FX

Drainage and Stormwater Management Report

Teston Road Improvements Class Environmental Assessment Study From 250 m West of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit Way

City of Vaughan May 8, 2023



Client Project Team

Project Manager

Chris Tam, City of Vaughan

HDR Project Team

Project Manager Technical Team

Quality Control

Anthony Reitmeier, P.Eng. Sabina Sadek, P.Eng. Janice Look, P.Eng.

Anthony Reitmeier, P.Eng.

Disclaimer

The material in this report reflects HDR's professional judgment considering the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between HDR and the client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not consider any subsequent changes.

In preparing this report, HDR relied, in whole or in part, on data and information provided by the Client and third parties that was current at the time of such usage, which information has not been independently verified by HDR and which HDR has assumed to be accurate, complete, reliable, and current. Therefore, while HDR has utilized its best efforts in preparing this report, HDR does not warrant or guarantee the conclusions set forth in this report which are dependent or based upon data, information or statements supplied by third parties or the client, or that the data and information have not changed since being provided in the report. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that HDR shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party resulting from decisions made or actions taken based on this document.



Contents

| 1 | Introduction1 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1.1 | Background information | . 2 | | | | | |
| 2 | Existi | Existing Drainage Conditions | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Watershed and Subwatershed | . 4 | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Land Use | . 4 | | | | | |
| | 2.3 | Hydrogeological Conditions | . 4 | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | Existing Drainage Pattern | . 5 | | | | | |
| | | 2.4.1 External Areas | . 6 | | | | | |
| | 2.5 | Aquatic Resources | . 6 | | | | | |
| | 2.6 | Transverse Culvert Crossings | . 6 | | | | | |
| | | 2.6.1 Assessment Criteria | .7 | | | | | |
| | | 2.0.2 Trydradiic Assessment of Existing Transverse Crossings | . 0 | | | | | |
| 3 | Prop | osed Drainage Condition 1 | 10 | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Roadway Drainage System | 10 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.1 Minor Drainage System | 10 11 | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Transverse Culvert Crossings | 11 | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.1 Hydraulic Assessment of Proposed Transverse Crossings 1 | 12 | | | | | |
| 4 | Storn | nwater Management Plan | 14 | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Water Quality Control 1 | 14 | | | | | |
| | 4.2 | Water Quantity Control1 | 14 | | | | | |
| | 4.3 | Water Balance and Erosion Control | 14 | | | | | |
| | 4.4 | Pavement Area Analysis | 15 | | | | | |
| | 4.5 | Stormwater Best Management Practice Options | 15 | | | | | |
| | | 4.5.1 Exfiltration Systems | 15 | | | | | |
| | | 4.5.2 Online Storage Pipes 4.5.3 Supplemental BMP Measures | 17 | | | | | |
| | 4.6 | Erosion and Sediment Control during Construction2 | 20 | | | | | |
| | 4.7 | Stormwater Management Plan Summary2 | 20 | | | | | |
| 5 | Conclusions | | | | | | | |

Tables

| Table 2-1. Summary of Existing Drainage Areas | 5 |
|--|----|
| Table 2-2. Summary of Transverse Culvert Crossings | 6 |
| Table 2-3. Design Peak Flow for Transverse Crossings | 8 |
| Table 2-4. Hydraulic Analysis Results for Transverse Culverts (Existing Condition) | 9 |
| Table 3-1. Transverse Culvert Crossing Recommendations | 12 |
| Table 3-2. Hydraulic Analysis Results for Transverse Culverts (Proposed Condition) | 13 |
| Table 4-1. Pavement Area Analysis | 15 |
| Table 4-2. Summary of Proposed Water Quality Treatment Strategy | 17 |

| Table 4-3. Summary of Proposed Water Quantity Treatment Strategy | 18 |
|--|----|
| Table 4-4. Summary of Stormwater Management Plan | 21 |

Figures

Appendices

| Appendix A: | Drainage | Area | Plans |
|-------------|----------|------|-------|
| | | | |

Appendix B: Hydrologic Analysis

Appendix C: Hydraulic Model Output

Appendix D: Exfiltration System Schematic

Appendix E: Stormwater Management Calculations

Appendix F: Excerpt from the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Final Stormwater Management Report by Urban Ecosystems Limited (June, 2017)



This page is intentionally left blank.

hdrinc.com 100 York Boulevard, Suite 300, Richmond Hill, ON, CA L4B 1J8 (289) 695-4600

1 Introduction

The City of Vaughan is undertaking a Schedule 'B' Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study to review the transportation improvements along Teston Road from 250 m west of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit Way. HDR has been retained by the City of Vaughan to conduct the Teston Road Improvements Class EA Study. Within the study limits, Teston Road is currently a two-lane rural major collector roadway with one driving lane in each direction.

This Drainage and Stormwater Management Report has been prepared in support of the Class EA Study and complies with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), Region of York, and the City of Vaughan's Policies and Standards. The study limits are illustrated in **Figure 1-1**.



Figure 1-1. Study Area

The objective of the Drainage and Stormwater Management Report is to:

• Review available drainage information for existing conditions, including storm drainage area plans, reports and previous studies, plan-and-profile drawings and hydraulic and hydrologic models;

- Identify and evaluate existing drainage patterns and transverse culvert and bridge locations;
- Identify the existing stormwater and drainage conditions in the study area, including sensitive areas and issues;
- Establish design criteria for stormwater management to meet the requirements of the various authoritative bodies;
- Identify potential stormwater runoff quality and quantity impacts to the receiving watercourses/ storm sewer system resulting from changes to the roadway crosssection (i.e. increased pavement area); and
- Propose an appropriate drainage system, transverse culvert and bridge upgrades, and a stormwater management strategy in conjunction with the proposed road widening to mitigate any potential impact.

1.1 Background information

In preparation of the Teston Road Environmental Assessment Drainage and Stormwater Management Report, the following documents were obtained and reviewed:

- 1. Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Stormwater Management Practices Planning and Design Manual, March 2003;
- 2. Ministry of Transportation (MTO) Highway Drainage Design Standards, January 2008;
- 3. Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) Stormwater Management Criteria, August 2012;
- 4. Humber River Hydrology Update Final Report, prepared by Civica Infrastructure Ltd., April 2018;
- Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) and Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide, 2010;
- 6. Sustainable Technologies Evaluation Program (STEP) Low Impact Development Stormwater Management (LID SWM) Planning and Design Guide, 2020;
- 7. City of Vaughan, Engineering Design Criteria & Standard Drawings, Section 1.3 Municipal Infrastructure – Stormwater Management System, December 2020;
- 8. York Region Road Design Guidelines, December 2020;
- 9. Draft Fluvial Geomorphological Assessment, Teston Road Environmental Assessment (between 250 metres west of Pine Valley Drive and Kleinburg Summit Way), prepared by Matrix Solutions Inc., February 2021;
- 10. Natural Heritage Report, Teston Road from 250 m West of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit Way, prepared by LGL Ltd., March 2022;
- 11. Draft Geotechnical Report, Teston Road Improvements 250 m West of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit Way, prepared by Terraprobe Inc., February 2022;

- 12. Kleinburg Summit Master Environmental Servicing Plan for Block 55 East, City of Vaughan, prepared by SCS Consulting Group Ltd., June 2014;
- 13. Culvert Inspection Report, prepared by Keystone Bridge Management Corporation, 2018;
- 14. Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Final Stormwater Management Report, prepared by Urban Ecosystems Ltd., June 2017; and
- 15. Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Teston Road Drawings, City of Vaughan, prepared by Urban Ecosystems Ltd., February 2021.

2 Existing Drainage Conditions

2.1 Watershed and Subwatershed

The study corridor is located within the East Humber River watershed. The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) has jurisdiction with respect to drainage and stormwater management of the East Humber River Watershed. The study corridor crosses a tributary of East Humber River, Purpleville Creek, and two (2) tributaries of Purpleville Creek.

2.2 Land Use

The area surrounding the study corridor mainly consists of residential properties and open space on both sides of the roadway. New residential subdivision developments are currently under construction on the north-west side of the Teston Road and Kipling Avenue intersection, and the south-west side of the Teston Road and Pine Valley Drive intersection (Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision).

2.3 Hydrogeological Conditions

Preliminary geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations were conducted by Terraprobe Inc. in December 2021. A field investigation program was carried out between December 8 and 13, 2021, and consisted of drilling and sampling a total of sixteen (16) boreholes. Groundwater levels were measured using a 50 mm diameter standpipe piezometer on January 6 and 31, 2022.

The borehole investigation showed that along Teston Road, the subsurface stratigraphy generally consisted of a pavement structure or topsoil overlying compact sandy gravel, firm to stiff silty clay, and loose silty sand. The native overburden deposits consist of firm to hard silty clay to clayey silt till, loose to compact silt and sand to sand and silt, compact silt, and firm to stiff silty clay.

The estimated hydraulic conductivity ranged from 1×10^{-8} m/s to 1×10^{-5} m/s. As a conservative approach, the lowest hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-8} m/s was used for sizing of the Low Impact Development (LID) measures. This hydraulic conductivity approximately corresponds to an infiltration rate of 12 mm/hr, as per Table C1 in Appendix C of the CVC/TRCA LID SWM Planning and Design Guide (2010). A safety correction factor of 3.0 was applied to estimate the soil infiltration rate at the base of the proposed LID measures. Accordingly, a percolation rate of 4.0 mm/hr was considered for the native soil.

Measured groundwater levels in the standpipe piezometers near Crossings C-1, C-2, and C-3 during the investigation ranged from 1.4 m to 5.8 m below the ground surface (elevations ranging from 199.7 m to 202.2 m). During the detailed design stage, in-situ infiltration rate measurements should be completed at all proposed LID locations to confirm the soil infiltration rates and groundwater levels.

2.4 Existing Drainage Pattern

Within most of the study limits, Teston Road has a rural cross-section and is drained by roadside ditches. The ditches convey flows to the four (4) watercourse crossings along the corridor.

As part of the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision development, Teston Road has been reconstructed from 260 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard to the east end of the study limits. From 260 m to 100 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard, Teston Road has a rural cross-section on the north side and curb and gutter along the south side. From 100 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard to the east end of the study limits, Teston Road has an urban cross-section on both sides of the road. The existing storm sewers drain to various municipal systems constructed as part of the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision.

Based on the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Final Stormwater Management Report prepared by Urban Ecosystems Limited (June 2017), the Teston Road right-ofway from 260 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard to the east end of the study limits has already been accounted for in the stormwater management strategy.

Refer to the Drainage Plans in **Appendix A** for additional details. **Table 2-1** summarizes the approximate locations and areas for each of the drainage areas.

| Drainage Area ID | Description | Drainage Area (ha) | From Station | To Station | Discharge Location |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| A-1 | Kleinburg Summit Way to 120 m east of Kipling Avenue | 0.92 | 1+000 | 1+500 | Tributary of East Humber River (Crossing 1) |
| A-2 | 120 m east of Kipling Avenue to 460 m east of Kipling Avenue | 0.52 | 1+500 | 1+850 | Purpleville Creek (Crossing 2) |
| A-3 | 460 m east of Kipling Avenue to 650 m east of Kipling Avenue | 0.31 | 1+850 | 2+040 | Roadside ditches (ultimate outfall to Purpleville Creek) |
| A-4 | 650 m east of Kipling Avenue to 410 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard | 0.91 | 2+040 | 2+590 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 3) |
| A-5 | 410 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard to 200 m (south side) / 80 m (north side) west of Ballantyne Boulevard | 0.24 | 2+590 | 2+790 (South)/ 2+900 (North) | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 4) |
| A-6 | 200 m (south side) / 80 m (north side) west of Ballantyne Boulevard to 30 m east of Ballantyne Boulevard | 0.55 | 2+790 (South)/ 2+900 (North) | 3+020 | Proposed storm sewer system by Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision (ultimate condition) ¹ |
| A-7 | 30 m east of Ballantyne Boulevard to 240 m west of Pine Valley Drive | 0.25 | 3+020 | 3+160 | Existing storm sewer system by Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision on Ballantyne Boulevard |

Table 2-1. Summary of Existing Drainage Areas

¹ At the time of preparation of this Drainage and SWM Report, the proposed storm sewer by the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision has not yet been constructed, and flows within this catchment are directed through a quality control unit and discharge to the Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 4) in the interim condition

hdrinc.com

Since there is no watercourse crossing within Drainage Area A-3, flows ponding at the low point within this catchment will ultimately discharge towards the south to Purpleville Creek.

2.4.1 **External Areas**

Existing catchment areas and outlet locations along the corridor are identified in the Drainage Plans (Appendix A). Based on the existing roadway profile, external flows from the roadway and ditches west of Kleinburg Summit Way contribute to the flows in Drainage Area A-1 and ultimately discharge to Crossing 1. External areas contributing to the watercourse crossings within the study corridor were also delineated as part of the Humber River Hydrology Update (Civica Infrastructure, 2018).

As part of detailed design, a continuous flow path should be provided for any external drainage that enters the Teston Road right-of-way to convey external drainage to its respective outlet.

Aquatic Resources 2.5

According to the Natural Heritage Report prepared by LGL Ltd. (LGL, 2022), Crossing 1 is classified as coolwater indirect fish habitat, Crossing 2 and Crossing 3 are classified as coldwater-coolwater direct fish habitat, and Crossing 4 is classified as coldwatercoolwater indirect fish habitat. Crossings 2 and 3 are identified as potential seasonal Redside Dace habitat and are therefore considered regulated habitat for aguatic Species At Risk (SAR). The study area is also located within the general regulation limits of the TRCA, and the proposed works will require permitting under Ontario Regulation 166/06.

2.6 Transverse Culvert Crossings

Under existing conditions, there are four (4) transverse culvert crossings of Teston Road, which are a tributary of East Humber River, Purpleville Creek, and two (2) tributaries of Purpleville Creek. Crossings 2 and 3 are regulated by the TRCA. There is also an existing 1.8 m span and 0.8 m rise concrete box culvert immediately west of Crossing C-1 that receives flow from the existing stormwater management pond servicing the subdivision north of Teston Road. To accommodate the proposed works, this culvert will be extended by 3.0 m on the south side. Since this culvert is only receiving flow from the existing stormwater management pond, this culvert has been excluded from the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis. Table 2-2 summarizes the size, type, and location of the culvert structures. Refer to the Drainage Plans provided in Appendix A for additional details.

| 0 | Crossing ID | Watercourse Crossing | Location of Crossing | Culvert Description | Crossing Length (m) |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | C-1 | Tributary of East Humber River | 180 m west of Kipling Avenue | 0.9 m diameter circular CSP | 15.9 |
| | C-2 | Purpleville Creek | 360 m east of Kipling Avenue | 3.0 m span x 1.0 m rise concrete box | 8.4 |
| | | hdrinc.com | | | |

Table 2-2. Summary of Transverse Culvert Crossings

100 York Boulevard, Suite 300, Richmond Hill, (289) 695-4600



| Crossing ID | Watercourse Crossing | Location of Crossing | Culvert Description | Crossing Length (m) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| C-3 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 790 m east of Kipling Avenue | 2.4 m diameter circular CSP | 18.4 |
| C-4 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 670 m west of Pine Valley Drive | 0.75 m diameter circular CSP | 15.1 |

| Table 2-2. | Summary | of | Transverse | Culvert | Crossings |
|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|------------------|
| | Guilliary | U 1 | 11011370130 | Guivert | U UUUUUUU |

A Culvert Inspection Report (Keystone Bridge Management Corp., 2018) indicated that the concrete box culvert at Crossing C-2 has significant damage and is overdue for replacement. Additional existing condition assessments were not conducted as part of this study for the transverse crossings.

2.6.1 Assessment Criteria

In view of the proposed improvements, a hydraulic assessment of the existing transverse crossings within the Teston Road EA study corridor were undertaken in accordance with the Ontario Ministry of Transportation's Highway Drainage Design Standards (2008).

Design Flows

Based on the MTO Drainage Standard WC-1, the design flow for structures crossing Rural Arterial & Collector roadways with spans less than 6.0 m is the 25-year flow. For structures with spans greater than 6.0 m, the design flow is the 50-year flow. The Check Flow for Rural Arterial and Collector roadways is specified as 115% of the 100-year flow.

Freeboard

The minimum required freeboard for culvert crossings of Rural Arterial and Collector roadways is specified as 1.0 m between the design high water level and the edge of the travelled lane as per the MTO Drainage Standard WC-7.

As per the MTO Drainage Standard WC-7, the upstream water level generated by the Check Flow shall not exceed the elevation of the edge of the traveled lane.

