making a decision on the south side lands since the City is already on record as NOT wanting the coverleaf for the Hw. 407 & there is no reasonable planner that would disagree with the City & the Taxpayers affected.

Another issue that we have is — Why is the City recommending to eliminate 3 Strip Plazas that are providing good Revenue to the City & Jobs & Services to the People & try to replace them with Condos that will be a Liability to the City coffers. Such a decision will negatively impact the economy of the City. Why is the report saying that there is **No Economic Impact? Who wrote that? On what basis?** Why is staff & the consultants suggesting to change the Commercial Plaza into mostly Residential High Density Residential with a 2 times & 2.5 times FSI? Such a change was not supported nor contemplated by the community.

Why is the City spending Taxpayers money to make changes that are NOT supported by the People affected & that change may benefit 3 property owners? In fact seems that at least one of the 3 owners is NOT supporting staff recommendation. As of when the City started paying for studies that affects only I or 2 property owners, yet the bill is paid by the Taxpayers of the City?

Chair I believe that it is wise for M of C to instruct staff:

- 1. That the objective of the study is not achievable at this time, since the intent was to study the 3 corners & the report addresses only one corner, therefore it is wise to terminate the study & leave things as they are.
- 2. That if the property owners of the East/North corner of Dufferin St. & Centre St. or any other corner, which to change the O.P & the Zoning of their property that they do what other property owners have to do & file the proper request & pay the proper fees to the City of Vaughan.
- 3. That if anyone inquires about the development possibilities at the 4 corners that the existing O.P. & Zoning be provided without speculating on what may happen.
- 4. To inform, once again in writing, MOI & MTO & IO that the City of Vaughan & the Taxpayers affected are NOT in favour of a future Coverleaf at the south corners of Dufferin St. & Centre St. & that the Provincial Ministries indicated initiate a process to change the Official Plan & the Zoning by-law that would allow for a Community Uses and/or a Residential subdivision of single; semi or townhomes.
- 5. To inform the Provincial Agencies responsible for the 2 south side properties that the Taxpayers affected & the City of Vaughan want to see the Agencies to apply now for the changes suggested.
- 6. That the affected Ratepayers Associations be consulted once an application is filed with the City for a Zoning and/or O.P. change.