		C <u>12</u> Item # <u>5</u> Report # <u>9</u>
	HUMPHRIES PLANNING GROUP INC.	<u> COUNCIL – March 19, 2013</u>
	HPGI File: 09203 March 14, 2013	
		RECEIVED
	City of Vaughan	MAR 1 4 2013
	Development Planning Department 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Maple, ON L6A 1T1	CLERK'S DEPT.
	Attn: Jeffrey Abrams, Clerk	
	Re: Proposed Modifications to the Woodbridge Cen Trimax on Islington Avenue 8013 Islington Avenue, Vaughan (the "Site")	tre Secondary Plan
	Humphries Planning Group Inc. act as planning cons Avenue with respect to the Site. Trimax on Islingto applications (OP.12.009 and Z.12.023) on June 13, 2012 Zoning By-Law Amendment to permit a 3-storey 6 unit Site and has also filed an appeal of the VOP 2010 to the process. The scope of the appeal includes the entirety o Woodbridge Centre Secondary Plan.	on Avenue submitted planning for Official Plan Amendment and townhouse development on the e OMB and is a party to the OMB
	Staff Report – Item 5 of the Committee of the Whole reference to a comprehensive SPA review and flood risk to determine the boundaries of the SPA area. The f reviewed and determines the average depth of flooding no reference to having considered site specific inform Trimax on Islington Avenue.	assessment that was undertaken flood risk assessment has been g for the Site is 2.6m and makes
16 Chrislea Road uite 103 aughan, ON	An Engineering Study, stamped and certified by a Pr 2012, has been completed by Masongsong Associates E in support of the applications and in response to the SF received no comments back from the City or TRCA on applications were submitted in June of last year. The st ground elevations of the Site, which range from 143.26r area, are above the 100 year flood level, which varies E the Site. The Study also determines that the Regional S 145.13 and 145.88, which create flooding conditions above ground level. Therefore, with the implementatic inclusive of: providing the minimum finished floor ele Regional flood line (166.2m), no basement walk outs	Engineers Limited (find enclosed) PA policies for the area. We have this engineering study, while the udy determined that the existing m to 144.35m in the developable between 141.74m to 141.97m on torm Event levels occur between of approximately 1.5m to 1.9m on of appropriate building design evation at least 0.3m above the

216 Chrislea F Suite 103 Vaughan, ON L4L 8S5

.

·····

www.**humphries**planning.com ~ Do Something Good Everyday! ~ T: 905-264-7678 F: 905-264-8073

Objection to WCSP Modifications Trimax on Islington Avenue. – 8013 Islington Avenue Part Lot 7, Concession 7

measures provided for the basement/garage, flood risk is eliminated thereby ensuring the proposed development is appropriate for the Site.

In addition to the above analysis, overbank velocities during Regional storm conditions were also determined and found to be approximately 0.53m/s. The engineering consultant has indicated that this velocity will not create erosion of the ground surrounding the development during Regional storm conditions and therefore not an issue.

In consideration of the above, the comprehensive SPA review and flood risk assessment did review the information that was provided for 8013 Islington Avenue. The SPA boundary as currently shown and supported by staff is not reflective of accurate information and will unnecessarily negatively affect land use planning opportunities for the subject site. Prior to establishing a City position on the SPA, which will be forwarded to the Province for consideration, it is imperative that all the detailed information included in the background work be considered in Staff's determination of the SPA area limits. In this case the subject site should be found to be acceptable for development purposes in accordance with the conclusions of the Masongsong Associates Engineers Limited Engineering Study, as dated May 2012 and submitted to the Committee of the Whole.

We respectfully request the following motion/resolution be adopted by Vaughan Council: "that Council direct staff to consider the information provided on behalf of the applicant of the 8013 Islington Avenue lands in light of having the technical basis for not including the subject site within the SPA area and prepare a revised report back to Council for consideration."

Should you require anything further please contact the undersigned at ext 244.

Yours truly, HUMPHRIES PLANNING GROUP INC.