Clearance

For open footing culverts, a minimum clearance of 0.3 m between the design high water level and the top of the culvert opening is specified as per MTO Drainage Standard WC-7. For closed footing culverts with a maximum diameter or rise of 3.0 m on Freeways, Arterials, and Collector roadways a maximum ratio of flood depth to the diameter or rise of the culvert (HW/D) of 1.5 is specified as per WC-7.

Minimum Culvert Sizes

The minimum culvert size for an entrance culvert is 500 mm diameter and the minimum culvert size for roadway crossings is 800 mm diameter as per the York Region Road Design Guidelines.

2.6.2 Hydraulic Assessment of Existing Transverse Crossings

A hydraulic analysis was conducted for the crossings within the study corridor to assess their hydraulic capacity under the existing conditions. An Estimated HEC-RAS hydraulic model for Purpleville Creek was obtained from the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) and updated with the latest available survey data for Crossing 2 and Crossing 3. Hydraulic models were not available for Crossing 1 and Crossing 4, and HY-8 hydraulic models were developed for the analysis of these crossings.

Design Flows

For Crossing 1, the design flows were obtained from the Kleinburg Summit Master Environmental Servicing Plan for Block 55 East (SCS Consulting Group Ltd., 2014), under post-development conditions for the 6 hour storm. Excerpts from the report are included in **Appendix B**.

For Crossing 2 and Crossing 3, the design flows were obtained from the Visual OTTHYMO model from the Humber River Hydrology Update Final Report (Civica Infrastructure Ltd., 2018). The Visual OTTHYMO model schematic and output is provided in **Appendix B**.

For Crossing 4, the peak flows at this crossing were calculated using a Visual OTTHYMO hydrologic model, taking the larger of the peak flows from the 6-hour and 12-hour design storms. The Drainage Area Plan and associated calculations are included in **Appendix B**. Using the catchments from the Humber River Hydrology Update Visual OTTHYMO model (Civica Infrastructure Ltd., 2018), the drainage area north of Teston Road draining to Crossing 4 was determined to be 21.5 ha. The runoff coefficient was estimated to be 0.4, using aerial maps and based on runoff coefficients for the local soil type, which was determined from the Land Information Ontario Soil Survey Complex and the MTO Drainage Design Manual. The Airport Method was used to calculate the time of concentration and corresponding time to peak, which was calculated to be 0.6 hours. The parameters were input into the Humber River Hydrology Update Visual OTTHYMO model to calculate the peak flow rates for the various storm events.

It is recommended that during detailed design, the assessment results be reviewed and verified to confirm any changes to the land-use and associated hydrologic information that may affect the peak flow presented in this Class EA study. A summary table of the storm design peak flows of the transverse crossing is presented in **Table 2-3**.

| Crossing | | Peak Flow (m³/s) | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| ID | Watercourse Crossing | 25-year Storm | 50-year Storm | Regional Storm/ Check Flow | | |
| C-1 | Tributary of East Humber River | 0.98 | 1.20 | 1.64 ² | | |
| C-2 | Purpleville Creek | 2.14 | 2.60 | 22.03 | | |
| C-3 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 0.47 | 0.57 | 3.63 | | |
| C-4 ¹ | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.41 ² | | |

Table 2-3. Design Peak Flow for Transverse Crossings

¹ Derived from Humber River Hydrology Update VO, 21.5 ha catchment area, peak flow from the 6-hr design storm

² Check Flow equal to 115% of the 100-yr storm, according to WC-1 of the MTO Highway Drainage Design Standards (2008)

Hydraulic Assessment

For Crossings C-1 and C-4, the hydraulic analysis was completed using a HY-8 hydraulic model, utilizing the culvert information (size, length, invert elevations and road elevation) obtained from the record drawings and the survey data. For Crossing C-2 and C-3, the HEC-RAS model for Purpleville Creek obtained from the TRCA was reviewed and updated to reflect the existing crossing conditions based on the available survey data completed for this EA study and used to conduct the hydraulic assessment. As part of the update to the hydraulic model, cross-sections upstream and downstream of the Teston Road crossing, as well as the driveway culvert downstream of the crossing, were included.

As per the MTO Highway Drainage Design Standards, hydraulic capacities were assessed based on the 25-year storm event peak flow for structure with spans less than 6.0 m, and the 50-year design storm event peak flow for structure with spans greater than 6.0 m to determine the available freeboard and clearance.

Table 2-4 summarizes the hydraulic analysis results for the transverse crossings along thestudy corridor. All hydraulic assessment output files are provided in **Appendix C**.

| | 11/9 | D/S Invert (m) | D/S Invert (m) | Pood | Water | Surface E | lev. (m) | Froo | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|------|--|
| Crossing ID | Invert (m) | | | Elev. (m) | 25-yr | 50-yr | Reg./ Check Flow | board (m) | HW/D | Remarks |
| C-1 | 203.99 | 203.72 | 15.9 | 205.44 | 204.99 | 205.20 | 205.50 ¹ | 0.45 | 1.11 | Does not meet MTO freeboard criteria. Check Flow overtops road. |
| C-2 | 201.95 | 201.91 | 8.4 | 203.58 | 202.77 | 202.83 | 203.65 | 0.81 | 0.82 | Does not meet MTO freeboard criterion. Regional overtops road |
| C-3 | 199.05 | 198.97 | 18.4 | 202.51 | 200.02 | 200.06 | 200.81 | 2.49 | 0.18 | Meets MTO freeboard and clearance criteria. |
| C-4 | 215.76 | 215.33 | 15.1 | 217.88 | 216.20 | 216.26 | 216.37 ¹ | 1.68 | 0.59 | Meets MTO freeboard and clearance criteria. |

 Table 2-4. Hydraulic Analysis Results for Transverse Culverts (Existing Condition)

¹ Check Flow equal to 115% of the 100-yr storm, according to WC-1 of the MTO Highway Drainage Design Standards (2008)

The results presented in **Table 2-4** indicate that Crossing C-1 does not meet MTO freeboard criterion, and the water surface level generated by the Check Flow overtops Teston Road by approximately 0.06 m. Crossing C-2 also does not meet MTO freeboard criterion, and the water surface level generated by the Regional storm overtops Teston Road with a depth of approximately 0.07 m. Crossing C-3 and C-4 meet MTO freeboard and clearance criteria and no overtopping occurs under Check Flow/Regional storm event condition.

3 Proposed Drainage Condition

3.1 Roadway Drainage System

The preferred alternative design concept for Teston Road improvements from 250 m West of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit recommends urbanization of the existing two-lane roadway (one lane in each direction), and the addition of a sidewalk on one side of the road and cycle tracks on both sides of the road. The design concept also includes intersection improvements at the Kleinburg Summit Way and Kipling Avenue intersections.

The roadway profile is modified in the proposed conditions to address vertical alignment geometric deficiencies and accommodate larger culvert crossings. The roadway profile will be raised at the sag near Crossing C-1 and raised over Crossing C-2, and the high point in the profile 100 m east of Crossing C-2 will be removed. Overall, the existing drainage patterns and discharge locations will not be altered as per the proposed roadway improvements, with the exception of the removed high point 100 m east of Crossing C-2, where major flows in the roadway will flow in an easterly direction towards the low point at Station 1+900.

As part of the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision development in the south-west corner of the Teston Road and Pine Valley Drive intersection, the roadway urbanization in Drainage Areas A-6 (south side only) and A-7 was already completed at the time of preparation of this Drainage and Stormwater Management Report. The design for this segment of Teston Road by the developer included a 3.0 m multi-use path on the south side of the road. Accordingly, the pavement area analysis for Drainage Areas A-6 and A-7 in the Teston Road EA only accounts for the increase in impervious area from the original design of a 3.0 m multi-use path on one side, to the proposed 1.8 m sidewalk on one side and 1.8 m cycle tracks on both sides with the 0.8 m buffer.

Runoff from Drainage Areas A-6 and A-7 discharge to the stormwater management system constructed by the developer, and these areas are accounted for in the design of the stormwater management wet pond. The Drainage Area Plan from the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision, prepared by Urban Ecosystems Limited (June 2017) is included in **Appendix F**. Accordingly, no additional stormwater management measures are proposed for these catchments within the Teston Road right-of-way as part of this study.

3.1.1 Minor Drainage System

The overall drainage pattern will generally be consistent with the existing conditions. To accommodate the proposed roadway urbanization, the proposed roadway runoff will be collected by a series of catchbasins and will be conveyed by curb and gutter and storm sewers to the existing drainage outlet locations. The storm sewer system for the ultimate roadway configuration is to be designed for a 5-year storm event and shall not surcharge during any storm return frequency event up to and including the 100-year return frequency level, as per the City of Vaughan Engineering Design Criteria (City of Vaughan, 2020). The combined design of the storm sewer and overland flow system must be capable of handling a 100 year return storm without surcharging the minor system. For the storm sewer discharge locations, refer to the Drainage Plans in



Appendix A. A summary listing the right-of-way drainage area characteristics is provided in **Table 2-1**.

As part of the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision development, a new storm sewer system has been constructed from 260 m west of Ballantyne Boulevard to the east end of the study limits. The proposed storm sewers draining the north side of Teston Road east of Crossing C-4 (within Drainage Area A-6) will tie into the receiving storm sewer system constructed as part of the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision development. As shown on the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Drainage Area Plan provided in **Appendix F**, this area is already included within the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision storm sewer system, and will receive water quality and water quantity control in the downstream stormwater management wet pond. Further discussion regarding the stormwater management plan is provided in **Section 4**.

3.1.2 Major Drainage System

The roadway design should ensure that the major system runoff up to the 100-year storm event can be safely conveyed to the outfall locations. Roadways may be used for major system overland flow conveyance during the greater of the 100-year return frequency or Regional storm, subject to the flow depth constraints indicated in the City of Vaughan Engineering Design Criteria. The maximum depth of ponding is 0.10 m above the crown of road and the water level up to the right-of-way. To address the climate change controls, the maximum depth of ponding for the August 19, 2005 storm event is 0.3 m above the gutter line and the water level should be retained within the right-of-way. Major system inlets will capture the greater of the 100-year and Regional flows and direct it to the appropriate outfalls. A spread analysis should be completed at the detailed design stage to ensure that the ponding at low points does not exceed the above criteria.

For major system flow route details, refer to the Drainage Plans in Appendix A.

3.2 Transverse Culvert Crossings

There are four (4) watercourse crossings within the study corridor. The proposed size, structure, and locations of each crossing was determined based on the existing condition assessment, natural heritage considerations, fluvial geomorphologic assessments, proposed roadway geometry, grading impacts, and hydraulic performance, with the objective of improving the drainage condition at each crossing, accommodating wildlife crossings, and addressing any existing deficiencies. A summary of the recommended approach for upgrades at each watercourse crossing is provided in **Table 3-1**.

| Crossing ID | Watercourse Crossing | Location | Recommendations for Watercourse Crossing Upgrades |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| C-1 | Tributary of East Humber River | 180 m west of Kipling Avenue | Replace existing 0.9 m diameter CSP culvert with a 4.267 m span x 1.525 m rise concrete open footing culvert |
| C-2 | Purpleville Creek | 360 m east of Kipling Avenue | Replace existing 3.0 m span x 1.0 m rise concrete box culvert with a 12.192 m span x 1.525 m rise concrete open footing culvert |
| C-3 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 790 m east of Kipling Avenue | Replace existing 2.4 m diameter CSP culvert with a 4.877 m span x 1.830 m rise concrete open footing culvert |
| C-4 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek | 670 m west of Pine Valley Drive | Extend existing 0.75 m diameter CSP culvert |

Table 3-1. Transverse Culvert Crossing Recommendations

3.2.1 Hydraulic Assessment of Proposed Transverse Crossings

Under proposed conditions, the drainage boundary and design peak flow values for the transverse crossings are considered to remain unchanged compared to the existing conditions. The increase in the pavement area as a result of the Teston Road improvements is negligible in comparison to the large external drainage areas contributing to each watercourse crossing location. Therefore, the design peak flows based on the current land use conditions were used to assess the hydraulic performance of the proposed crossings.

The hydraulic assessment for the proposed crossings is based on the preliminary proposed horizontal road design and vertical centerline profile design. Note that the proposed inverts of the crossing culverts are to be confirmed during detailed design to accommodate the road design and the roadside ditch grading. Hydraulic analysis results for proposed conditions are provided in **Table 3-2**. Hydraulic model output files are provided in **Appendix C**.

Crossing C-1 (Tributary of East Humber River)

To improve the hydraulic capacity at the crossing, the existing culvert is proposed to be replaced with a 4.267 m span x 1.525 m rise concrete open footing culvert.

The hydraulic assessment of the proposed Crossing C-1 completed using a HY-8 hydraulic model indicates that under proposed conditions for the design (25-year) storm event, the freeboard will be 2.32 m, and the Check Flow will not overtop the roadway.

Crossing C-2 (Purpleville Creek)

To accommodate wildlife passage and improve the hydraulic capacity at the crossing, the existing culvert is proposed to be replaced with a 12.192 m span x 1.525 m rise concrete open footing culvert, and the roadway profile is proposed to be raised to ensure sufficient cover for the culvert at this crossing. The existing 800 mm CSP at this location, which is a drainage culvert, is proposed to be removed as well.

The hydraulic assessment of the proposed Crossing C-2 completed using the updated Purpleville Creek HEC-RAS hydraulic model indicates that under proposed conditions for the design (50-year) storm event, the freeboard will be 1.05 m, and the Regional storm will not overtop the roadway. Additional coordination with the City of Vaughan and TRCA



shall be carried out to finalize the detail design of the culvert and to minimize impacts to the watercourse.

Crossing C-3 (Tributary to Purpleville Creek)

To accommodate wildlife passage, the existing culvert is proposed to be replaced with a 4.877 m span x 1.830 m rise concrete open footing culvert.

The hydraulic assessment of the proposed Crossing C-3 completed using the updated Purpleville Creek HEC-RAS hydraulic model indicates that under proposed conditions for the design (25-year) storm event, the freeboard will be 2.71 m, and the Regional storm will not overtop the roadway. Additional coordination with the City of Vaughan and TRCA shall be carried out to finalize the detail design of the culvert and to minimize impacts to the watercourse.

Crossing C-4 (Tributary to Purpleville Creek)

To accommodate roadway platform widening, the existing culvert is proposed to be extended. The hydraulic assessment of the proposed culvert extension at Crossing C-4 completed using a HY-8 hydraulic model indicates that under proposed conditions for the design (25-year) storm event, the freeboard will be 1.63 m, and the Check Flow will not overtop the roadway.

| Crossing | U/S | D/S | Length | Road | Wate | er Surface | Elev. (m) | Free- | Clearance (m) / Ro HW/D | Demoster |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| ID | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | 25-yr | 50-yr | Reg./Check | board (m) | | Remarks |
| C-1 | 204.15 | 203.42 | 28.9 | 206.74 | 204.42 | 204.46 | 204.53 ¹ | 2.32 | 0.53 | Meets MTO criteria. |
| C-2 | 202.31 | 202.25 | 26.0 | 203.80 | 202.72 | 202.75 | 203.38 | 1.05 | 1.03 | Meets MTO criteria. |
| C-3 | 199.74 | 199.67 | 17.2 | 202.65 | 199.94 | 199.96 | 200.30 | 2.71 | 1.56 | Meets MTO criteria. |
| C-4 | 215.817 | 215.23 | 20.57 | 217.88 | 216.25 | 216.31 | 216.43 ¹ | 1.63 | 0.58 ² | Meets MTO criteria. |

Table 3-2. Hydraulic Analysis Results for Transverse Culverts (Proposed Condition)

¹ Check Flow equal to 115% of the 100-yr storm, according to WC-1 of the MTO Highway Drainage Design Standards (2008) ² HW/D

4 Stormwater Management Plan

The stormwater management plan for the study area within the Humber River watershed shall be developed to comply with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) Stormwater Management Criteria, MECP Stormwater Management Guidelines, Humber River Hydrology Update Final Report (TRCA, 2018), York Region Road Design Guidelines, and City of Vaughan Engineering Design Criteria.

4.1 Water Quality Control

Watercourses within the TRCA's jurisdiction are classified as requiring an "Enhanced" level of protection, which equates to 80% Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal.

Stormwater management (water quality) measures within the study limits will be designed to provide "Enhanced" water quality treatment, as a minimum, for the increased pavement area as a result of roadway extension/widening/improvements. Opportunities to treat the entire pavement area are to be investigated in the detailed design stage.

