

Subject: Important regarding Committee of the whole meeting today

From: Frank Fallico [<mailto:fallicofrank@gmail.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 1:40 PM

To: Council <Council@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca

Cc: jeff@trgagents.com

Subject: Re: Important regarding Committee of the whole meeting today

As an additional footnote to the email below we respectfully wish to add the following for the record;

It was discovered by a few residence that the developer started selling these units last weekend in the sales centre in the town ahead of the Committee of the Whole meeting and vote, (from early accounts 3 of 28 have been sold). As more information is starting to surface many of the residence are growing increasingly concerned that the decision to move forward with this application was perhaps pre-determined in the applicant's favour at some point in the application process and the thoughts and opinions of the overwhelming majority opposed in attendance on Oct. 3rd at 1pm was irrelevant to how some would ultimately vote. We respectful wish to add this to the record surrounding this application. On a personal note I along with many neighbours have been at numerous committee meetings when even one resident's opinion against a particular application the committee would step in and seek a compromise between the applicant and those opposed. We are collectively bewildered as a group with so many opposed in attendance that a compromise to this application was not considered or suggested by any on council at the fore mentioned Oct 3rd meeting.

F R A N K F A L L I C O

Broker Cell: 416.899.5655, Bus: 416.441.2888 Email: fallicofrank@gmail.com Website: <http://www.frankfallico.com/>



From: Frank Fallico <fallicofrank@gmail.com>

Date: Tuesday, 3 October, 2017 5:58 PM

To: <council@vaughan.ca>, <clerks@vaughan.ca>

Cc: <jeff@trgagents.com>

Subject: Important regarding Committee of the whole meeting today

To: Councillors & Clerks

Regarding lot assembly of 257,65, and 275 Stegman's Mill: todays meeting at 1pm in Council Chamber: File Nos.: OP.15.006 & Z.15025

I would like to say that I'm writing this on behalf of many that attended today's Committee of the Whole Meeting. Many points that the Honourable Marylyn lafrate expressed which were overwhelming supported by the countless residence in attendance and reaffirmed by many who spoke publicly in front of the councillors in favour of reconsidering this application.


I'm writing you this because :

- I feel as many did in attendance that their was and continues to be a huge mis-representation on behalf of the applicant and possible planning staff around this project
- If you see images below the applicant (Kleinburg Village Development) has had erected for many months now signs showing these 28 units to be identified as singles and detached units. Which is false
- In Fact in the 2nd image which is the conceptual board on the property it states "Detached Dwellings" no mention at all of "Linked Housing"
- Further to that applicants website promoting the project also advertises this project as Detached Homes, see 3rd image below
- The Sales Centre in the Heart of the town also advertises as Singles with no mention of Linked Housing which is what the project actually is.
- I feel their has been an intentional mis-leading of the residence of Kleinburg here as well as the applicant today made reference from the podium today claiming they were detached dwellings- again this is false
- When I stood to correct this Councillor Gino Rosati based on meeting procedure and protocol said I could not speak to correct this falsehood – this struct me an the entire audience as odd, as the applicant was permitted to rebuttal much of what the residence said and we had no opportunity to do the same.
- The planning department appears to have known about this as at the meeting it surfaced they were trying to broaden the definition of what a Detach home is – this left many in attendance confused, but more importantly it showed that they were aware at some point that this project is not detached as all the advertising around the project has claimed.
- I'm not suggesting collusion between the planning department and the applicant but I see this to be a material piece of information that may have affected the decision outcome today
- In essence the advertising the applicant has done publicly and the city planning board sitting on the actual property has mislead the entire community and possibly the councillors as well to believe these are detached homes failing to adequately inform the public that they are building "linked housing" which is a much different product.
- I feel "if" this applicant and the planning department had adequately called this project what it is (**Linked Housing**) and advertised it properly to the community properly during the application process, then we would have had even more public support against this application and that may have had the remaining councillors vote differently.
- I along with those in attendance feel this was a gross and improper conduct on the application process which should invalidate the vote passed today.

I am asking on behalf of many that were present along with countless that couldn't attend because the meeting was held inconveniently in the middle of a work day at 1pm, what can be done. We are all dismayed with how many voices stood up and how easily this vote passed with so many points brought forth by not just the public but Councillor Marlyn lafrate addressing the inconsistency of this application with the overall plan, and overwhelming number of amendments..and yet it passed... Some present have suggested that perhaps we need to hire a lawyer to investigate this mis-representation from a legal perspective and how far it goes as some of the councillors were oddly idle on the matter. On behalf of the those in attendance and many other residence that could not attend, we are asking for guidance and would like a recorded vote on this application so we can thoroughly address the discrepancies in how the vote went in respect to the overwhelming points against, along with the misrepresentation by applicant publicly along with the misleading city sign on the property. We feel the application process was contaminated by this misrepresentation and we need to address it. I am hoping this letter is forwarded to all the councillors present along with anyone else this may concern.

Thank you





Stratus MLS x propertyline x Geowarehouse x easyOFFER Docum x

www.parklanevillage.ca

Apps Report Site Printers - CUPS 1.6s Login - StratusMLS Imported From Safari My Visual Listin

PARK LANE VILLAGE
KLEINBURG

Majestic S

Detached Homes with Condo Style

Coming S

Register Now



FRANK FALLICO

Broker

Cell: 416.899.5655

Bus: 416.441.2888

Email: fallicofrank@gmail.com

Website: <http://www.frankfallico.com/>



FRANK FALLICO

Director

Direct 416.899.5655

Email: frank@generationhomes.ca



CREATE | DESIGN | BUILD

"We Create, Design, and Build Your Vision Into Reality."