Rosemarie Humphries BA, MCIP, RPP President

cc: Mayor and Members of Council Trimax on Islington Avenue Brattys LLP Barristers and Solicitors

SPA STUDY

Proposed 6 Units Residential Townhouses 8013 Islington Avenue City of Vaughan

For

Trimax (Islington Avenue)

MAEL Project No: 11-534

MASONGSONG ASSOCIATES ENGINEERING LIMITED

Markham 1151 Denison Street, Unit 15 Markham, Ontario, L3R 3Y4 T: (905) 944-0162 / F: (905) 944-0165

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	. 2
2.0	MET	HODOLOGY	. 2
3.0	FLO	ODPLAIN HYDRAULICS	. 2
	3.1	Updates to the Existing HEC-RAS model	3
	3.2	HEC-RAS modeling: Updated Existing Condition - Analysis of results	3
	3.3	HEC-RAS modeling: Proposed Condition	4
	3.4	HEC-RAS modeling: 100-yr Storm Frequency Event	5
4.0	DEV	ELOPMENT IMPACT	. 5
	4.1	Volumetric Balance	5
	4.2	Overbank Velocities	6
	4.3	Hydrostatic Pressure	6
5.0	MIT	IGATION	. 6
	5.1	Floodplain	6
	5.2	Building Design Considerations	6
6.0	STO	RMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT	.7
7.0	CON	ICLUSIONS	.7

APPENDIX A - Figures

- Figure 1 Location Map
- Figure 2 Site and Grading Plan by Masongsong Associates Engineering Limited
- Figure 3 HEC-RAS Cross-section Location

APPENDIX B - HEC-RAS Model Results and Cross-sections

Existing Condition – Updates to Existing Model Proposed Condition

APPENDIX C – Flows and Velocities Table

Existing Condition Proposed Condition

APPENDIX D – Stormwater Management Report

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Trimax on Islington Avenue submitted an OPA and Zoning By-Law Amendment to the City of Vaughan proposing a townhouse development on 8013 Islington Avenue. Figure 1, in Appendix A, is a Google Earth aerial view of the site. The development proposal is to construct 6 condo type townhomes as per the Figure 2, in appendix A. This subject site is located within the TRCA regulated flood zone and as such, Section 6C.b.vii of OPA 240 requires an SPA Study to address the following statement:

No development or redevelopment within the "Special Policy Area" which required a By-Law Amendment and/or Official Plan Amendment application shall be permitted until such time as an engineering study detailing such matters as flood frequency, the velocity and depth of storm flows, proposed flood damage reduction measures, and storm water management has been reviewed and approved by the Metropolitan Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and the Town of Vaughan, unless it is determined by these agencies that a study is not required.

The site is tributary to the Humber River and under the jurisdiction of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA).

2.0 METHODOLOGY

- 1. Update the HEC-RAS model provided by TRCA and translate the regional flood line into the detailed topographic survey of the property. In order to better assess the impact, an additional cross section will have to be added crossing the proposed site. This new section will be evaluated for both existing and proposed conditions. The relevant sections are between River Stations 27+57 to 27+58 including the Islington Avenue bridge section. The Regional HWL at the subject land is approximately 145.88
- 2. Review and update floodplain mapping if necessary.
- 3. Report the findings and conclusion.

3.0 FLOODPLAIN HYDRAULICS

A more rigorous computation of the hydraulic impact was undertaken using HEC-RAS. The current Humber River HEC model and mapping were obtained from TRCA and was modified to include the proposed development grading and structure (River Station 27+577).

Figure 3 is the Humber River HEC Mapping with the location of the crosssections shown. Detailed model output and cross-sectional plots are attached in Appendix B and discussed in the proceeding section.

3.1 Updates to the Existing HEC-RAS model

The HEC RAS model was updated as follows:

- Updated topographical information from TRCA mapping and new site topography data was used to create an existing ground surface digital terrain model (DTM) using Civil 3D. Sections 27+571 to 27+58 were updated in HEC-RAS using this latest DTM. The model was run using a newly created geometry named "Main Humber River Geometry-Modified 2012". Refer to CD enclosed for modeling details.
- An additional HEC section "27+577" was created and coded to the HEC model. A new geometry file was named "Main Humber River Geometry-EX-NEW-X".
- The elevations used in the model for the ineffective flow areas for the sections US and DS of the CN culvert remained the same as in the original model. The horizontal ineffective flow area locations were adjusted due to a small shift in the updated sections.
- The bridge/roadway geometry data in the Bridge/Culvert Data Editor was updated; the elevations of the road are the same as in the original model, stations were slightly shifted for both US and DS sections and the pier locations were adjusted accordingly.