4.2 Water Quantity Control

Watercourse Crossings

According to the TRCA Stormwater Management Criteria (TRCA, 2012), for catchments discharging to the main branch of the East Humber River, post-development peak flows are to be controlled to pre-development levels for the 2- to 100-year design storm events. For catchments discharging to Purpleville Creek, which is located within Sub Basin 19A, unit flow rates are provided for the 2- to 100-year design storm events. However, given the limited space within the ROW for linear infrastructure, it will be difficult to satisfy the unit flow criteria; therefore, a best efforts approach to provide sufficient storage to attenuate the post-development peak flow to the pre-development level for all design storms is recommended.

Storm Sewer Systems

For locations where the runoff discharges into an existing system, the minor system design storm (5-year storm) peak flows must be controlled to the existing peak flows, for which the receiving system was designed. The receiving storm sewer systems within the project limits are City of Vaughan systems, which would have been designed based on a 5-year storm.

4.3 Water Balance and Erosion Control

The TRCA criterion for water balance and erosion control requires retention of 5 mm of rainfall. This criterion is applicable to increased pavement area as a result of roadway extension/widening/improvements. Opportunities to provide water balance for the entire pavement area are to be investigated in the detailed design stage.

4.4 Pavement Area Analysis

A pavement area analysis was performed to determine the increase in impervious surface. It was determined that the proposed roadway improvements will result in a 1.40 hectare, or 69.0% increase, in pavement area within the Teston Road study corridor. The increase pavement area within the corridor is primarily attributed to the proposed cycle tracks, sidewalk, and 0.8 m buffer between the curb and the active transportation facilities. The pavement area analysis results are summarized in **Table 4-1**.

| Existing | | Proposed | Increase in | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Pavement Area (ha) | Roadway Pavement Area (ha) | Cycle Track, Sidewalk, and Buffer Area (ha) | Total Pavement Area (ha) | Pavement Area (ha) | Percent Increase | |
| 2.02 | 1.91 | 1.51 | 3.42 | 1.40 | 69.0% | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 4-1. Pavement Area Analysis

4.5 Stormwater Best Management Practice Options

Various Best Management Practices (BMPs) for stormwater management were reviewed and assessed for their applicability on this project. Due to the nature of this facility (i.e. linear transportation corridor) and the limited space within the roadway right-of-way, exfiltration systems under the cycle tracks parallel to storm sewers are proposed for quality treatment, erosion control, and water balance.

To provide quantity control at locations discharging to the watercourses to meet TRCA criteria, online storage pipes are proposed.

Since the increase in pavement area within the corridor is primarily attributed to the proposed cycle tracks and sidewalk, the use of permeable material (e.g. permeable pavement, permeable concrete) for the active transportation facilities as well as the buffer between them and the roadway could be considered as an alternative to exfiltration systems and online storage pipes. Since these are not heavy load bearing surfaces, the use of permeable pavement will not impact the functionality of the proposed design. Accordingly, there would be a negligible increase in pavement area, and no additional quantity or quality control would be required. Additional details and specifications for the permeable material are to be included in the detailed design stage.

The Stormwater Management plan has been prepared under the assumption that the active transportation facilities and buffer between the curb and active transportation facilities will be impervious, and exfiltration systems and online storage pipes will be required to mitigate the impacts of increased runoff.

4.5.1 Exfiltration Systems

Exfiltration Systems are linear conveyance facilities parallel to storm sewers, which consist of a trench lined with geotextile fabric and clean granular fill (50 mm clear stone) and include a perforated inlet pipe connected to the upstream catchbasin or manhole. In addition to removing TSS particles and providing water balance through infiltration, the

hdrinc.com

granular filter within the trench reduces water temperature impact and enhances stream base flows through groundwater recharge. It also contributes to controlling downstream erosion by reducing flow velocities.

The design criteria specified in the SWM Planning and Design Guide (MECP, 2003) and LID SWM Planning and Design Guide (STEP, 2020) were applied to determine the depth and footprint area for the trenches. The maximum allowable depth of the stone reservoir can be calculated using the following formula:

$$d_{r max} = i * t_s / V_r$$

where *i* is the infiltration rate of the native soils, which was estimated to be 4.0 mm/hr within the project limits based on the Hydrogeological Investigation (**Section 2.3**); t_s is time to drain, which is recommended to be 48 hours; and V_r is void space ratio of the aggregate used, which is typically 0.4 for clear stone. Accordingly, the maximum allowable depth of the reservoir can be calculated to be $d_{max} = 480$ mm.

For this project, 1.4 m wide by 0.4 m deep trenches are proposed with a 0.2 m perforated inlet pipe. Conceptual plan and profiles of the proposed exfiltration systems are provided in **Appendix D**. The footprint area of the trenches can be calculated using the following formula:

$$A_f = WQV / (d_c * V_r)$$

where WQV is the required water quality volume to meet the 'Enhanced' level protection (80% TSS removal), which is determined based on the contributing drainage area and the imperviousness using Table 3.2 of the SWM Planning and Design Manual (MECP, 2003); d_c is the depth of the trench, and V_r is the void space ratio for the gravel storage layer, which is typically 0.4. The stone reservoir within the trench will retain water to meet the water balance and erosion control targets. Additionally, the ratio of the impervious drainage area to footprint area of the infiltration trench should be between 5:1 and 20:1 to limit the rate of accumulation of fine sediments and thereby prevent clogging.

The bottom of the trench should be one (1) metre above the seasonally high groundwater table. According to the Hydrogeological Investigation (**Section 2.3**), the groundwater table ranges from 1.4 to 5.8 m below the ground surface where LID measures are generally proposed along the corridor. Due to the raise in roadway profile west of Crossing C-2, which is the location with the lowest separation, this should provide adequate separation under proposed conditions between the groundwater table and the bottom of the proposed facilities. LID measures could also be implemented in areas with high groundwater to exclusively provide quality control, but the facilities should be lined with an impermeable liner if adequate separation cannot be obtained. Further investigation should be completed during the detail design stage to confirm adequate separation from the proposed facilities at each location and to determine the percolation rate of the native soils using in-situ infiltration testing to ensure the maximum allowable depth of the reservoir is not exceeded.

The exfiltration systems are proposed for all the catchments within the study corridor, since runoff entering the proposed storm sewer system discharges directly into the watercourses. In addition to providing 'Enhanced' level protection (80% TSS removal), the provided storage volume within the trenches includes the volume required to retain the first 5 mm of rainfall to meet the TRCA water balance and erosion control target. Pre-100 York Boulevard, Suite 300, Richmond Hill, ON, CA L4B 1J8 hdrinc.com



Drainage Areas A-2, A-3, and A-4 are discharging to potential Redside Dace contributing habitat. Accordingly, 100% of the pavement areas are proposed to be treated due to the sensitivity of the receiving watercourse. Overall, the exfiltration systems are designed to provide water quality treatment for pavement areas exceeding the total increase in pavement area across the study corridor.

Table 4-2 lists the details of the exfiltration systems proposed along the Teston Roadcorridor. For locations of the proposed trenches, refer to the Drainage Plans provided in**Appendix A.** Detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

| Drainage Area ID | Proposed Pavement Area (ha) | Additional Pavement Area (ha) | Req'd Water Quality Volume (m ³) | Req'd Water Balance Storage ¹ (m ³) | Proposed Length (m) | Treated Pave- ment Area ² (m ²) | Provided Storage Volume (m ³) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| A-1 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 10 | 17 | 140 | 0.34 | 31 |
| A-2 | 0.50 | 0.24 | 22 | 25 | 185 | 0.50 | 41 |
| A-3 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 13 | 14 | 120 | 0.28 | 27 |
| A-4 | 0.79 | 0.40 | 36 | 39 | 340 | 0.79 | 76 |
| A-5 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 3 | 5 | 80 | 0.11 | 18 |
| A-6 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - |
| A-7 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Total | 3.42 | 1.40 | 90 | 109 | 865 | 2.01 | 194 |

Table 4-2. Summary of Proposed Water Quality Treatment Strategy

¹ Based on the retention of the first 5 mm of rainfall

² Area considered to be receiving water quality treatment

Through the proposed water quality treatment strategy, a total of 2.01 ha of pavement area is considered to receive water quality control through the use of the exfiltration systems. A total of 194 m³ of water balance and water quality/erosion control storage volume is proposed using the trenches, which exceeds the required storage volumes based on MECP and TRCA criteria. During detailed design, the location and performance characteristics of the exfiltration systems will need to be confirmed to ensure that all design criteria can be met.

4.5.2 Online Storage Pipes

For quantity control for catchments discharging to the Main Branch of the East Humber River (Drainage Area A-1), TRCA requires post-development peak flows to be controlled to pre-development levels for the full range of storm events. The required storage is considered as the largest of the storage required to control the peak flow from all storm events, up to the 100-year storm event, to the existing levels, and can be provided as a combination of underground storage and surface ponding.

For catchments discharging to Purpleville Creek (Drainage Areas A-2 to A-5), and for catchments discharging to the existing City of Vaughan Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision storm system sewers (Drainage Areas A-6 to A-7), due to the linear nature of the corridor and limited space for stormwater management facilities within the right-ofway, the unitary flow rates established as part of the TRCA Stormwater Management Criteria (2012) cannot be met. Therefore, a best-efforts approach is proposed for Drainage Areas A-2 to A-5 by controlling post-development peak flows for the 2-year to 100-year events to existing levels. For Drainage Areas A-6 and A-7, construction of the roadway and Teston Road storm sewer system have already been completed by the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision developer. The Teston Road right-of-way has already been accounted for in the sizing of the developer stormwater management wet pond, and quantity control is provided to meet the unitary flow rates established as part of the TRCA Stormwater Management Criteria (2012). Accordingly, no additional quantity control measures are required for Drainage Areas A-6 and A-7. The Drainage Area Plan from the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision, prepared by Urban Ecosystems Limited (June 2017) is included in Appendix F.

The required storage volumes to achieve the quantity control targets for each catchment are summarized in **Table 4-3**. Online storage pipes are proposed and shall be designed in combination with surface ponding to provide the required storage in the detailed design stage. Detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

| Drainage Area ID | Drainage Area (ha) | Existing Pavement Area (ha) | Additional Pavement Area (ha) | Required Storage ¹ (m ³) |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| A-1 | 0.92 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 64 |
| A-2 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 46 |
| A-3 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 25 |
| A-4 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 75 |
| A-5 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 21 |
| A-6 ² | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0 |
| A-7 ² | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0 |
| Total | 3.70 | 2.02 | 1.40 | 230 |

Table 4-3. Summary of Proposed Water Quantity Treatment Strategy

¹ Based on controlling up to 100-year storm

² Quantity control will be provided by the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision stormwater management facility

Through the proposed water quantity control strategy, a total of 230 m³ of storage volume will be provided to attenuate peak flows to existing levels. During detailed design, the location, pipe sizing, and orifice sizing of the online storage pipes will need to be determined to ensure that the water quantity control criteria can be met. Storage volume calculations with a minute-by-minute time step are also to be provided for review by TRCA during detailed design.

4.5.3 Supplemental BMP Measures

Through discussions with TRCA, opportunities to implement supplemental stormwater best management practice (BMP) measures to augment the treatment proposed by the exfiltration systems using a treatment train approach, including measures to mitigate water temperature impacts, are to be considered in the detail design stage.

The supplemental BMP measures shall be designed based on the site conditions and further geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations undertaken during the next phase of design. Any low impact development measures shall meet the design criteria as per the Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide (STEP, 2020).

A list of potential LID measures and BMP's to support the treatment train approach that may be considered for implementation within the study corridor during the detailed design is provided as follows:

Bioretention Systems

Bioretention systems allow for stormwater filtration, infiltration, and evapotranspiration from tree and vegetative plantings.

For roadway applications, these can take the form of sub-surface modular units that are filled with lightly compacted soil within a trench situated beneath the roadway boulevards. The trench unit consists of a filter bed, which is a mixture of sand, fines, and organic material to support vegetation and promote evapotranspiration by allowing surface runoff to route through a surface inlet or a subsurface distribution pipe via gravity within the trench. Soil filtration, bioremediation, and evapotranspiration will occur as water filtrates through the soil from the perforated distribution pipe.

Since trees require water to sustain their health and allow for growth, the concept of integrating stormwater runoff from the right-of-ways and discharging the runoff directly into the soil trench systems has the following advantages:

- Boulevard landscaping (trees) will receive a supply of rainwater during every rainfall event, thus sustaining their health;
- Stormwater runoff from the roadways could potentially see significant detention within the soil trench systems, which will result in runoff reduction;
- Water quality treatment will be achieved since stormwater can be routed through the trench's soil and tree root matrix, thus creating a subsurface bioretention system; and
- For smaller rainfall events, the soil trenches can provide (in the long-term) for complete capture of the runoff through infiltration, root uptake, and evapotranspiration.

Vegetated Filter Strips

Vegetated filter strips operate through a combination of sedimentation and infiltration. Shallow flows are routed over grassed areas, which allow the filter strips to function by slowing down the runoff velocity and filter out suspended sediment and associated pollutants and allowing infiltration into underlying soils. Filter strips are applicable where there are low, flat vegetated areas that will allow runoff to disperse over a wide area.

Vegetative filter strips should be considered to provide additional water quality control in series with the exfiltration systems as a treatment train system.

Plunge Pools

Plunge pools are designated depression areas at the base of storm outfalls to prevent scouring and erosion due to the high velocity of the flow at the outfall pipe locations. The plunge pool also functions as a level spreader that reduces the concentrated flow from the outfall and spreads the flow onto a natural vegetated floodplain area.

Plunge pools should be considered at the storm outfall locations to disperse the energy of the flow.

4.6 Erosion and Sediment Control during Construction

Erosion and sediment control measures should be implemented and monitored through the construction period. Construction activities should be conducted during periods that are least likely to result in in-stream impacts to fish habitat.

Detailed erosion and sediment control plans will be required as part of the detail design component for all phases of the construction. The erosion and sediment control plans will be subject to review and approval by the various external agencies involved in the project, including the TRCA.

During construction, disturbances to watercourse riparian vegetation should be minimized. If riparian vegetation is removed or disturbed, erosion and sediment control measures such as silt fences, rock flow check dams and sedimentation ponds should be utilized to provide a maximum protection of local and downstream aquatic resources. These measures should be maintained during construction and until disturbed areas have been stabilized with seed and mulch. Additionally, topsoil should not be stockpiled close to the watercourses and water should not be withdrawn from these sensitive streams for construction purposes.

The site engineer and contractor will be responsible for delineating work areas and ensuring that erosion and sediment control measures are functional. In addition, the engineer will ensure that provisions related to fisheries and watercourse protection is met and that any required fish habitat compensation measures are implemented in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Fisheries Act Authorization.

4.7 Stormwater Management Plan Summary

The proposed stormwater management plan for the project has been developed by examining the opportunities and constraints within the entire study corridor. Runoff from the paved roadway area will be conveyed to the proposed exfiltration and roadway storm sewer systems and discharge to the existing watercourses within the study limits. As per **Section 4.3**, the pavement area will increase by 1.40 ha due to the additional cycle tracks and sidewalk. Enhanced level water quality, water balance, and erosion control treatment will be considered to be provided for 2.01 ha of pavement area, exceeding the MECP requirement of providing treatment to the increased pavement area. The

hdrinc.com

stormwater management plan for this project is presented on the Drainage Plans in **Appendix A. Table 4-4** provides a summary of the water quality treatment and quantity control strategies proposed to mitigate the increase in impervious surface within the project limits from the cycle tracks and sidewalk.

| Drainage Area ID | Existing Pavement Area (ha) | Additional Pavement Area (ha) | Pavement Area Considered to Receive Quality Treatment (ha) | Quality Storage Volume Provided (m³) | Quantity Control Storage Required ² (m ³) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| A-1 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 31 | 64 |
| A-2 ¹ | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 41 | 46 |
| A-3 ¹ | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 27 | 25 |
| A-4 ¹ | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.79 | 76 | 75 |
| A-5 ¹ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 18 | 21 |
| A-6 ³ | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| A-7 ³ | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2.02 | 1.40 | 2.01 | 194 | 230 |

Table 4-4. Summary of Stormwater Management Plan

¹ Total pavement area is treated to meet MECP requirements of treating the overall increased pavement area in the corridor

² Based on controlling up to 100-year storm

³ Quality and Quantity control provided by Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision stormwater management facility

5 Conclusions

The Teston Road corridor from 250 m west of Pine Valley Drive to Kleinburg Summit Way is proposed to be urbanized with the addition of cycle tracks on both sides of the road and a sidewalk on the south side of the road. The proposed design will include a new subsurface road drainage system, consisting of storm sewer systems with catchbasins along the curb lines to convey stormwater runoff to the various outfall locations along the corridor.

