

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 9, 2014

Item 66, Report No. 41, of the Committee of the Whole, which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on December 9, 2014.

**66 COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (CLOSED SESSION) RESOLUTION
DECEMBER 2, 2014**

The Committee of the Whole passed the following resolution to resolve into closed session for the purpose of discussing the following:

1. **PERSONNEL MATTER – RECRUITMENT OF CITY MANAGER**
(personal matters about an identifiable individual)
2. **WARD 4 CIVIC HERO AWARD 2014**
(personal matters about an identifiable individual)
3. **ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING
OPA 653 – FILE OP.05.020 AND ZBL FILE Z.07.029
TESMAR HOLDINGS INC.
NORTHEAST CORNER OF JANE ST AND RIVEROCK GATE – WARD 4**
(litigation or potential litigation)
4. **ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING
OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT FILE OP.07.001
ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT FILE Z.09.038
CASERTANO DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
WARD 4 - WEST SIDE OF JANE STREET, SOUTH OF RUTHERFORD ROAD**

**ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING
OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT FILE OP.09.006
ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT FILE Z.09.037
SANDRA MAMMONE
WARD 4 - WEST SIDE OF JANE STREET, SOUTH OF RUTHERFORD ROAD**

**ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING
OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2
WARDS 3 & 4 - VAUGHAN MILLS CENTRE SECONDARY PLAN**
(litigation or potential litigation)
5. **LITIGATION MATTERS
PORTAGE PARKWAY HIGHWAY 400 OVERPASS
VAUGHAN V. CANSULT LIMITED, JT GREGG & ASSOCIATES LTD. AND UMA
ENGINEERING
B. GOTTARDO CONSTRUCTION LIMITED V. VAUGHAN
WARDS 3 & 4**
(litigation or potential litigation)
6. **LITIGATION MATTER
CITY OF VAUGHAN V. MARTINGROVE PROPERTIES LIMITED
WARD 2**
(litigation or potential litigation)
7. **MEMBER'S RESOLUTION
LEGAL ADVICE RESPECTING SMART CENTRES MATTER**
(solicitor/client privilege)

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 9, 2014

Item 66, CW Report No. 41 – Page 2

**8. MEMBERS'S RESOLUTION
LEGAL ADVICE IN CONNECTION WITH CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED -
LITIGATION INDEMNIFICATION MATTER RELATING TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL
(solicitor/client privilege)**

Councillor Racco declared an interest with respect to Items 3 and 4 as her daughter is employed by a company related to the applicant, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

Regional Councillor Ferri declared an interest with respect to Item 8, as his son is a solicitor employed by the firm currently acting on behalf of the Councillors named as defendants in the subject litigation, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.

Regional Councillor Di Biase declared an interest with respect to Item 8, as he is a named defendant in the matter and is therefore directly affected, and was not present when the matter was under consideration.