3.2 HEC-RAS modeling: Updated Existing Condition - Analysis of results

The newly created geometries were used to run the model. Using the approach described above, results for the Regional Flood line are presented in Table 1.0 below.

	Re	egional Water Elevatio (m)	ons
HEC-RAS Station	Existing TRCA HEC	Existing Updated HEC	Existing Updated HEC w/ New
			Section
27+58	145.87	145.86	145.86
27+577			145.88
27+572	145.87	145.86	145.86
27+571	145.17	145.21	145.21
BRIDGE			
27+57	145.13	145.13	145.13

Table 1.0 Regulatory Water Surface Elevations – Updated Existing Condition

The modeling results for the updated existing condition indicate that the Regional water surface elevations upstream of the bridge decreased by approximately 0.01m at section 27+58, and remained unchanged downstream of the bridge. The additional section 27+577 shows a small hydraulic jump of 0.02m, again this is for the existing condition. From the results it appears that the flood line is influenced by the elevation of the existing Islington Avenue road structure as the flood level overtops this road.

The new/updated sections used in the hydraulic model are included in Appendix B – Existing Condition.

3.3 HEC-RAS modeling: Proposed Condition

The proposed site was added to section 27+577 as an obstruction in the HEC model. This new section was created using the latest DTM and the detailed topographical survey of the subject land undertaken by Krcmar within the past year.

The results of the analysis are presented in Table 2.0 below:

Table 2.0 Regulatory Water Surface	Elevations - Proposed Condition
------------------------------------	---------------------------------

HEC-RAS Station	Regional Water Elevations (m)
Claron	Proposed Condition
27+58	145.86
27+577	145.88
27+572	145.86
27+571	145.21
BRIDGE	
27+57	145.13

The analysis indicates there is no change in water surface elevations both upstream and downstream of the proposed development.

The HEC-RAS model output files and sections used in the hydraulic model are included in Appendix B – Proposed Condition.

It can be summarized that there is virtually no impact to the Regional water surface profile with the introduction of the proposed development, as the proposed regional level remains unchanged.

3.4 <u>HEC-RAS modeling: 100-yr Storm Frequency Event</u>

The 100-year condition was also analyzed to ensure that under this storm frequency event, the site is not impacted. Table 3 below is the results of the analysis for the existing and proposed conditions.

HEC-RAS Station	100-yr Water Elevations (m)	100-yr Water Elevations (m)
	Proposed Condition	Existing Condition
27+58	141.97	141.97
27+577	141.95	141.95
27+572	141.91	141.91
27+571	141.77	141.77
BRIDGE		
27+57	141.74	141.74

Table 3.0 100-yr Water Surface Elevations – Existing Proposed Conditions

It is evident from the results of the analysis that the 100-yr flood level is well below the existing ground elevations within the subject land, and in fact it is confined in the flood zone west of Islington Avenue. This storm event does not overtop Islington Avenue and therefore has no direct impact to this development proposal, nor does this development have any impact during the 100-yr storm event.

The HEC-RAS model output files and sections used in the hydraulic model are included in Appendix B.

4.0 DEVELOPMENT IMPACT

4.1 Volumetric Balance

A simplistic approach to volumetric balance over the subject reach was to compute the displacement resulting from the proposed 6 townhouse dwelling units and minor re-grading within the driveway front and rear yard areas. If the results of the analysis shows considerable displacement, further recommendation to remediate this maybe considered.

As documented in Tables 1 and 2, the proposed structure and minor regrading (fill) within the flood fringe did not have any impact on the flood levels up to and including the Regional storm flood therefore no impact to the storage volume. There will be no mitigation required.

4.2 Overbank Velocities

The velocities were computed for both Regional and 100-yr event and since the 100-yr event does not impact the subject land, only the Regional is evaluated. From the detailed hydraulic computation for River Station 27+577 (See Velocities Table in Appendix C), the dynamic impact to the proposed townhouse dwellings is minimal, as the **right overbank** velocities are approximately 0.53 m/s, velocity calculated for the proposed development conditions. This is well below the erosive capacity of grass which is between 1.2 to 1.5 m/s.