The study area is within the area regulated by the TRCA, and four (4) watercourse crossings are located within the project limits. Hydraulic analyses were completed for the existing and proposed conditions at the watercourse crossings to ensure that the proposed structures will not negatively impact the upstream flood levels and if feasible, will meet the requirements of the MTO Highway Drainage Design Standards.

The East Humber River culvert crossing (Crossing C-1) does not meet MTO hydraulic criteria and is proposed to be replaced with a 4.267 m span x 1.5225 m rise concrete open footing culvert. The Purpleville Creek culvert crossing (Crossing C-2) does not meet MTO hydraulic criteria and is currently overtopped under the Regional storm event and is proposed to be replaced with a 12.192 m span x 1.525 m rise concrete open footing culvert. The two Tributary of Purpleville Creek Crossings (Crossing C-3 and C-4) currently meet MTO hydraulic criteria. C-3 will be replaced with a 4.877 m span x 1.830 m rise concrete open footing culvert to accommodate wildlife passage, and the existing 750 mm diameter CSP culvert at C-4 will be extended to accommodate the proposed improvements. A detailed hydraulic assessment should be conducted during detail design to confirm the hydraulic impacts in consideration with the proposed downstream channel improvement works.

Stormwater best management practices, including catchbasin inserts, exfiltration systems, and online storage pipes, are proposed to provide stormwater quality treatment, water balance, erosion control, and quantity control of the increased runoff from the rightof-way. The proposed road improvements will result in a 1.40 ha increase in pavement area. As part of the SWM strategy, a total of 2.01 ha of pavement area will be considered to receive quality treatment through the proposed exfiltration systems, which exceeds the MECP requirement of providing treatment to the increased pavement area. The exfiltration systems will provide a total 194 m³ of storage volume for water balance and quality and erosion control, which exceeds the required volumes determined by the MECP and TRCA. Quantity control will be provided through the proposed online storage pipes to control various storm events peak flows rates to their existing levels. Opportunities to implement supplemental BMP measures to provide additional water quality control, water temperature mitigation, and support a treatment train approach may be considered during the next phases of design in series with the proposed measures to enhance the overall water quality objectives.





hdrinc.com









hdrinc.com





MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICING PLAN

BLOCK 55 EAST THE KIPLING AVENUE COMMUNITY

July 2013, Revised January 2014, Final June 2014









Table B7.6: Summary of Pre and Post-Development Flows at Feature C Upstream of Teston Road – Option A

| Pond 5 – Option A | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Return Period Storm | Feato Upstream of (Node | ure C Teston Road e JC1) | Feature C Upstream of Teston Road (Node JC1) | | | | | |
| Storm | PRE | POST | PRE | POST | | | | |
| | 12 Hour AES | 12 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | | | | |
| 2 Year | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.18 | | | | |
| 5 Year | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.71 | 0.45 | | | | |
| 10 Year | 0.78 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 0.66 | | | | |
| 25 Year | 1.10 | 0.64 | 1.56 | 0.94 | | | | |
| 50 Year | 1.33 | 0.78 | 1.93 | 1.15 | | | | |
| 100 Year | 1.55 | 0.90 | 2.30 | 1.38 | | | | |

Table B7.7: Summary of Pre and Post-Development Flows at Feature C Downstream of Teston Road – Option A

| Pond 5 – Option A | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Return Period Storm | Featu Downstream o (Node | ure C of Teston Road e JC2) | Feature C Downstream of Teston Road (Node JC2) | | | | | |
| | PRE | POST | PRE | POST | | | | |
| | 12 Hour AES | 12 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | | | | |
| 2 Year | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.43 | | | | |
| 5 Year | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.43 | 1.29 | | | | |
| 10 Year | 1.62 | 1.68 | 2.18 | 2.04 | | | | |
| 25 Year | 2.30 | 2.31 | 3.20 | 2.97 | | | | |
| 50 Year | 2.80 | 2.77 | 3.98 | 3.67 | | | | |
| 100 Year | 3.28 | 2.89 | 4.77 | 4.08 | | | | |

Table B7.8: Summary of Pre and Post-Development Flows at Feature C Upstream of Teston Road – Option B

| Pond 5 – Option B | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Return Period Storm | Featu Upstream of (Node | ure C Teston Road e JC1) | Feature C Upstream of Teston Road (Node JC1) | | | | | |
| | PRE | POST | PRE | POST | | | | |
| | 12 Hour AES | 12 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | 6 Hour AES | | | | |
| 2 Year | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.19 | | | | |
| 5 Year | 0.53 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.47 | | | | |
| 10 Year | 0.78 | 0.49 | 1.07 | 0.69 | | | | |
| 25 Year | 1.09 | 0.67 | 1.55 | 0.98 | | | | |
| 50 Year | 1.33 | 0.81 | 1.92 | 1.20 | | | | |
| 100 Year | 1.55 | 0.95 | 2.29 | 1.43 | | | | |

Flows used for Crossing 1 Hydrologic Analysis


April 2018



Prepared for: Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA)

Final Report: Humber River Hydrology Update





330 Rodinea Rd., Unit 3 Vaughan, ON L6A 4P5 905.417.9792 info@civi.ca

Crossing 2 and 3 Visual OTTHYMO Catchments





Crossing 2 and 3, 12-hour Storm ***** **Visual OTTHYMO Outputs**

** SIMULATION:2yr-12hr ** *****

ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK (ha) (cms) (hrs) 1 + 2 = 3 R.V. -----(mm) ID1= 1 (2285): 416.82 0.346 12.50 + ID2= 2 (0330): 23.23 0.027 8.25 5.85 3.58 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 440.05 0.363 12.00 5.73 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ----------ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK (ha) (cms) (hrs) 3 + 2 = 1 R.V. -----(mm) ID1= 3 (7544): 440.05 0.363 12.00 5.73 + ID2= 2 (0331): 159.69 0.126 10.75 4.74 ID = 1 (7544): 599.74 0.487 11.67 5.47 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ------ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. -----(cms) (ha) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7544): 599.74 0.487 11.67 5.47 + ID2= 2 (0332): 191.39 0.155 12.17 5.85 _____ Crossing 2, 2-Year 12-hour Storm ID = 3 (7544): 791.13 0.642 11.75 5.56 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ADD HYD (0807) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3

 ID1= 1 (0329):
 219.14
 0.143
 13.00
 6.03

 + ID2= 2 (7544):
 791.13
 0.642
 11.75
 5.56

 ------Crossing 3, 2-Year 12-hour Storm ID = 3 (0807): 1010.27 0.783 12.17 5.67 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ****** ** SIMULATION:5yr-12hr ** ***** -----ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 -----(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2285): 416.82 0.621 12.25 10.52 + ID2= 2 (0330): 23.23 0.049 8.25 6.59 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 440.05 0.654 11.75 10.31 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ADD HYD (7544)

 How Stress (1)
 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.

 + 2 = 1 |
 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.

 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)

 ID1= 3 (7544):
 440.05 0.654 11.75 10.31

 + ID2= 2 (0331):
 159.69 0.229 10.50 8.62

 R.V. (mm) 3 + 2 = 1 _____ ID = 1 (7544): 599.74 0.881 11.42 9.86 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

| ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (7544): + ID2= 2 (0332): | AREA (ha) 599.74 191.39 | QPEAK (cms) 0.881 0.278 | TPEAK (hrs) 11.42 11.83 | R.V. (mm) 9.86 10.51 | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ID = 3 (7544): | 791.13 | 1.158 | 11.50 | 10.02 | Crossing 2, 5-Year 12-hour Storm |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| ADD HYD (0807) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (0329): + ID2= 2 (7544): | AREA (ha) 219.14 791.13 | QPEAK (cms) 0.256 1.158 | TPEAK (hrs) 12.92 11.50 | R.V. (mm) 10.81 10.02 | Crossing 3, 5-Year 12-hour Storm |
| | | | | 10.00 | |
| ID = 3 (0807): | 1010.27 | 1.410 | 11.83 | 10.20 | |

***** ** SIMULATION:100yr-12hr ** ****** -----ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) 1 + 2 = 3 ------ID1= 1 (2285): 416.82 1.647 11.92 27.85 + ID2= 2 (0330): 23.23 0.139 8.00 18.53 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 440.05 1.740 11.42 27.36 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ -----ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) 3 + 2 = 1 -----ID1= 3 (7544): 440.05 1.740 11.42 27.36 + ID2= 2 (0331): 159.69 0.627 10.25 23.49 ID = 1 (7544): 599.74 2.361 11.08 26.33 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ------ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 -----(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (IIA) (CMS) ID1= 1 (7544): 599.74 2.361 11.08 26.33 + ID2= 2 (0332): 191.39 0.736 11.42 27.83 _____ Crossing 2, 100-Year 12-hour Storm ID = 3 (7544): 791.13 3.097 11.17 26.70 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ADD HYD (0807) 1 + 2 = 3 -----Crossing 3, 100-Year 12-hour Storm ID = 3 (0807): 1010.27 3.757 11.42 27.12 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ****** ** SIMULATION:10yr-12hr ** ***** -----ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 L -----(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2285): 416.82 0.839 12.17 14.20 + ID2= 2 (0330): 23.23 0.068 8.25 9.05 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 440.05 0.884 11.67 13.93 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ADD HYD (7544) + 2 = 1 | AREA QPEAK ------ (ha) (cms) ID1= 3 (7544): 440.05 0.884 TPEAK R.V. (mm) 3 + 2 = 1 (hrs) 11.67 13.93 + ID2= 2 (0331): 159.69 0.313 10.42 11.74 _____ ID = 1 (7544): 599.74 1.193 11.33 13.35 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

| ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (7544): + ID2= 2 (0332): | AREA (ha) 599.74 191.39 | QPEAK (cms) 1.193 0.375 | TPEAK (hrs) 11.33 11.67 | R.V. (mm) 13.35 14.19 | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ID = 3 (7544): | 791.13 | 1.568 | 11.42 | 13.55 | Crossing 2, 10-Year 12-hour Storm |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (0807) 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| ID1= 1 (0329): + ID2= 2 (7544): | 219.14 791.13 | 0.345 1.568 | 12.83 11.42 | 14.58 13.55 | Crossing 3, 10-Year 12-hour Storm |
| ID = 3 (0807): | 1010.27 | 1.906 | 11.75 | 13.79 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AM | NY. | |
| ************************************** | ****** ** *** | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| ID1= 1 (2285): | (ha) 416.82 | (cms) 1.142 | (hrs) 12.00 | (mm) 19.33 | |
| + ID2= 2 (0330): | 23.23 | 0.094 ====== | 8.17 | 12.54 ====== | |
| ID = 3 (7544): | 440.05 | 1.205 | 11.50 | 18.97 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) 3 + 2 = 1 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| ID1= 3 (7544): | (ha) 440.05 | (cms) 1.205 | (hrs) 11.50 | (mm) 18.97 | |
| + ID2= 2 (0331): | 159.69 ===== | 0.430 | 10.33 | 16.11 | |
| ID = 1 (7544): | 599.74 | 1.630 | 11.25 | 18.21 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) | AREA | OPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| TD1= 1 (7544) | (ha) 599_74 | (cms) | (hrs) 11.25 | (mm) 18.21 | |
| + ID2= 2 (0332): | 191.39 | 0.511 | 11.58 | 19.31 | |
| ID = 3 (7544): | 791.13 | 2.141 | 11.25 | 18.48 | Crossing 2, 25-Year 12-hour Storm |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCLU | JDE BASEFI | LOWS IF AM | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (0807) 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| ID1= 1 (0329): | (na) 219.14 | (CmS) 0.468 | 12.75 | (mm) 19.81 | Crossing 3, 25-Year 12-hour Storm |
| + 1D2= 2 (7544): | /91.13 | 2.141 | 11.25 | 18.48 | |
| LD = 3 (0807): | 1010.27 | 2.600 | 11.58 | 18.79 | |
| NUIE: PEAK FLOWS DO | | JUE BASEFI | LOWS IF AN | чř. | |
| ** SIMULATION:50yr-12hr | ** | | | | |
| ***** | ***** | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) | | | | | |
| 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |

| ID1= 1 (2285): | (ha) 416.82 | (cms) 1.387 0.116 | (hrs) 11.92 | (mm) 23.47 15.43 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| + 102= 2 (0330). | 23.23 ======== | 0.110 ======= | 0.00 | 15.45 | |
| ID = 3 (7544): | 440.05 | 1.464 | 11.42 | 23.04 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCL | UDE BASEF | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) | | | | | |
| 3 + 2 = 1 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| TD1= 3 (7544). | (na) 440.05 | (CMS) 1.464 | (nrs) 11.42 | (mm) 23.04 | |
| + ID2= 2 (0331): | 159.69 | 0.525 | 10.33 | 19.68 | |
| ID = 1 (7544): | 599.74 | 1.984 | 11.17 | 22.15 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCL | UDE BASEF | LOWS IF AN | WY. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | OPEAK | ТРЕАК | R.V. | |
| | (ha) | (cms) | (hrs) | (mm) | |
| ID1= 1 (7544): | 599.74 | 1.984 | 11.17 | 22.15 | |
| + 1D2= 2 (0332): | 191.39 ======== | 0.620 | 11.50 ======= | 23.45 | |
| ID = 3 (7544): | 791.13 | 2.604 | 11.25 | 22.47 | Crossing 2, 50-Year 12-hour Storm |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCL | UDE BASEF | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |
| Ι ΔDD HYD (0807)] | | | | | |
| 1 + 2 = 3 | AREA | QPEAK | TPEAK | R.V. | |
| | (ha) | (cms) | (hrs) | (mm) | |
| ID1=1 (0329): | 219.14 | 0.568 | 12.75 | 24.03 | Crossing 3, 50-Year 12-hour Storm |
| + 102= 2 (7544). | /91.15 ======; | 2.004 | 11.25 ======== | 22,47 | |
| ID = 3 (0807): | 1010.27 | 3.161 | 11.50 | 22.83 | |
| NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO | NOT INCL | UDE BASEF | LOWS IF AN | NY. | |
| | | | | | |

***** ** ** SIMULATION:Hazel1000 ****** -----ADD HYD (7544) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) -----ID1= 1 (2285): 416.82 9.122 14.42 151.77 + ID2= 2 (0330): 23.23 1.003 12.00 129.39 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 440.05 9.879 14.00 150.59 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ ----------ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) 3 + 2 = 1 -----ID1= 3 (7544): 440.05 9.879 14.00 150.59 + ID2= 2 (0332): 191.39 4.074 14.08 151.61 ID = 1 (7544): 631.44 13.954 14.00 150.95 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ------ADD HYD (7544) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 -----(cms) (ha) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7544): 631.44 13.954 14.00 150.95 + ID2= 2 (7637): 97.14 12.925 10.00 173.85 _____ ID = 3 (7544): 728.58 19.656 11.00 154.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. -----ADD HYD (7544)

 + 2 = 1
 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.

 (ha)
 (cms)
 (hrs)

 ID1= 3 (7544):
 728.58
 19.656
 11.00
 154.00

 + ID2= 2 (7638):
 62.39
 2.643
 12.08
 147.15

 3 + 2 = 1 -----Crossing 2, Regional Storm ID = 1 (7544): 790.97 22.029 11.00 153.46 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____ -----ADD HYD (0807) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) | 1 + 2 = 3 | ID1= 1 (0329): 219.14 3.629 15.42 153.03 + ID2= 2 (7544): 790.97 22.029 11.00 153.46 Crossing 3, Regional Storm _____ ID = 3 (0807): 1010.11 24.603 11.00 153.59 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.



VO Parameters Value Parameter Comments Area in Catchment 20.01 (NHYD 328) north of Teston 21.459 Culvert Catchment Area (ha) Road Catchment Type NASHYD CN 60* From TRCA VO Model, Catchment 20.01 IA 10 From TRCA VO Model, Catchment 20.01 N From TRCA VO Model, Catchment 20.01 1.5

Crossing C-4 Hydrologic Analysis

| Time to Peak Calculation (Airport Method) | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Value | Comments | | | | | |
| Soil Type | Clay/Clay Loam | Source: Soil Survey Complex | | | | | |
| C, runoff coeff | 0.4 | Based on MTO Part 4 Table 1.07 | | | | | |
| L, catchment length (m) | 730 | Determined from satellite imagery and contours | | | | | |
| Sw, catchment slope (%) | 1.51 | Determined from satellite imagery and contours | | | | | |
| A, catchment area (ha) | 21.459 | | | | | | |
| tc (minutes) | 53.854 | | | | | | |
| tp (hours) | 0.601 | | | | | | |

| Results | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Storm Event | 6 Hour AES | 12 hour AES | | | | |
| 2-Year | 0.058 | 0.066 | | | | |
| 5-Year | 0.118 | 0.122 | | | | |
| 10-Year | 0.166 | 0.167 | | | | |
| 25-Year | 0.237 | 0.23 | | | | |
| 50-Year | 0.295 | 0.282 | | | | |
| 100-Year | 0.358 | 0.337 | | | | |

Note: 6-hour flow rates used as a conservative approach, due to the larger flow rates in the major storm events.

