

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 9, 2014

Item 8, Report No. 42, of the Committee of the Whole (Closed Session), which was considered at the Council (Closed Session) meeting of December 9, 2014, was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Vaughan on December 9, 2014, as follows:

By approving the following:

- 1) That employees, as defined in the City's Indemnification By-law, be indemnified upon invoicing by the insurer for any deductibles payable under the City's insurance policy except if they be declared by a court of law to have acted for any improper purpose, as may be asserted in a claim; and***
- 2) That staff review the by-law.***

Regional Councillor Di Biase declared an interest with respect to the above noted matter, as he is a named defendant in the matter and is therefore directly affected, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

Regional Councillor Rosati declared an interest with respect to the above noted matter, as he is a named defendant in the matter and is therefore directly affected, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

Regional Councillor Ferri declared an interest with respect to the above noted matter, as his son is a solicitor employed by the firm currently acting on behalf of the Councillors named as defendants in the subject litigation, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

8

MEMBER'S RESOLUTION
LEGAL ADVICE IN CONNECTION WITH CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED -
LITIGATION INDEMNIFICATION MATTER RELATING TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Committee of the Whole (Closed Session) recommends that the confidential recommendation of the Committee of the Whole (Closed Session) be approved.

Regional Councillor Di Biase declared an interest with respect to the above noted matter, as he is a named defendant in the matter and is therefore directly affected, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

Regional Councillor Ferri declared an interest with respect to the above noted matter, as his son is a solicitor employed by the firm currently acting on behalf of the Councillors named as defendants in the subject litigation, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.