Furthermore, this velocity will not create erosion of the ground surrounding the dwelling as it is well below the erosion velocities for grass (0.6 m/s) and hard surfaces (1.0 m/s). There will be no mitigation required.

4.3 <u>Hydrostatic Pressure</u>

From the analysis and proposed grading of the subject development, the 100-yr storm event will not impact the property however the Regional storm condition will create hydrostatic pressure to the dwelling units based on water levels ranging from approximately 1.5m to 1.9m above the ground level. Mitigation will be required.

5.0 MITIGATION

The most important requirement in the OPA 240 SPA Engineering Study is to identify all the "*proposed flood damage reduction measures*" and the following is the complete list of requirements and conditions to be considered in the SPA approval:

5.1 Floodplain

As the resultant flood line is not impacted, there will be no recommended mitigations in terms of loss of volume. Furthermore the resulting dynamic velocities will not have any significant impact on the building design as well as the erosion of the ground surface surrounding the building structure.

5.2 Building Design Considerations

- 1. For <u>the habitable living space</u> it is recommend that the minimum floor elevation be set to at least 0.3m above the Regional flood line; the minimum first floor elevation should be 146.20 and there shall be no building openings below this elevation.
- 2. The structural considerations will primarily be for waterproofing and hydrostatic pressure, rather than dynamic impacts. The architect and structural engineer will have to include in the permit application, under

3. The subject site is subjected to flooding during major storm events in excess of the 100 year storm. Although only the non-occupied living spaces are impacted (driveways and garages) notice to the homeowners is further recommended. **Indemnification on Title** shall be required to indemnify the City of Vaughan, TRCA and the Region of York from damage claims in the event of flooding.

6.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

A Stormwater Management Report entitled "Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief" dated September 2011 was prepared by Masongsong Associates Engineering Limited. Appendix D is the copy of report which will also be submitted under separate cover to the City of Vaughan as part of the complete application.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be drawn from the analysis:

- 1. No impact in the water elevations of the Humber River is expected due to the proposed development.
- 2. There are no impacts on water levels downstream of the proposed bridge.
- 3. No updates to the floodplain mapping are warranted due to the proposed development.
- 4. Building Design Considerations are identified in this report for inclusion as condition of the SPA approval for the OPA and Zoning By-Law Amendment applications for the subject land.

Respectfully Submitted,

Masongsong Associates Engineering Limited

Lo, C.E.T. Design Technologist



APPENDIX A Figures







BENCHMARK

5081

PLAN

PLAN R -

「山

5

ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO THE CITY OF VAUGHAN BENCHMARK No. 44-28 HAVING A PUBLISHED ELEVATION OF 148.014 METRES. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY KRCMAR SURVEYORS LTD. AN 65R-14189

LEGEND :

178.84	EXISTING ELEVATION
257.72	PROPOSED GROUND ELEVATION
(257.72)	EXISTING ELEVATION TO REMAIN
FFE	FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
	DRAINAGE FLOW
	OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
Č=	EXTERNAL OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
`•	PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE
8	PROPOSED VALVE BOX
Î	PROPOSED HYDRANT & VALVE
Ê	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
•	EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE
0	EXISTING STORM MANHOLE
Ó	EXISTING HYDRANT
8	EXISTING VALVE & CHAMBER

idewalk
•

15. Sidewalk to be 200 mm thick through driveway entrance per City Standard E-1.

Driveways shall be setback a minimum clearance of 1.0 m from all aboveground services or other obstructions.

g shall not encroach on boulevard nor shall boulevard grades be altered 20. Slopes in landscaped areas and on berms shall not exceed 3 harizontal to 1 vertical

23. Outside lighting shall be directed downward and inward and designed to maintain zero cut-off light level distribution at the property line.

ion occess shall be constructed with a minimum depth of 450 mm crushed as from the municipal curb or edge of pavement to the property line, to the

The surface of all loading spaces and related driveways, parking spaces, and manaeuvring areas within the site shall be paved with a hard surface. The recommended minimum depth requirements are as follows:

- granular base - granular sub-base

		-
REVISIONS	GATE	BY

CITY OF VAUGHAN

REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK PART OF LOT 7, CONCESSION 7 (ALSO KNOWN AS PART OF LOT 22 VILLAGE PLAN OF WOODBRIDGE, UNREGISTERED PLAN 548)

PROPOSED 6 UNITS RESIDENTIAL TOWNHOUSES

8013 ISLINGTON AVENUE, CITY OF VAUGHAN

AND	SITE GRADING & EROSION C		
SEPT 27/11 0	ENGI	SSONG ASS NEERING L Inters • Planners • • Martham, Onla M44-0165 • E-mail: n	Project Managers
DESIGN BY: K.L.	CHECKED BY: T.M.	PROJECT No.	DRAWING No
DRAWN BY: K.L.	CHECKED BY: K.L.	11534	SGR-1
SCALE: 1:200	DATE: SEPT. 2011	11001	00.01



APPENDIX B HEC-RAS Model Results and Cross-sections

Existing Condition

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chul	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chi
		-	(m3/s)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(m2)	(E)	
Reach-1	27.58	2-yr	68.10	138.00	139.71		139.79	0.001143	1.25	54.41	35.41	0.32
Reach-1	27.58	5-yr	112.40	138.00	140.40		140.50	0.000971	1.41	79.63	38.07	0.31
Reach-1	27.58	10-yr	146.10	138.00	140.82		140.94	0.000926	1.52	96.12	39.54	0.31
Reach-1	27.58	25-yr	193.20	138.00	141.29		141.43	0.001140	1.66	116.22	49.16	0.35
Reach-1	27.58	50-yr	229.90	138.00	141.64		141.79	0.001245	1.71	134.81	58.97	0.36
Reach-1	27.58	100-yr	268.10	138.00	141.97		142.12	0.001259	1.72	156.30	68.65	0.36
Reach-1	27.58	Regional	920.30	138.00	145.86		145.96	0.000274	1.54	974.82	398.84	0.20
Reach-1	27.577	2-yr	68.10	137.75	139.70		139.76	0.000724	1.10	62.14	35.45	0.26
Reach-1	27.577	5-yr	112.40	137.75	140.39		140.47	0.000708	1.28	87.59	38.57	0.27
Reach-1	27.577	10-yr	146.10	137.75	140.81		140.91	0.000710	1.40	104.38	40.33	0.28
Reach-1	27.577	25-yr	193.20	137.75	141.28		141.40	0.000807	1.56	123.89	44.99	0.30
Reach-1	27.577	50-yr	229.90	137.75	141.62		141.75	0.000952	1.64	140.52	54.71	0.32
Reach-1	27.577	100-yr	268.10	137.75	141.95		142.09	0.000943	1.68	160.09	66.39	0.32
Reach-1	27.577	Regional	920.30	137.75	145.88		145.94	0.000193	1.38	1239.60	483.25	0.17
Docod 1	07.670		0100	197 60	120 60	00 001	1001	0 000570	101	67 10	35.40	
Reach-1	27.572	5-vr	112 40	137.50	140.37	138.71		0.000614	1 23	91.61	37.17	0.25
Reach-1	27.572	10-vr	146.10	137.50	140.79	138.93		0.000648	1.35	107.83	39.26	0.26
Reach-1	27.572	25-Vr	193.20	137.50	141.26	139.20		0.000713	1.53	126.54	41.33	0.28
Reach-1	27.572	50-yr	229.90	137.50	141.59	139.38		0.000745	1.64	140.39	42.62	0.29
Reach-1	27.572	100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.91	139.57	142.06	0.000755	1.74	154.22	43.75	0.29
Reach-1	27.572	Regional	920.30	137.50	145.86	141.92	145.93	0.000238	1.51	1143.64	487.54	0.18
Reach-1	27:5715		Bridge									
		-										
Reach-1	27.571	2-yr	68.10	137.50	139.62	138.39	139.69	0.000676	1.20	0C.L0 77 38	34.39	97.0
Doach 1	110.12	3-yi	146.40	137.50	140.20	138 08		0.000738	164	101 93	40.34	0.20
Reach-1	27.571	25-vr	193.20	137.50	141.15	139.29		0.000814	1.88	120.36	42.78	0.31
Reach-1	27.571	50-Vr	229.90	137.50	141.46	139.50		0.000857	2.04	134.10	44.51	0.33
Reach-1	27.571	100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.77	139.71		0.000887	2.18	147.97	51.63	0.34
Reach-1	27.571	Regional	920.30	137.50	145.21	142.35	145.39	0.000521	2.48	897.12	390.46	0.29
Reach-1	27.57	2-vr	68.10	137.50	139.57		139.64	0.000869	1.17	58.06	34.54	0.29
Reach-1	27.57	5-Vr	112.40	137.50	140.24		140.33	0.000871	1.35	83.07	39.91	0.30
Reach-1	27.57	10-yr	146.10	137.50	140.66		140.76	0.000872	1.46	100.37	43.24	0:30
Reach-1	27.57	25-yr	193.20	137.50	141.10		141.23	0.000902	1.60	120.60	48.86	0.32