VO Hydrology Output: Crossing 4

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | *** SIMULATION:10 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** READ STORM Filename: C:\Users\JLook\AppD at\Lccl\Temp\ 41Bb956-16a0-40dd-b965-edb2c32052b5\95b28b57 Ptotal= 62.71 mm Comments: 10 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs 0.66 7.60 2.51 10.60 0.63 4.25 10.66 7.75 2.51 11.60 0.63 1.25 0.63 4.459 28.64 7.75 2.51 11.75 0.63 2.50 | PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.167 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.459 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 62.710 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.199 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. |
|--|--|---|
| Le67 3.76 5.750 8.15 8.833 1.25 11.92 0.63 2.750 3.76 5.833 8.15 8.917 1.25 12.00 0.63 2.750 3.76 5.917 8.15 9.000 1.25 12.08 0.63 2.917 3.76 6.000 8.15 9.003 1.25 12.17 0.63 3.000 3.76 6.083 8.15 9.125 12.25 0.63 3.003 3.76 6.167 8.15 9.250 1.25 12.25 0.63 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 0.611 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. | CALIS MSHVD (9001) Area (ha)= 11.46 U.H. Tg(hrs)* 0.60 Curve Number (N)= 60.0 of Linear Res.(N)= 1.50 THE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED TO TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED TO TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED TO TO S.0 MIN. TIME STEP. THE RAIN ' TIME RAIN ' TIME RAIN TIME RAIN' TIME RAIN ' TIME RAIN ' TIME RAIN 0.633 0.00 | Image: |

| Ptotal= 88.54 mm | Commen | 4a18t ts: 100 \ | 056-16a 'ear 12 | 0-40dd-b9 Hour AES | 65-edb2c (Bloor. | :32052b5\: TRCA) | lacac372 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|--|
| TTME | RATN | | RATN | I' TIME | RATN | | RATN | |
| hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | l' hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | |
| 0.25 | 0.00 | 3.50 | 15.05 | 6.75 | 6.20 | 10.00 | 0.89 | |
| 0.50 | 0.89 | 3.75 | 15.05 | 7.00 | 6.20 | 10.25 | 0.89 | |
| 0.75 | 0.89 | 4.00 | 15.05 | 7.25 | 6.20 | 10.50 | 0.89 | |
| 1.00 | 0.89 | 4.25 | 40.71 | 7.50 | 3.54 | 10.75 | 0.89 | |
| 1.50 | 0.89 | 4.75 | 40.71 | 8.00 | 3.54 | 11.25 | 0.89 | |
| 1.75 | 0.89 | 5.00 | 40.71 | 8.25 | 3.54 | 11.50 | 0.89 | |
| 2.00 | 0.89 | 5.25 | 40.71 | 8.50 | 1.77 | 11.75 | 0.89 | |
| 2.25 | 0.89 | 5.50 | 11.51 | 8.75 | 1.77 | 12.00 | 0.89 | |
| 2.75 | 5.31 | 6.00 | 11.51 | 9.25 | 1.77 | 12.25 | 0.05 | |
| 3.00 | 5.31 | 6.25 | 11.51 | 9.50 | 0.89 | | | |
| 3.25 | 5.31 | 6.50 | 6.20 | 9.75 | 0.89 | | | |
| .IB 5HYD (0001) 1 DT= 5.0 min | Area Ia | (ha)= 2 (mm)= 1 | 1.46 | Curve Num # of Line | ber (Car Res.(| N)= 60.0 N)= 1.50 | | |
| | U.Н. Тр | (hrs)= | 0.60 | | | | | |
| NOTE: RAINEA | LL WAS T | RANSFORME | р то | 5.0 MTN. | TIME STE | Р. | | |
| North Industry | | | | 510 112.00 | Tine ore | | | |
| | | TR/ | NSFORME | D HYETOGR | ΔPH | | | |
| TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN | ' TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN | |
| hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | ' hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | |
| 0.083 | 0.00 | 3.167 | 5.31 | 6.250 | 11.51 | 9.33 | 0.89 | |
| 0.107 | 0.00 | 3.333 | 15.05 | 6.417 | 6.20 | 9.50 | 0.89 | |
| 0.333 | 0.89 | 3.417 | 15.05 | 6.500 | 6.20 | 9.58 | 0.89 | |
| 0.417 | 0.89 | 3.500 | 15.05 | 6.583 | 6.20 | 9.67 | 0.89 | |
| 0.500 | 0.89 | 3.583 | 15.05 | 6.667 | 6.20 | 9.75 | 0.89 | |
| 0.583 | 0.89 | 3.667 | 15.05 | 6 833 | 6 20 | 9.83 | 0.89 | |
| 0.750 | 0.89 | 3.833 | 15.05 | 6.917 | 6.20 | 10.00 | 0.89 | |
| 0.833 | 0.89 | 3.917 | 15.05 | 7.000 | 6.20 | 10.08 | 0.89 | |
| 0.917 | 0.89 | 4.000 | 15.05 | 7.083 | 6.20 | 10.17 | 0.89 | |
| 1.000 | 0.89 | 4.085 | 15.05 | 7.250 | 6.20 | 10.25 | 0.89 | |
| 1.167 | 0.89 | 4.250 | 15.05 | 7.333 | 3.54 | 10.42 | 0.89 | |
| 1.250 | 0.89 | 4.333 | 40.71 | 7.417 | 3.54 | 10.50 | 0.89 | |
| 1.333 | 0.89 | 4.417 | 40.71 | 7.500 | 3.54 | 10.58 | 0.89 | |
| 1.41/ | 0.89 | 4.500 | 40.71 | 7.667 | 3.54 | 10.57 | 0.89 | |
| 1.583 | 0.89 | 4.667 | 40.71 | 7.750 | 3.54 | 10.83 | 0.89 | |
| 1.667 | 0.89 | 4.750 | 40.71 | 7.833 | 3.54 | 10.92 | 0.89 | |
| 1.750 | 0.89 | 4.833 | 40.71 | 7.917 | 3.54 | 11.00 | 0.89 | |
| 1.833 | 0.89 | 4.917 | 40.71 | 8.000 | 3.54 | 11.08 | 0.89 | |
| 2.000 | 0.89 | 5.083 | 40.71 | 8.167 | 3.54 | 11.17 | 0.89 | |
| 2.083 | 0.89 | 5.167 | 40.71 | 8.250 | 3.54 | 11.33 | 0.89 | |
| 2.167 | 0.89 | 5.250 | 40.71 | 8.333 | 1.77 | 11.42 | 0.89 | |
| 2.250 | 0.89 | 5.333 | 11.51 | 8.417 | 1.77 | 11.50 | 0.89 | |
| 2.333 | 5.31 | 5.500 | 11.51 | 8.583 | 1.77 | 11.50 | 0.89 | |
| 2.500 | 5.31 | 5.583 | 11.51 | 8.667 | 1.77 | 11.75 | 0.89 | |
| 2.583 | 5.31 | 5.667 | 11.51 | 8.750 | 1.77 | 11.83 | 0.89 | |
| 2.667 | 5.31 | 5.750 | 11.51 | 8.833 | 1.77 | 11.92 | 0.89 | |
| 2./50 | 5.31 | 5.917 | 11.51 | 9.000 | 1.77 | 12.00 | 0.89 | |
| 2.917 | 5.31 | 6.000 | 11.51 | 9.083 | 1.77 | 12.17 | 0.89 | |
| 3.000 | 5.31 | 6.083 | 11.51 | 9.167 | 1.77 | 12.25 | 0.89 | |
| 3.083 | 5.31 | 6.167 | 11.51 | 9.250 | 1.77 | | | |
| Unit Hyd Qpeak (| cms)= | 0.611 | | | | | | |
| PEAK FLOW (TIME TO PEAK (| cms)= hrs)= | 0.337 (i) 5.250 | | | | | | |

| ************************************** | ***** Year | ********* 6 Hour / ******** | ******** AES (Blo ******* | ******** or, TRCA | **** \) ** **** | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| READ STORM | | Filenar | me: C:\ | lsers\]l c | ok\AnpD | | | |
| | i | | ata\ | Local\Te | emp\ | | | |
| | i | | 4a18 | b056-16a | 10-40dd-b9 | 65-edb20 | 32052b5\ | 1b711ac! |
| Ptotal= 80.31 m | im | Comment | ts: 100 | Year 6 ⊦ | lour AES (| Bloor, 1 | RCA) | |
| | TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN | ' TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN |
| | hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | ' hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr |
| | 0.25 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 27.30 | 3.75 | 11.24 | 5.50 | 1.61 |
| | 0.50 | 1.61 | 2.25 | 27.30 | 4.00 | 6.42 | 5.75 | 1.61 |
| | 1.00 | 1.61 | 2.75 | 73.88 | 4.50 | 3.21 | 6.25 | 1.61 |
| | 1.25 | 1.61 | 3.00 | 20.88 | 4.75 | 3.21 | | |
| | 1.50 | 9.64 | 3.25 | 20.88 | 5.00 | 1.61 | | |
| | 1.75 | 9.64 | 3.50 | 11.24 | 5.25 | 1.01 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| CALIB NASHVD (000 | a) | Area | (ha)= | 21 46 | Curve Nur | her ((| N)= 60 0 | |
| [D= 1 DT= 5.0 mi | .n | Ia | (mm)= | 10.00 | # of Line | ar Res.(| (N)= 1.50 | |
| | | U.Н. Тр | (hrs)= | 0.60 | | | | |
| NOTE: F | AINFA | LL WAS TI | RANSFORM | IED TO | 5.0 MIN. | TIME STE | Ρ. | |
| | | | TR | ANSFORME | D HYFTOGE | APH | | |
| | TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN | ' TIME | RAIN | TIME | RAIN |
| | hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr | ' hrs | mm/hr | hrs | mm/hr |
| 6 | .083 | 0.00 | 1.667 | 9.64 | 3.250 | 20.88 | 4.83 | 1.61 |
| e | . 250 | 0.00 | 1.833 | 27.30 | 3.417 | 11.24 | 4.92 | 1.61 |
| ē | .333 | 1.61 | 1.917 | 27.30 | 3.500 | 11.24 | 5.08 | 1.61 |
| e | .417 | 1.61 | 2.000 | 27.30 | 3.583 | 11.24 | 5.17 | 1.61 |
| e | .500 | 1.61 | 2.083 | 27.30 | 3.667 | 11.24 | 5.25 | 1.61 |
| 6 | 667 | 1.61 | 2.16/ | 27.30 | 3 833 | 6 42 | 5.33 | 1.61 |
| é | .750 | 1.61 | 2.333 | 73.88 | 3.917 | 6.42 | 5.50 | 1.61 |
| e | .833 | 1.61 | 2.417 | 73.88 | 4.000 | 6.42 | 5.58 | 1.61 |
| e | .917 | 1.61 | 2.500 | 73.88 | 4.083 | 6.42 | 5.67 | 1.61 |
| 1 | .000 | 1.61 | 2.583 | 73.88 | 4.167 | 6.42 | 5.75 | 1.61 |
| 1 | .167 | 1.61 | 2.750 | 73.88 | 4.333 | 3.21 | 5.92 | 1.61 |
| 1 | .250 | 1.61 | 2.833 | 20.88 | 4.417 | 3.21 | 6.00 | 1.61 |
| 1 | .333 | 9.64 | 2.917 | 20.88 | 4.500 | 3.21 | 6.08 | 1.61 |
| 1 | 500 | 9.64 | 3.000 | 20.88 | 4.583 | 3.21 | 6.25 | 1.61 |
| 1 | .583 | 9.64 | 3.167 | 20.88 | 4.750 | 3.21 | 0.25 | 1.01 |
| Unit Hyd Qpe | ak (| cms)= (| 9.611 | | | | | |
| PEAK FLOW | (| cms)= (| 0.358 (i | .) | | | | |
| TIME TO PEAK | ì | hrs)= | 3.750 | | | | | |
| RUNOFF VOLUM | IE | (mm)= 20 | 0.541 | | | | | |
| RUNOFF COEFF | ICIEN | (mm)= 84 T = 4 | ð.310 ð.256 | | | | | |
| (i) PEAK FLC | W DOE | S NOT IN | CLUDE BA | SEFLOW I | F ANY. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ************************************** | ***** | ******** | ******** =c /p1/- | ******* | *** | | | |
| ************************************** | ear 1 ***** | ∠ HOUP AI | ******** => (RTOC | ********* | *** | | | |
| READ STORM | | Filenar | me: C:\L | lsers\JLc | ok∖AppD | | | |
| | l l | | ata | Local\Te | emp\ | | | |
| | | C | 4a18 | b056-16a | 0-40dd-b9 | 65-edb2d | 32052b5\- | 45c934b |
| 1144 - 44 - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | | Lommon | Vc | ar i2 Hr | me AFS (F | ugor. TF | (I A) | |

| | READ STORM Filename: C:\Users\\Look\AppD ata\Local\Temp\ ata\Local\Temp\ atabbe55-1680-480d-5965-edb2c32052b5\&bc384cc Ptotal= 36.00 mm Commets: 2 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 'TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 'TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 0.75 0.72 2.25 12.24 3.75 5.04 5.50 0.72 0.75 0.72 2.25 12.24 3.75 5.04 5.50 0.72 0.75 0.72 2.56 3.12 4.400 2.88 5.75 0.72 0.75 0.72 2.56 3.12 4.450 1.44 6.25 0.72 1.080 0.72 2.75 3.12 4.50 1.44 6.25 0.72 1.25 0.72 3.26 9.36 4.75 1.44 6.25 0.72 1.25 0.72 3.26 9.36 5.00 0.72 1.25 0.75 1.44 6.25 0.72 |
|---|--|
| LIIB LIIB SNYD (0001) Area (ha)= 21.46 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 10.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 1.50 | 1.75 4.32 3.50 5.04 5.25 0.72 |
| TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN | NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. |
| hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 0.083 0.00 3.256 2.52 6.333 2.94 9.42 0.42 0.250 0.00 3.333 7.14 6.417 2.94 9.56 0.42 0.333 0.42 3.417 7.14 6.583 2.94 9.57 0.42 0.417 0.42 3.580 7.14 6.583 2.94 9.57 0.42 0.583 0.42 3.587 7.14 6.583 2.94 9.57 0.42 0.583 0.42 3.587 7.14 6.583 2.94 9.52 0.42 0.667 0.42 3.833 7.14 6.917 2.94 10.08 0.42 0.750 0.42 3.833 7.14 7.167 2.94 10.25 0.42 0.833 0.42 4.333 7.14 7.752 2.94 10.25 0.42 1.683 0.42 <t< td=""><td> TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 0.083 0.00 1.667 4.32 3.259 9.36 4.83 0.72 0.167 0.00 1.750 4.32 3.259 9.36 4.83 0.72 0.167 0.00 1.750 4.32 1.33 5.04 4.92 0.72 0.250 0.00 1.833 12.24 3.417 5.04 5.00 0.72 0.250 0.00 1.833 12.24 3.417 5.04 5.00 0.72 0.333 0.72 1.9317 12.24 3.509 5.04 5.08 0.72 0.72 0.538 0.72 1.9317 12.24 3.509 5.04 5.10 0.72 0.560 0.72 2.060 12.24 3.583 5.50 4.5 1.37 0.72 0.560 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.563 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.667 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.667 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.733 3.12 3.917 2.88 5.50 0.72 0.633 0.72 2.417 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 0.833 0.72 2.417 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.560 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.566 33.12 4.033 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.566 33.12 4.333 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.750 3.12 4.333 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.080 0.72 2.758 3.3.12 4.500 1.44 1.44 6.08 0.72 1.250 0.432 0.308 9.36 4.667 1.44 1.44 6.08 0.72 1.250 0.432 0.308 9.36 4.667 1.44 1.608 0.72 1.583 4.32 3.083 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.608 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.600 NUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.896 1.11 PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</td></t<> | TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 0.083 0.00 1.667 4.32 3.259 9.36 4.83 0.72 0.167 0.00 1.750 4.32 3.259 9.36 4.83 0.72 0.167 0.00 1.750 4.32 1.33 5.04 4.92 0.72 0.250 0.00 1.833 12.24 3.417 5.04 5.00 0.72 0.250 0.00 1.833 12.24 3.417 5.04 5.00 0.72 0.333 0.72 1.9317 12.24 3.509 5.04 5.08 0.72 0.72 0.538 0.72 1.9317 12.24 3.509 5.04 5.10 0.72 0.560 0.72 2.060 12.24 3.583 5.50 4.5 1.37 0.72 0.560 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.563 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.667 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.759 5.04 5.33 0.72 0.72 0.667 0.72 2.250 12.24 3.733 3.12 3.917 2.88 5.50 0.72 0.633 0.72 2.417 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 0.833 0.72 2.417 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.560 33.12 4.083 2.88 5.57 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.566 33.12 4.033 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.566 33.12 4.333 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.083 0.72 2.750 3.12 4.333 1.44 5.92 0.72 1.080 0.72 2.758 3.3.12 4.500 1.44 1.44 6.08 0.72 1.250 0.432 0.308 9.36 4.667 1.44 1.44 6.08 0.72 1.250 0.432 0.308 9.36 4.667 1.44 1.608 0.72 1.583 4.32 3.083 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.608 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.607 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.167 9.36 4.750 1.44 1.59 0.72 1.588 4.32 3.600 NUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.896 1.11 PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. |
| Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 0.611 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.066 (i) | READ STORM Filename: C:\Users\JLook\AppD ata\Local\Temp\ |
| TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.333 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 5.064 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 42.000 | 4418b956-15-89-40dd-9955-edb2c32052b5\226d24bc Ptotal= 73.10 m Comments: 25 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) |
| RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.121 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. | TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr ' hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 0.25 0.00 3.50 12.43 6.75 5.12 10.00 0.73 0.50 0.73 3.75 12.43 7.00 5.12 10.25 0.73 |
| | 0.75 0.73 4.00 12.43 7.25 5.12 10.50 0.73 1.00 0.73 4.25 12.43 7.50 2.92 10.75 0.73 |

| | TIME RAIN TIME RAIN ' TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr ' hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 0.25 0.00 2.00 22.30 3.75 9.18 5.50 1.31 0.59 1.31 2.25 22.30 4.00 5.25 5.75 1.31 0.75 1.31 2.50 60.35 4.25 5.25 6.00 1.31 1.60 1.31 2.75 60.35 4.25 5.25 1.31 1.60 1.31 2.75 60.35 4.25 5.25 1.31 1.60 1.31 2.75 60.35 4.25 5.25 1.31 1.25 1.31 3.00 17.06 4.75 2.62 6.25 1.31 1.50 7.87 3.25 17.06 5.20 1.31 1 1.75 7.87 3.90 9.18 5.25 1.31 | |
|--|--|--|
| CALIB NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 21.46 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 10.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 1.50 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 0.60 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. | | |
| TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH | NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. | |
| TIMERAINTIMERAINTIMERAINTIMERAINhrsmm/hrhrssmm/hrhrssmm/hr0.0830.003.2504.396.2509.509.330.730.2500.003.3331.246.4175.129.500.730.2330.733.41712.436.5005.129.500.730.4170.733.5001.2436.5605.129.560.730.5000.733.5831.2436.6675.129.920.730.5830.733.6671.2436.5675.129.920.730.6670.733.6711.2436.6335.129.920.730.6670.733.6911.2437.1005.1210.600.730.6730.733.9171.2437.1005.1210.600.730.8330.734.0831.2437.1005.1210.830.731.6000.734.6031.2437.1501.1210.420.731.6000.734.6031.5205.1210.830.731.6000.734.6031.5675.1210.830.731.6010.734.6031.5675.1210.850.731.6020.734.6031.5675.1210.850.731.6000.734.6101.2437.5052.9210.6251.6010.7 | TIME RAIN TIME TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH 0.083 0.000 TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN 0.083 0.000 1.7.66 4.81 3.131 0.1676 7.87 3.333 1.81 4.92 1.31 0.1676 7.87 3.333 9.18 4.92 1.31 0.1677 7.87 3.330 9.18 4.92 1.31 0.1670 7.87 3.3500 9.18 5.17 1.31 0.667 1.31 2.260 2.30 3.833 5.55 1.31 0.667 1.31 2.260 5.55 1.31 <td co<="" td=""></td> | |
| 2.583 4.39 5.667 9.59 8.750 1.46 11.83 0.73 2.667 4.39 5.759 9.59 8.833 1.46 11.92 0.73 | (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. | |
| 2.750 4.39 5.833 9.50 8.917 1.46 12.00 0.73 2.833 4.39 5.917 9.50 9.040 1.46 12.08 0.73 2.917 4.39 6.040 9.50 9.083 1.46 12.17 0.73 3.000 4.39 6.083 9.50 9.167 1.46 12.25 0.73 3.083 4.39 6.167 9.50 9.250 1.46 12.25 0.73 | ** SIMULATION:5 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** | |
| Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 0.611 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.230 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 17.057 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.100 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.233 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. ** SIMULATION:25 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** ** SIMULATION:25 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** ** SIMULATION:25 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** ** SIMULATION:25 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** | READ STORM Filename: C:\Users\JLock\AppD ata\Local\Temp\ 4a18b96-16a6-40dd-b965-edb2c32052b5\a76371a0 Ptotal= 54.38 mm Comments: 5 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TME R | |

| 3.25 3.26 6.50 3.81 9.75 0.54 | 1.00 0.96 2.75 43.98 4.50 1.91 6.25 0.96 1.25 0.96 3.00 12.43 4.75 1.91 1.50 1.50 5.74 3.25 12.43 5.00 0.96 1.75 5.74 3.50 6.69 5.25 0.96 |
|---|---|
| I CALID I ADSHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 21.46 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 I DI= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 10.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 1.50 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 0.60 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. | CALIB NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 21.46 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 10.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 1.50 |
| TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH | NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. |
| TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN RAIN RAIN RAIN hrss mm/hr hrss | <pre> TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN 0.083 0.00 1.667 5.74 3.250 12.43 4.83 0.96 0.167 0.00 1.750 5.74 3.250 12.43 4.83 0.96 0.167 0.00 1.750 5.74 3.333 0.69 5.09 0.96 0.250 0.00 1.833 16.25 3.417 6.69 5.00 0.96 0.250 0.00 1.833 16.25 3.417 6.69 5.00 0.96 0.417 0.96 2.083 16.25 3.667 6.69 5.25 0.96 0.583 0.96 2.167 16.25 3.750 6.69 5.25 0.96 0.583 0.96 2.167 16.25 3.750 6.69 5.25 0.96 0.667 0.96 2.250 16.25 3.833 3.82 5.42 0.96 0.750 0.96 2.333 0.96 2.417 43.98 4.080 3.82 5.50 0.96 0.667 0.96 2.583 4.98 4.673 3.82 5.50 0.96 1.083 0.96 2.417 43.98 4.250 3.82 5.50 0.96 1.083 0.96 2.583 12.43 4.417 1.91 6.00 0.96 1.250 0.96 2.833 12.43 4.417 1.91 6.00 0.96 1.333 0.574 3.080 12.43 4.833 1.91 6.17 0.96 1.580 5.74 3.080 12.43 4.677 1.91 6.25 0.96 1.333 0.74 3.081 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.96 1.584 5.74 3.162 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.96 1.584 5.74 3.162 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.96 1.584 5.74 3.162 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.96 1.584 5.74 3.162 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.96 1.417 5.74 3.080 12.43 4.750 1.91 0.25 0.96 1.333 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 6.712 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 0.611 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 0.612 VINCE (COMS) = 0.614 (1) PEAK (hrs) = 3.833 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.718 (1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre> |
| Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 0.611 | READ STORM Filename: C:\Users\JLook\AppD |
| PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.122 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 9.177 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 54.380 | ata\Local\Temp\ 4a18b056-16a0-40dd-b965-edb2c32052b5\79b149f4 Ptotal= 80.82 mm Comments: 50 Year 12 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) |
| RUNCF COEFFICIENT = 0.169 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. ** SIMULATION:5 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** ** SIMULATION:5 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) ** TIME Filename: C:\Users\JLook\AppD ats\Local\Temp\ 4a18b956-16a-40dd-b965-edb2c32052b5\Scce2708 Ptotal= 47.81 mm Comments: 5 Year 6 Hour AES (Bloor, TRCA) TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN Partial State 0.52 0.60 3.50 13.74 6.75 5.66 10.00 0.81 0.59 0.81 3.75 13.74 7.00 5.66 10.25 0.81 0.75 0.81 4.25 13.74 7.56 3.23 10.75 0.81 1.25 0.81 4.75 37.17 7.75 3.23 11.00 0.81 1.75 0.81 4.75 37.17 8.00 3.23 11.25 0.81 2.76 0.81 5.55 10.50 8.75 1.62 11.75 0.81 2.60 0.81 5.57 10.50 <t< td=""></t<> |
| hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 0.25 0.00 [2.00 16.25 3.75 6.69 [5.50 0.96 0.50 0.96 2.25 16.25 4.00 3.82 5.75 0.96 0.75 0.96 2.50 43.98 4.25 3.82 6.00 0.96 | |

| INSURD (1983) Area (10): 21.46 Grow Nutler (00): 0.0 ID: L. DT S. 6. MAI, J. M. (10): 21.46 Grow Nutler (00): 0.1.0 URL T(10): 0.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. THE RAINAL MAI TRADECORRED TO S.4 MAI. THE SIG. OLITIE ADMINISTRATION TO SAMAI. THE SIG. OLITIE ADMINISTRATION TO SAMAI. THE SIG. OLITIE ADMINISTRATION TO SAMAI. THE SIG. OLITIE ADMINI | CALIB MANUYD (1980)1 Aras (b) + 21.6 (b) - 21.6 (b) + 01.9 (b) |
|--|---|

FSS



HEC-RAS Cross Section - Crossing 2 Existing Conditions



HEC-RAS Cross Section - Crossing 2 Proposed Conditions



HEC-RAS Output - Crossing 2

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Plan | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude # Chl |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | | (m3/s) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m/m) | (m/s) | (m2) | (m) | |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.64 | 202.59 | 202.82 | | 202.82 | 0.001754 | 0.15 | 4.30 | 28.25 | 0.12 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.64 | 202.59 | 202.83 | | 202.83 | 0.001580 | 0.14 | 4.46 | 28.57 | 0.12 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.16 | 202.59 | 202.89 | | 202.89 | 0.001898 | 0.18 | 6.29 | 32.05 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 5 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.16 | 202.59 | 202.93 | | 202.93 | 0.001135 | 0.15 | 7.54 | 34.19 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 10 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.57 | 202.59 | 202.93 | | 202.93 | 0.001903 | 0.20 | 7.78 | 34.58 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 10 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.57 | 202.59 | 203.00 | | 203.00 | 0.000921 | 0.15 | 10.14 | 38.96 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.14 | 202.59 | 202.98 | | 202.99 | 0.001949 | 0.22 | 9.69 | 38.25 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.14 | 202.59 | 203.09 | | 203.09 | 0.000675 | 0.15 | 13.98 | 43.17 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.60 | 202.59 | 203.02 | | 203.03 | 0.001911 | 0.23 | 11.19 | 40.33 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.60 | 202.59 | 203.16 | | 203.17 | 0.000547 | 0.15 | 17.35 | 47.26 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.10 | 202.59 | 203.06 | | 203.06 | 0.001831 | 0.24 | 12.79 | 42.00 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 3.10 | 202.59 | 203.25 | | 203.25 | 0.000454 | 0.14 | 21.40 | 53.34 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 22.03 | 202.59 | 203.90 | | 203.91 | 0.000884 | 0.34 | 65.52 | 75.98 | 0.1 |
| 19.6 lower | 846.3868 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 22.03 | 202.59 | 206.14 | | 206.14 | 0.000013 | 0.09 | 250.30 | 92.78 | 0.0 |
| | | Ť | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.64 | 202.13 | 202.53 | 202.53 | 202.61 | 0.014721 | 1.34 | 0.64 | 6.33 | 0.8 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.64 | 202.13 | 202.52 | 202.52 | 202.62 | 0.018341 | 1.45 | 0.49 | 5.66 | 0.9 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.16 | 202.13 | 202.64 | 202.64 | 202.71 | 0.009921 | 1.39 | 1.66 | 12.83 | 0.7 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 5 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.16 | 202.13 | 202.62 | 202.62 | 202.77 | 0.016860 | 1.75 | 0.80 | 11.86 | 0.9 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 10 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.57 | 202.13 | 202.67 | 202.67 | 202.76 | 0.010758 | 1.54 | 2.12 | 15.09 | 0.7 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 10 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.57 | 202.13 | 202.68 | 202.68 | 202.86 | 0.016565 | 1.95 | 1.00 | 15.83 | 0.9 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.14 | 202.13 | 202.72 | 202.72 | 202.81 | 0.011497 | 1.71 | 2.67 | 17.94 | 0.8 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.14 | 202.13 | 202.77 | 202.77 | 202.98 | 0.016167 | 2.17 | 1.24 | 30.12 | 0.98 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.60 | 202.13 | 202.75 | 202.75 | 202.85 | 0.012202 | 1.83 | 3.03 | 23.36 | 0.8 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.60 | 202.13 | 202.83 | 202.83 | 203.07 | 0.015899 | 2.32 | 1.42 | 35.67 | 0.99 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.10 | 202.13 | 202.77 | 202.77 | 202.89 | 0.013621 | 2.00 | 3.31 | 26.64 | 0.90 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 3.10 | 202.13 | 202.88 | 202.88 | 203.15 | 0.015851 | 2.47 | 1.59 | 38.82 | 1.00 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 22.03 | 202.13 | 203.38 | 203.38 | 203.78 | 0.020024 | 4.13 | 10.80 | 106.15 | 1.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 793.89 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 22.03 | 202.13 | 203.65 | 203.65 | 205.91 | 0.047473 | 7.32 | 3.88 | 141.19 | 1.99 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.6 lower | 780.41 | | | Culvert | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.64 | 201.92 | 202.52 | | 202.52 | 0.000242 | 0.23 | 2.84 | 7.65 | 0.13 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.64 | 201.92 | 202.53 | | 202.53 | 0.000551 | 0.42 | 1.52 | 13.74 | 0.19 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.16 | 201.92 | 202.60 | | 202.60 | 0.000452 | 0.33 | 3.47 | 8.29 | 0.16 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 5 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.16 | 201.92 | 202.61 | | 202.63 | 0.001064 | 0.65 | 1.77 | 19.80 | 0.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 10 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 1.57 | 201.92 | 202.65 | | 202.66 | 0.000586 | 0.40 | 3.93 | 8.73 | 0.19 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 10 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1.57 | 201.92 | 202.67 | | 202.70 | 0.001425 | 0.80 | 1.95 | 21.73 | 0.3 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.14 | 201.92 | 202.71 | | 202.73 | 0.000743 | 0.47 | 4.52 | 9.26 | 0.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.14 | 201.92 | 202.73 | | 202.78 | 0.001953 | 1.00 | 2.14 | 22.30 | 0.3 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 2.60 | 201.92 | 202.76 | | 202.77 | 0.000845 | 0.53 | 4.92 | 9.61 | 0.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 2.60 | 201.92 | 202.77 | | 202.84 | 0.002396 | 1.15 | 2.26 | 22.66 | 0.4 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.10 | 201.92 | 202.65 | | 202.68 | 0.002294 | 0.79 | 3.92 | 8.72 | 0.3 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 3.10 | 201.92 | 202.59 | | 202.76 | 0.008810 | 1.82 | 1.70 | 18.76 | 0.7 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 22.03 | 201.92 | 203.07 | 203.07 | 203.46 | 0.013591 | 2.77 | 7.94 | 12.13 | 1.00 |
| 19.6 lower | 752.78 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 22.03 | 201.92 | 203.84 | 203.84 | 204.00 | 0.011050 | 1.99 | 19.49 | 67.55 | 0.86 |
| | | - U | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.6 lower | 725,1825 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.64 | 202.21 | 202.47 | 202.43 | 202.50 | 0,009053 | 0.74 | 0.87 | 6.16 | 0.6 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Intern | 0.64 | 202.21 | 202.47 | 202.10 | 202.50 | 0.009472 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 6.13 | 0.6 |
| 19.6 lower | 725.1825 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 1 16 | 202.21 | 202.51 | 202.40 | 202.56 | 0.015139 | 1.05 | 1 11 | 6 78 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725.1825 | 5 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 1 16 | 202.21 | 202.51 | 202.40 | 202.56 | 0.017634 | 1 10 | 1.05 | 6.63 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 10 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 1.10 | 202.21 | 202.50 | 202.40 | 202.60 | 0.018843 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 7 10 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 10 Yr | HDR Ex Intern | 1.57 | 202.21 | 202.55 | 202.52 | 202.01 | 0.021747 | 1.20 | 1.27 | 7.13 | 1.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725.1825 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 2 14 | 202.21 | 202.02 | 202.52 | 202.01 | 0.021193 | 1.30 | 1.21 | 7 78 | 1.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Intern | 2.14 | 202.21 | 202.57 | 202.57 | 202.07 | 0.021103 | 1.40 | 1.53 | 7 78 | 1.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 2.14 | 202.21 | 202.07 | 202.57 | 202.07 | 0.020002 | 1.40 | 1.00 | 8 35 | 1.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 50 Vr | HDR Ex Intern | 2.00 | 202.21 | 202.00 | 202.00 | 202.71 | 0.020002 | 1.45 | 1.00 | 8 25 | 0.0 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | 100 Vr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 2.00 | 202.21 | 202.00 | 202.00 | 202.71 | 0.020002 | 0.44 | 14.47 | 60.93 | 0.9 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1925 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 3.10 | 202.21 | 202.02 | 202.02 | 202.02 | 0.001079 | 0.44 | 14.47 | 60.94 | 0.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 22.03 | 202.21 | 202.02 | 202.02 | 202.02 | 0.0010/9 | 0.44 | 46.49 | 85.06 | 0.2 |
| 19.6 lower | 725 1825 | Regional | HDR Ex Intern | 22.03 | 202.21 | 203.03 | 202.07 | 203.04 | 0.002417 | 0.97 | 46.55 | 85.07 | 0.4 |
| 10.0 101101 | 1.20.1020 | 1. Cogloria | LI DI LA INGID | 22.00 | £75.6 | 200.00 | 202.01 | 200.00 | 0.002400 | 0.50 | | 00.07 | 0.4 |