LIP- DATED

	lan. rian u/ F	HEC-RAS Plan: Plan U/ RIVER RIVER-1 REACH: REACH-1 (Continued)	Keach: Keac	n-1 (Continued)								
Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total Min C	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chul	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
			(m3/s)	(m)	(E)	(m)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(m2)	(m)	
Reach-1			229.90	137.50	141.42		141.57		1.70			0.32
Reach-1	27.57	100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.74		141.90	0.000830	1.78		66.66	0.31
Reach-1			920.30	137.50	145.13		145.34		2.29	644.73		0.28
								And and an				

HEC-RAS Plan: Plan 07 River: RIVER-1 Reach: Reach-1 (Continued)





Versend Constants







Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chi
	自我们的自己的		(m3/s)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m/m)	(m/s)	(m2)	(m)	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Reach-1	27.58	2-yr	68.10	138.00	139.71		139.79	0.001143	1.25	54.41	35.41	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	5-yr	112.40	138.00	140.40		140.50	0.000971	1.41	79.63	38.07	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	10-yr	146.10	138.00	140.82		140.94	0.000926	1.52	96.12	39.54	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	25-yr	193.20	138.00	141.29		141.43	0.001140	1.66	116.22	49.16	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	50-yr	229.90	138.00	141.64		141.79	0.001245	1.71	134,81	58,97	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	100-yr	268.10	138.00	141.97		142.12	0.001259	1.72	156.30	68.65	0.3
Reach-1	27.58	Regional	920.30	138.00	145.86		145.95	0.000275	1.54	974.32	398.77	0.2
Reach-1	27.577	2-yr	68.10	137.75	139,70		139.76	0.000724	1,10	62,14	35.45	0.2
Reach-1	27.577	5-yr	112.40	137.75	140.39		140.47	0.000708	1.28	87.59	38.57	0.2
Reach-1	27.577	10-yr	146.10	137.75	140.81		140.91	0.000710	1.40	104.38	40.33	0.2
Reach-1	27,577	25-yr	193.20	137.75	141.28		141.40	0.000807	1.56	123.89	44.99	0.3
Reach-1	27.577	50-ут	229.90	137.75	141.62		141.75	0.000952	1.64	140.52	54.71	0.3
Reach-1	27.577	100-yr	268.10	137.75	141.95		142.09	0.000943	1.68	160.09	66.39	0.3
Reach-1	27.577	Regional	920.30	137.75	145.88		145.94	0.000202	1.41	1202.90	468.79	0.1
1	1.000 A 400 A 4											
Reach-1	27.572	2-yr	68.10	137.50	139.68	138.38	139.74	0.000573	1.01	67.16	34.76	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	5-yr	112.40	137.50	140.37	138.71	140.45	0.000614	1.23	91.61	37.17	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	10-yr	146.10	137.50	140.79	138.93	140.89	0.000648	1.35	107.83	39.26	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	25-ут	193.20	137.50	141.26	139,20	141.38	0.000713	1.53	126.54	41.33	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	50-yr	229.90	137.50	141.59	139.38	141.72	0.000745	1.64	140.39	42.62	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.91	139.57	142.06	0.000755	1.74	154.22	43.75	0.2
Reach-1	27.572	Regional	920.30	137.50	145.86	141.92	145.93	0.000238	1.51	1143.64	487.54	0.1
STREET, ST	ANGES REALED	S SPATER A										
Reach-1	27.5715		Bridge									
· (4)法》和前述	1 225-33 319	CHARLE BARR										
Reach-1	27.571	2-yr	68.10	137.50	139.62	138.39	139.69	0.000676	1.20	61.56		0.2
Reach-1	27.571	5-yr	112.40	137.50	140.29	138.74	140.40	0.000710	1.47	85.77	38.07	0.2
Reach-1	27.571	10-yr	146.10	137.50	140.70	138.98	140.83	0.000738	1.64	101.93		0.2
Reach-1	27.571	25-yr	193.20	137,50	141.15	139.29	141.32	0.000814	1.88	120.36		0.3
Reach-1	27.571 27.571	50-yr	229.90	137.50	141.46	139.50	141.66	0.000857	2.04	134.10	44.51	0.3
Reach-1 Reach-1		100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.77	139.71	141.99	0.000887	2.18	147.97	51.63	0.3
React	27.571	Regional	920.30	137.50	145.21	142.35	145.39	0.000521	2.48	897.12	390.46	0.2
Reach-1	27.57	2-yr	68.10	137.50	139.57		139.64	0.000869	1.17	58.06	34.54	0.2
Reach-1	27.57	5-yr	112.40	137.50	140.24		140.33	0.000871	1.35	83.07	39.91	0.3
Reach-1	27.57	10-уг	146.10	137.50	140.66		140.76	0.000872	1.46	100.37	43.24	0.3
Reach-1	27.57	25-yr	193.20	137.50	141.10		141.23	0.000902	1.60	120.60	48.86	1
Reach-1	27.57	50-yr	229.90	137.50	141.42		141.57	0.000866	1.70	137.71	57.84	0.3
Reach-1	27.57	100-yr	268.10	137.50	141.74		141.90	0.000830	1.78	157.30	66.66	0.3
Reach-1	27.57	Regional	920,30	137.50	145.13		145.34	0.000531	2.29	644.73	290.20	0.2