HEC-RAS Cross Section - Crossing 3 Existing Conditions



HEC-RAS Cross Section - Crossing 3 Proposed Conditions



HEC-RAS Output - Crossing 3

| HEC-RAS R | iver: purplevile1 | 9_5 Reach: 19 | 9.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Plan | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude # Chl |
| | | | | (m3/s) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m/m) | (m/s) | (m2) | (m) | |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.14 | 199.59 | 199.84 | | 199.87 | 0.011753 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 1.48 | 0.67 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.14 | 199.59 | 199.86 | | 199.88 | 0.006972 | 0.63 | 0.23 | 1.56 | 0.53 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 0.26 | 199.59 | 199.88 | | 199.93 | 0.015963 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 1.62 | 0.81 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 5 Vr | HDR Ex Intern | 0.26 | 100.00 | 100.00 | | 100.00 | 0.006993 | 0.75 | 0.20 | 1 79 | 0.55 |
| 10.5 | 115.10 | 10 Vr | | 0.20 | 100.50 | 100.00 | 100.90 | 100.00 | 0.000330 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 1.75 | 0.00 |
| 10.5 | 115.10 | 10 Tr | | 0.35 | 199.59 | 100.07 | 199.09 | 200.01 | 0.010770 | 0.92 | 0.30 | 1.71 | 0.09 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 10 11 | HDR Ex Interp | 0.35 | 199.59 | 199.97 | | 200.01 | 0.007151 | 0.82 | 0.42 | 1.95 | 0.56 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.47 | 199.59 | 199.93 | 199.93 | 200.03 | 0.023525 | 1.37 | 0.34 | 1.79 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.47 | 199.59 | 200.03 | | 200.07 | 0.007099 | 0.89 | 0.53 | 2.17 | 0.57 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.57 | 199.59 | 199.96 | 199.96 | 200.07 | 0.023262 | 1.44 | 0.39 | 1.90 | 1.01 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.57 | 199.59 | 200.07 | | 200.11 | 0.006886 | 0.92 | 0.62 | 2.32 | 0.57 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.67 | 199.59 | 199.99 | 199.99 | 200.10 | 0.022732 | 1.50 | 0.45 | 2.01 | 1.01 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.67 | 199.59 | 200.10 | | 200.15 | 0.006596 | 0.95 | 0.71 | 2.45 | 0.57 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.63 | 199.59 | 200.44 | 200.44 | 200.68 | 0.012911 | 2.17 | 2.05 | 6.62 | 0.89 |
| 19.5 | 115.18 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 3.63 | 199.59 | 200.87 | | 200.91 | 0.001506 | 1.06 | 5.53 | 9.27 | 0.33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.14 | 199.61 | 199.84 | 199.71 | 199.84 | 0.000987 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 2.93 | 0.21 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Intern | 0.14 | 199.61 | 199.86 | 199 71 | 199.86 | 0.000692 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 3.01 | 0.18 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 5 Vr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 0.26 | 100.01 | 100.00 | 100.71 | 100.00 | 0.001620 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 3.06 | 0.10 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 5 Vr | HDR Ex Intern | 0.26 | 100.01 | 100.00 | 100.71 | 100.00 | 0.001020 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 3 21 | 0.20 |
| 10.5 | 106.37 | 10 Vr | HDR Br 2 Intern | 0.20 | 100.61 | 100.00 | 100.77 | 100.02 | 0.000000 | 0.07 | 0.70 | 3.14 | 0.22 |
| 10.5 | 106.37 | 10 Tr | | 0.35 | 100.61 | 100.07 | 100.77 | 100.02 | 0.002033 | 0.47 | 0.75 | 3.14 | 0.31 |
| 19.5 | 100.37 | 05.1/- | | 0.55 | 199.01 | 199.97 | 199.77 | 199.90 | 0.001030 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.24 |
| 19.5 | 100.37 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.47 | 199.61 | 199.94 | 199.79 | 199.95 | 0.002609 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 3.23 | 0.36 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 25 11 | HDR EX Interp | 0.47 | 199.61 | 200.02 | 199.80 | 200.04 | 0.001176 | 0.51 | 0.92 | 3.49 | 0.26 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.57 | 199.61 | 199.96 | 199.81 | 199.98 | 0.003049 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 3.29 | 0.39 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.57 | 199.61 | 200.06 | 199.82 | 200.08 | 0.001263 | 0.56 | 1.02 | 3.61 | 0.28 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.67 | 199.61 | 199.98 | 199.83 | 200.00 | 0.003481 | 0.70 | 0.96 | 3.35 | 0.42 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.67 | 199.61 | 200.10 | 199.84 | 200.12 | 0.001337 | 0.61 | 1.10 | 3.74 | 0.29 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.63 | 199.61 | 200.30 | 200.19 | 200.44 | 0.008807 | 1.69 | 2.15 | 4.51 | 0.72 |
| 19.5 | 106.37 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 3.63 | 199.61 | 200.81 | 200.25 | 200.89 | 0.001738 | 1.29 | 2.80 | 8.20 | 0.38 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.5 | 101 | | | Culvert | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 2 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.14 | 199.58 | 199.79 | 199.76 | 199.80 | 0.012807 | 0.61 | 0.23 | 2.82 | 0.67 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 2 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.14 | 199.58 | 199.78 | 199.76 | 199.81 | 0.013572 | 0.76 | 0.19 | 2.70 | 0.72 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 5 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.26 | 199.58 | 199.83 | 199.80 | 199.85 | 0.013058 | 0.70 | 0.36 | 4.21 | 0.70 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 5 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.26 | 199.58 | 199.83 | 199.80 | 199.87 | 0.016164 | 0.88 | 0.29 | 4.39 | 0.80 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 10 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.35 | 199.58 | 199.85 | 199.82 | 199.88 | 0.013163 | 0.76 | 0.45 | 5.00 | 0.72 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 10 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.35 | 199.58 | 199.85 | 199.84 | 199.91 | 0.017157 | 1.01 | 0.34 | 5.10 | 0.85 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 25 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.47 | 199.58 | 199.88 | 199.85 | 199.91 | 0.013423 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 5.88 | 0.74 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 25 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.47 | 199.58 | 199.88 | 199.87 | 199.95 | 0.018731 | 1.17 | 0.40 | 5.92 | 0.91 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.57 | 199.58 | 199.89 | 199.87 | 199.93 | 0.013798 | 0.89 | 0.64 | 6.49 | 0.76 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.57 | 199.58 | 199.89 | 199.89 | 199.98 | 0.020461 | 1.30 | 0.44 | 6.46 | 0.97 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.67 | 199.58 | 199.91 | 199.88 | 199.96 | 0.014120 | 0.94 | 0.72 | 7.02 | 0.78 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.67 | 199.58 | 199.91 | 199.91 | 200.01 | 0.021441 | 1.41 | 0.48 | 7.02 | 1.01 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 3.63 | 199.58 | 200.15 | 200.15 | 200.34 | 0.016753 | 1.93 | 1.88 | 13 29 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 76.92 | Regional | HDR Ex Intern | 3.63 | 199.58 | 200.33 | 200.33 | 200.63 | 0.014539 | 2.46 | 1 48 | 17.84 | 1.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 2 Vr | HDR Pr 2 Intern | 0.14 | 199.40 | 199.60 | 199.60 | 199.64 | 0.028139 | 0.93 | 0.15 | 1 72 | 1.00 |
| 10.5 | 69.19 | 2 11 2 Vr | | 0.14 | 100.40 | 100.60 | 199.00 | 100.64 | 0.020139 | 0.93 | 0.15 | 1.72 | 1.00 |
| 10.5 | 69.19 | E Vr | | 0.14 | 199.40 | 199.00 | 100.65 | 199.04 | 0.020139 | 0.93 | 0.13 | 2.12 | 0.00 |
| 19.5 | 69.19 | 5 TI | | 0.20 | 199.40 | 199.00 | 199.00 | 199.09 | 0.027230 | 0.93 | 0.20 | 3.12 | 0.99 |
| 10.0 | 00.10 | 40.)/- | | 0.26 | 199.40 | 199.00 | 199.00 | 199.09 | 0.027230 | 0.93 | 0.28 | 3.12 | 0.99 |
| 19.5 | 08.18 | 10 11 | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.35 | 199.40 | 199.67 | 199.67 | 199.72 | 0.026729 | 0.98 | 0.35 | 3.62 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 08.18 | | HUR EX Interp | 0.35 | 199.40 | 199.67 | 199.67 | 199.72 | 0.026729 | 0.98 | 0.35 | 3.62 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 08.18 | 25 Yr | HUK Pr 2 Interp | 0.47 | 199.40 | 199.70 | 199.70 | 199.75 | 0.026127 | 1.04 | 0.45 | 4.18 | 1.01 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 25 Yr | HUR Ex Interp | 0.47 | 199.40 | 199.70 | 199.70 | 199.75 | 0.026127 | 1.04 | 0.45 | 4.18 | 1.01 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 50 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.57 | 199.40 | 199.71 | 199.71 | 199.77 | 0.025373 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 4.59 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 50 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.57 | 199.40 | 199.71 | 199.71 | 199.77 | 0.025373 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 4.59 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 100 Yr | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 0.67 | 199.40 | 199.73 | 199.73 | 199.79 | 0.024738 | 1.11 | 0.61 | 5.02 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | 100 Yr | HDR Ex Interp | 0.67 | 199.40 | 199.73 | 199.73 | 199.79 | 0.024738 | 1.11 | 0.61 | 5.02 | 1.00 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | Regional | HDR Pr 2 Interp | 3.63 | 199.40 | 199.98 | 199.98 | 200.12 | 0.014689 | 1.72 | 2.74 | 12.54 | 0.92 |
| 19.5 | 68.18 | Regional | HDR Ex Interp | 3.63 | 199.40 | 199.98 | 199.98 | 200.12 | 0.014689 | 1.72 | 2.74 | 12.54 | 0.92 |

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: User Defined

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Crossing 1 Existing

| Headwater | Discharge Names | Total Discharge | Culvert 1: CSP | Roadway | Iterations |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Elevation (m) | | (cms) | Discharge (cms) | Discharge (cms) | |
| | | | | | |
| 204.35 | 2-Yr | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.59 | 5-Yr | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.76 | 10-Yr | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.99 | 25-Yr | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 205.20 | 50-Yr | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 205.45 | 100-Yr | 1.43 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 18 |
| 205.50 | Check | 1.64 | 1.47 | 0.17 | 8 |
| 205.44 | Overtopping | 1.42 | 1.42 | 0.00 | Overtopping |

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Crossing 1 Existing



Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1: CSP

| Discharge Names | Total Discharge (cms) | Culvert Discharge (cms) | Headwater Elevation (m) | Inlet Control Depth (m) | Outlet Control Depth (m) | Flow Type | Normal Depth (m) | Critical Depth (m) | Outlet Depth (m) | Tailwater Depth (m) | Outlet Velocity (m/s) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2-Yr | 0.19 | 0.19 | 204.35 | 0.364 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.228 | 0.249 | 0.228 | 0.217 | 1.444 |
| 5-Yr | 0.47 | 0.47 | 204.59 | 0.604 | 0.218 | 1-S2n | 0.368 | 0.398 | 0.368 | 0.339 | 1.858 |
| 10-Yr | 0.69 | 0.69 | 204.76 | 0.772 | 0.408 | 1-S2n | 0.458 | 0.486 | 0.458 | 0.407 | 2.050 |
| 25-Yr | 0.98 | 0.98 | 204.99 | 1.003 | 0.702 | 5-S2n | 0.575 | 0.584 | 0.575 | 0.479 | 2.218 |
| 50-Yr | 1.20 | 1.20 | 205.20 | 1.206 | 1.208 | 7-M2c | 0.674 | 0.648 | 0.648 | 0.526 | 2.446 |
| 100-Yr | 1.43 | 1.43 | 205.45 | 1.457 | 1.354 | 7-M2c | 0.900 | 0.706 | 0.706 | 0.569 | 2.669 |
| Check | 1.64 | 1.47 | 205.50 | 1.505 | 1.390 | 7-M2c | 0.900 | 0.715 | 0.715 | 0.605 | 2.709 |

* Full Flow Headwater elevation is below inlet invert.

Straight Culvert Inlet Elevation (invert): 203.99 m, Outlet Elevation (invert): 203.72 m Culvert Length: 15.90 m, Culvert Slope: 0.0170



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1: CSP



Site Data - Culvert 1: CSP

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 m Inlet Elevation: 203.99 m Outlet Station: 15.90 m Outlet Elevation: 203.72 m Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1: CSP

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 900.00 mm Barrel Material: Corrugated Steel Embedment: 0.00 mm Barrel Manning's n: 0.0240 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Thin Edge Projecting Inlet Depression: None

Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Crossing 1 Existing)

| Flow (cms) | Water Surface Elev (m) | Depth (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Shear (Pa) | Froude Number |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| 0.19 | 203.74 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 10.62 | 0.46 |
| 0.47 | 203.86 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 16.63 | 0.49 |
| 0.69 | 203.93 | 0.41 | 0.80 | 19.96 | 0.50 |
| 0.98 | 204.00 | 0.48 | 0.87 | 23.49 | 0.51 |
| 1.20 | 204.05 | 0.53 | 0.92 | 25.76 | 0.52 |
| 1.43 | 204.09 | 0.57 | 0.96 | 27.88 | 0.52 |
| 1.64 | 204.12 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 29.65 | 0.53 |

Tailwater Channel Data - Crossing 1 Existing

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel Bottom Width: 0.90 m Side Slope (H:V): 3.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0050 Channel Manning's n: 0.0350 Channel Invert Elevation: 203.52 m

Roadway Data for Crossing: Crossing 1 Existing

Roadway Profile Shape: Irregular Roadway Shape (coordinates) Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 7.50 m

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: User Defined

Table 4 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Crossing 1 Proposed

| Headwater | Discharge Names | Total Discharge | Culvert 1 | Roadway | Iterations |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Elevation (m | 1) | (cms) | Discharge (cms) | Discharge (cms) | |
| | | | | | |
| 204.27 | 2-Yr | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.31 | 5-Yr | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.36 | 10-Yr | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.42 | 25-Yr | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.46 | 50-Yr | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.50 | 100-Yr | 1.43 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 204.53 | Check | 1.64 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 206.74 | Overtopping | 19.91 | 19.91 | 0.00 | Overtopping |

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Crossing 1 Proposed



Table 5 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

| Discharge Names | Total Discharge (cms) | Culvert Discharge (cms) | Headwater Elevation (m) | Inlet Control Depth (m) | Outlet Control Depth (m) | Flow Type | Normal Depth (m) | Critical Depth (m) | Outlet Depth (m) | Tailwater Depth (m) | Outlet Velocity (m/s) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2-Yr | 0.19 | 0.19 | 204.27 | 0.086 | 0.114 | 3-M1t | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.247 | 0.248 | 0.180 |
| 5-Yr | 0.47 | 0.47 | 204.31 | 0.160 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.104 | 0.104 | 0.104 | 0.349 | 1.033 |
| 10-Yr | 0.69 | 0.69 | 204.36 | 0.213 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.131 | 0.136 | 0.131 | 0.403 | 1.198 |
| 25-Yr | 0.98 | 0.98 | 204.42 | 0.270 | 0.0* | 1-JS1t | 0.163 | 0.173 | 0.459 | 0.460 | 0.488 |
| 50-Yr | 1.20 | 1.20 | 204.46 | 0.309 | 0.0* | 1-JS1t | 0.184 | 0.198 | 0.495 | 0.496 | 0.554 |
| 100-Yr | 1.43 | 1.43 | 204.50 | 0.347 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.205 | 0.223 | 0.205 | 0.530 | 1.592 |
| Check | 1.64 | 1.64 | 204.53 | 0.380 | 0.0* | 1-JS1t | 0.223 | 0.244 | 0.557 | 0.558 | 0.673 |

* Full Flow Headwater elevation is below inlet invert.