HEC-RAS Plan: Plan 07 River: RIVER-1 Reach: Reach

.







APPENDIX C Flows and Velocities Table

Velocities - Existing Condition

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Vel Total	Vel Right	Vel Left
			(m3/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)
Reach-1	27.58	100-yr	268.10	1.72		
Reach-1	27.58	Regional	920.30	0.94	0.43	0.47
Reach-1	27.577	100-yr	268.10	1.67	0.30	0.08
Reach-1	27.577	Regional	920.30	0.74	0.52	0.39
			-			
Reach-1	27.572	100-yr	268.10	1.74		
Reach-1	27.572	Regional	920.30	0.80	0.60	0.38
Reach-1	27.5715		Bridge			
Reach-1	27.571	100.10	000.40		0 =0	
Reach-1		100-yr	268.10	1.81	0.56	0.85
incault-1	27.571	Regional	920.30	1.03	0.51	0.66
Reach-1	27.57	100-yr	268.10	1.70	0.18	0.30
Reach-1	27.57	Regional	920.30	1.43	0.59	0.69
Reach-1	27.56	100-yr	268.10	4.45	0.00	0.00
Reach-1	27.56			1.45	0.62	0.80
	21.00	Regional	920.30	1.21	0.97	0.66
Reach-1	27.55	100-yr	268.10	1.04	0.45	0.29
Reach-1	27.55	Regional	920.30	0.88	0.68	0.53
Reach-1	27.54	100-yr	268.10	1.80	0.92	0.46
Reach-1	27.54	Regional	920.30	1.52	1.10	0.46
	27.07	regionar	320.30	1.52	1.10	0.74
Reach-1	27.532	100-yr	268.10	2.45	1.17	0.75
Reach-1	27.532	Regional	920.30	1.93	1.17	0.75
Reach-1	27.5315		Pridao			
	27.0010		Bridge			
Reach-1	27.531	100-yr	268.10	3.03	1.41	0.87
Reach-1	27.531	Regional	920.30	4.35	2.61	1.89
Reach-1	27.52	100-yr	268.10	1.53	0.71	0.77
Reach-1	27.52	Regional	920.30	0.79	0.78	0.48
Deach 4	07.64	100				
Reach-1 Reach-1	27.51	100-yr	268.10	0.70	0.38	0.49
Cacil-1	27.51	Regional	920.30	0.48	0.42	0.34
Reach-1	27.5	100-yr	268.10	0.61	0.32	0.47
Reach-1	27.5	Regional	920.30	0.60	0.52	0.54
Reach-1	27.49	100-yr	269 10	1.04	0.20	0.00
Reach-1	27.49		268.10	1.01	0.39	0.39
	21.43	Regional	920.30	0.74	0.49	0.54
Reach-1	27.48	100-yr	268.10	0.56	0.48	0.41
Reach-1	27.48	Regional	920.30	0.60	0.53	0.50
leach-1	27.47	100-yr	268.10	0.76	0.64	0.00
leach-1	27.47	Regional	920.30	0.78	0.51	0.60

Subject Section

Velocities - Evaluated with the Proposed Development

Reach	Contraction of the second	River: RIVER	Q Total	Vel Chni	Vel Left	Vel Right	Vel Total	
			(m3/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	
Reach-1	27.58	100-yr	268.10	1.72			1.72	
Reach-1	27.58	Regional	920.30	1.54	0.48	0.43	0.94	
Reach-1	27.577	100-yr	268.10	4 60	0.00	0.00	4.03	
Reach-1	27.577	Regional		1.68	0.08	0.30	1.67	
, toddin-1	21.511	Neylunai	920.30	<mark>1.41</mark>	0.40	0.53	0.77	
Reach-1	27.572	100-yr	268.10	1.74			1.74	
Reach-1	27.572	Regional	920.30	1.51	0.38	0.60	0.80	
Reach-1	27.5715		Bridge					
Reach-1	27.571	100-yr	268.10	2.18	0.85	0.50	4.04	
Reach-1	27.571	Regional	920.30	2.18	0.85	0.56	1.81	
Reach-1	27.57	100-yr	268.10	1.78	0.30	0.18	1.70	
Reach-1	27.57	Regional	920.30	2.29	0.69	0.59	1.43	
Reach-1	27.56	100-yr	268.10	2.01	0.80	0.62	1.45	
Reach-1	27.56	Regional	920.30	2.24	0.66	0.97	1.21	
Reach-1	27.55	100.10	060.40	4 77				
Reach-1	27.55	100-yr	268.10	1.77	0.29	0.45	1.04	
	21.00	Regional	920.30	1.85	0.53	0.68	0.88	
Reach-1	27.54	100-yr	268.10	2.52	0.46	0.92	1.80	
Reach-1	27.54	Regional	920.30	2.97	0.74	1.10	1.52	
Reach-1	27.532	100-yr	268.10	3.31	0.75	1.17	2.45	
Reach-1	27.532	Regional	920.30	4.31	0.75	1.17	1.93	
Reach-1	27.5315		Bridge					
			Dildge					
Reach-1	27.531	100-yr	268.10	3.90	0.87	1.41	3.03	
Reach-1	27.531	Regional	920.30	6.55	1.89	2.61	4.35	
Reach-1	27.52	100-yr	268.10	3.65	0.77	0.71	1.53	
Reach-1	27.52	Regional	920.30	1.87	0.48	0.78	0.79	
Reach-1	27.51	100-yr	268.10	0.10	0.40	0.00	0.70	
Reach-1	27.51	Regional	920.30	2.13 1.28	0.49	0.38	0.70	
Reach-1	27.5	100-yr	268.10	1.63	0.47	0.32	0.61	
Reach-1	27.5	Regional	920.30	1.50	0.54	0.52	0.60	
leach-1	27.49	100-yr	268.10	1.80	0.39	0.39	1.01	
leach-1	27.49	Regional	920.30	1.72	0.54	0.49	0.74	
each-1	27 49	100	000.40					
each-1	27.48	100-yr	268.10	1.39	0.41	0.48	0.56	
	27.48	Regional	920.30	1.70	0.50	0.53	0.60	
each-1	27.47	100-yr	268.10	1.89	0.60	0.51	0.76	
each-1	27.47	Regional	920.30	2.10	0.48	0.73	0.72	

Subject section