Straight Culvert Inlet Elevation (invert): 203.42 m Culvert Length: 28.94 m, Culvert Slope: 0.0252



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1

Crossing - Crossing 1 Proposed, Design Discharge - 1.64 cms Culvert - Culvert 1, Culvert Discharge - 1.64 cms



Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 m Inlet Elevation: 204.15 m Outlet Station: 28.93 m Outlet Elevation: 203.42 m Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: User Defined Barrel Span: 4267.00 mm Barrel Rise: 1525.00 mm Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 1.00 mm Barrel Manning's n: 0.0130 (top and sides) Manning's n: 0.0350 (bottom) Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Thin Edge Projecting Inlet Depression: None

Table 6 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Crossing 1 Proposed)

| Flow (cms) | Water Surface Elev (m) | Depth (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Shear (Pa) | Froude Number |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| 0.19 | 203.67 | 0.25 | 1.03 | 54.32 | 0.93 |
| 0.47 | 203.77 | 0.35 | 1.29 | 76.28 | 0.98 |
| 0.69 | 203.82 | 0.40 | 1.42 | 88.10 | 1.01 |
| 0.98 | 203.88 | 0.46 | 1.55 | 100.49 | 1.03 |
| 1.20 | 203.92 | 0.50 | 1.63 | 108.41 | 1.04 |
| 1.43 | 203.95 | 0.53 | 1.70 | 115.78 | 1.05 |
| 1.64 | 203.98 | 0.56 | 1.76 | 121.89 | 1.06 |

Tailwater Channel Data - Crossing 1 Proposed

Tailwater Channel Option: Triangular Channel Side Slope (H:V): 3.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0223 Channel Manning's n: 0.0350 Channel Invert Elevation: 203.42 m

Roadway Data for Crossing: Crossing 1 Proposed

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation Crest Length: 20.00 m Crest Elevation: 206.74 m Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 18.46 m

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: User Defined

Table 7 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Crossing 4 Existing

| Headwater | Discharge Names | Total Discharge | Culvert 1 | Roadway | Iterations |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Elevation (m) | | (cms) | Discharge (cms) | Discharge (cms) | |
| | | | | | |
| 215.96 | 2-Yr | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.06 | 5-Yr | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.12 | 10-Yr | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.20 | 25-Yr | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.26 | 50-Yr | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.32 | 100-Yr | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.37 | Check | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 217.88 | Overtopping | 1.35 | 1.35 | 0.00 | Overtopping |

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Crossing 4 Existing



Table 8 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

| Discharge Names | Total Discharge (cms) | Culvert Discharge (cms) | Headwater Elevation (m) | Inlet Control Depth (m) | Outlet Control Depth (m) | Flow Type | Normal Depth (m) | Critical Depth (m) | Outlet Depth (m) | Tailwater Depth (m) | Outlet Velocity (m/s) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2-Yr | 0.06 | 0.06 | 215.96 | 0.205 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.118 | 0.142 | 0.122 | 0.125 | 1.201 |
| 5-Yr | 0.12 | 0.12 | 216.06 | 0.297 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.168 | 0.204 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 1.551 |
| 10-Yr | 0.17 | 0.17 | 216.12 | 0.356 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.199 | 0.244 | 0.199 | 0.216 | 1.705 |
| 25-Yr | 0.24 | 0.24 | 216.20 | 0.435 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.239 | 0.293 | 0.239 | 0.257 | 1.884 |
| 50-Yr | 0.30 | 0.30 | 216.26 | 0.496 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.269 | 0.330 | 0.269 | 0.286 | 1.999 |
| 100-Yr | 0.36 | 0.36 | 216.32 | 0.560 | 0.052 | 1-S2n | 0.299 | 0.366 | 0.299 | 0.313 | 2.109 |
| Check | 0.41 | 0.41 | 216.37 | 0.613 | 0.117 | 1-S2n | 0.323 | 0.393 | 0.323 | 0.335 | 2.187 |

* Full Flow Headwater elevation is below inlet invert.

Straight Culvert Inlet Elevation (invert): 215.76 m, Outlet Elevation (invert): 215.33 m Culvert Length: 15.08 m, Culvert Slope: 0.0285


Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 m Inlet Elevation: 215.76 m Outlet Station: 15.07 m Outlet Elevation: 215.33 m Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 750.00 mm Barrel Material: Corrugated Steel Embedment: 0.00 mm Barrel Manning's n: 0.0240 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Thin Edge Projecting Inlet Depression: None

Table 9 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Crossing 4 Existing)

| Flow (cms) | Water Surface Elev (m) | Depth (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Shear (Pa) | Froude Number |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| 0.06 | 215.46 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 6.15 | 0.43 |
| 0.12 | 215.51 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 8.90 | 0.45 |
| 0.17 | 215.55 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 10.57 | 0.46 |
| 0.24 | 215.59 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 12.59 | 0.47 |
| 0.30 | 215.62 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 14.00 | 0.48 |
| 0.36 | 215.64 | 0.31 | 0.68 | 15.35 | 0.48 |
| 0.41 | 215.66 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 16.40 | 0.49 |

Tailwater Channel Data - Crossing 4 Existing

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel Bottom Width: 0.75 m Side Slope (H:V): 3.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0050 Channel Manning's n: 0.0350 Channel Invert Elevation: 215.33 m

Roadway Data for Crossing: Crossing 4 Existing

Roadway Profile Shape: Irregular Roadway Shape (coordinates) Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 7.50 m

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: User Defined

Table 10 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Crossing 4 Proposed

| Headwater Elevation (m) | Discharge Names | Total Discharge (cms) | Culvert 1: CSP Extension Discharge (cms) | Roadway Discharge (cms) | Iterations |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| 216.02 | 2-Year | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.11 | 5-Year | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.17 | 10-Year | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.25 | 25-Year | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.31 | 50-Year | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.38 | 100-Year | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 216.43 | Check | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 217.93 | Overtopping | 1.34 | 1.34 | 0.00 | Overtopping |

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Crossing 4 Proposed



Table 11 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1: CSP Extension

| Discharge Names | Total Discharge (cms) | Culvert Discharge (cms) | Headwater Elevation (m) | Inlet Control Depth (m) | Outlet Control Depth (m) | Flow Type | Normal Depth (m) | Critical Depth (m) | Outlet Depth (m) | Tailwater Depth (m) | Outlet Velocity (m/s) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2-Year | 0.06 | 0.06 | 216.02 | 0.205 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.118 | 0.142 | 0.122 | 0.125 | 1.202 |
| 5-Year | 0.12 | 0.12 | 216.11 | 0.297 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.168 | 0.204 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 1.551 |
| 10-Year | 0.17 | 0.17 | 216.17 | 0.356 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.199 | 0.244 | 0.199 | 0.216 | 1.705 |
| 25-Year | 0.24 | 0.24 | 216.25 | 0.435 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.239 | 0.293 | 0.239 | 0.257 | 1.884 |
| 50-Year | 0.30 | 0.30 | 216.31 | 0.496 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.269 | 0.330 | 0.269 | 0.286 | 1.999 |
| 100-Year | 0.36 | 0.36 | 216.38 | 0.560 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.299 | 0.366 | 0.299 | 0.313 | 2.109 |
| Check | 0.41 | 0.41 | 216.43 | 0.613 | 0.0* | 1-S2n | 0.323 | 0.393 | 0.323 | 0.335 | 2.187 |

* Full Flow Headwater elevation is below inlet invert.

Straight Culvert Inlet Elevation (invert): 215.82 m, Outlet Elevation (invert): 215.23 m Culvert Length: 20.58 m, Culvert Slope: 0.0285



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1: CSP Extension



Site Data - Culvert 1: CSP Extension

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: -2.00 m Inlet Elevation: 215.82 m Outlet Station: 18.57 m Outlet Elevation: 215.23 m Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1: CSP Extension

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 750.00 mm Barrel Material: Corrugated Steel Embedment: 0.00 mm Barrel Manning's n: 0.0240 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Thin Edge Projecting Inlet Depression: None

Table 12 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Crossing 4 Proposed)

| Flow (cms) | Water Surface Elev (m) | Depth (m) | Velocity (m/s) | Shear (Pa) | Froude Number |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| 0.06 | 215.36 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 6.15 | 0.43 |
| 0.12 | 215.41 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 8.90 | 0.45 |
| 0.17 | 215.45 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 10.57 | 0.46 |
| 0.24 | 215.49 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 12.59 | 0.47 |
| 0.30 | 215.52 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 14.00 | 0.48 |
| 0.36 | 215.54 | 0.31 | 0.68 | 15.35 | 0.48 |
| 0.41 | 215.56 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 16.40 | 0.49 |

Tailwater Channel Data - Crossing 4 Proposed

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel Bottom Width: 0.75 m Side Slope (H:V): 3.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0050 Channel Manning's n: 0.0350 Channel Invert Elevation: 215.23 m

Roadway Data for Crossing: Crossing 4 Proposed

Roadway Profile Shape: Irregular Roadway Shape (coordinates) Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 15.50 m





SCHEMATIC OF LINEAR LID FEATURE (UNDERGROUND DETENTION) - FIGURE NOT TO SCALE

PROFILE VIEW - SUB-SURFACE INFRASTRUCTURE

MAINTENANCE

PIPE

INFILTRATE WATER QUALITY RUNOFF VOLUME

СВМН

FS



| | Project Teston Class EA, City of | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|------|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | TABLE 01 OUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Drainago | Drainage Area (ha) | Existing | | | Proposed | | | Increased | Contributing | Required | Water | Total | Required Exfil. | Required Exfil. | Exfil. Trench | Proposed | Provided Water | Provided Water Quality | |
| Area ID | | Paved Area (ha) | % Impervious | Req. Volume (m ³) | Paved Area (ha) | % Impervious | Req. Volume (m ³) | Paved Area (ha) | Pavement Area (ha) | Pavement Area (ha) (m ³) | Storage ³ (m ³) | Storage (m ³) | Trench Area ² (m ²) | Trench Length (m) | Width (m) | Exfil. Trench Length (m) | Volume (m3) | Storage Volume (m ³) | Discharge Location |
| A1 | 0.92 | 0.55 | 60% | 29.51 | 0.89 | 96% | 39.75 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 170 | 121 | 1.4 | 140 | 31 | 31 | Tributary of East Humber River (Crossing 1) |
| A2 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 49% | 15.07 | 0.50 | 95% | 22.45 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 250 | 179 | 1.4 | 185 | 41 | 41 | Purpleville Creek (Crossing 2) |
| A3 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 45% | 8.70 | 0.28 | 88% | 12.72 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 138 | 98 | 1.4 | 120 | 27 | 27 | Roadside ditches (ultimate outfall to Purpleville Creek) |
| A4 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 43% | 24.50 | 0.79 | 87% | 36.45 | 0.40 | 0.79 | 36 | 39 | 39 | 393 | 281 | 1.4 | 340 | 76 | 76 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 3) |
| A5 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 46% | 6.60 | 0.22 | 93% | 9.89 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 55 | 39 | 1.4 | 80 | 18 | 18 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 4) |
| A6 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 71% | 19.43 | 0.51 | 93% | 22.95 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 58 | 42 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Proposed storm sewer system by Zzen- Lindvest Subdivision (no additional quality/quantity req'd) |
| A7 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 77% | 9.14 | 0.24 | 99% | 10.83 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 28 | 20 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Existing storm sewer system by Zzen- Lindvest Subdivision on Ballantyne Blvd (no additional quality/quantity req'd) |
| Total | 3.70 | 2.02 | | | 3.42 | | | 1.40 | 2.01 | 90 | 109 | 109 | 1092 | 780 | | 865 | 194 | 194 | |

¹ From Table 3.2 of MOE SWM Planning and Design Manual (2003)

² 5% of the contributing pavement area

³ Based on TRCA target of 5 mm retention

MOE Table 3.2

| Impervious Level (%) | W.Q. Storage Vol. (m ³ /ha) |
|----------------------------|---|
| 35% | 25 |
| 55% | 30 |
| 70% | 35 |
| 85% | 40 |

Exfiltration Trench Dimensions

| And a don't rener Bintensions | <u> </u> |
|--|---------------|
| lydraulic Conductivity = | 1.00E-06 cm/s |
| nfiltration Rate, i = | 12 mm/hr |
| afety Factor = | 3 |
| nfilt. With Safety Factor | 4.0 mm/hr |
| l _p = | 0 mm |
| s = | 48 hr |
| / _r = | 0.4 |
| r _{max} = | 480 mm |
| l _r = | 0.4 m |
| Perforated Pipe | 0.00 m |
| l _{filter} = d _{f minimum} | 0.00 m |
| pea gravel = | 0 m |
| l _{total} = | 0.40 m |

LID SWM GUIDE Table C1

| Kfs | т | 1/T |
|----------|--------|-------|
| cm/s | min/cm | mm/hr |
| 0.1 | 2 | 300 |
| 0.01 | 4 | 150 |
| 0.001 | 8 | 75 |
| 0.0001 | 12 | 50 |
| 0.00001 | 20 | 30 |
| 0.000001 | 50 | 12 |
| Note: | | |

Kfs: Hydraulic Conductivity

T: Percolation Time

1/T: Infiltration Rate

| | Project | Teston Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | Tes | ston Class EA, Cit | y of Vaughan | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | Date | | 5-May-23 | | No. | | Page |] |
| | | | | | | | | | Ву | | J. Look | | Checked | S. Sadek | |] |
| Stormwater Ma | nagement C | alculations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | TABLE 02 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | QL | | | | ATION | | | | |
| | Existing Proposed 5-Year 100-Year Humber River Unit Flow Rates (TRCA, 2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage Area ID | Drainage Area (ha) | Paved Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient | Drainage Area (ha) | Paved Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient | Increased Paved Area (ha) | Existing Flow (m3/s) | Uncontrolled Proposed Flow (m3/s) | Req'd Storage Vol. (m ³) | Existing Flow (m3/s) | Uncontrolled Proposed Flow (m3/s) | Req'd Storage Vol. (m ³) | Req'd Storage Vol. (m ³) based on 5-Year | Req'd Storage Vol. (m ³) based on 100-Year | Remarks |
| A1 | 0.92 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 35 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 64 | - | - | Tributary of East Humber River (Crossing 1) |
| A2 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 25 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 46 | 152 | 246 | Purpleville Creek (Crossing 2) |
| A3 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.82 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 14 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 25 | 84 | 135 | Roadside ditches (ultimate outfall to Purpleville Creek) |
| A4 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.91 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 41 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 75 | 245 | 397 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 3) |
| A5 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 11 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 21 | 65 | 105 | Tributary of Purpleville Creek (Crossing 4) |
| A6 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 12 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 22 | 154 | 250 | Proposed storm sewer system by Zzen- Lindvest Subdivision (no additional quality/quantity req'd) |
| Α7 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 6 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 11 | 73 | 117 | Existing storm sewer system by Zzen- Lindvest Subdivision on Ballantyne Blvd (no additional quality/quantity req'd) |
| Total | 3.70 | 2.02 | | 3.70 | 3.42 | | 1.40 | | | 127 | | | 230 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Excludes A6, A | 7 | | Excludes A6, A | 7 | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| ha la |
|--|
| ha |
| Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| ha la |
| ha |
| Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| ' minute |
| |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Parameters | Rainfall | Allowable | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | . / (Tc + B) ^C | | Intensity | Release Rate |
| Penou | Α | В | с | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 161.46 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 224.08 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 272.37 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 326.62 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 382.60 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 404.73 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Crossing C-1 (Tributary to East Humber)

| Storage Vol | ume Calcula | ition - 5 Year P | ost to 5 Yea | ar Pre | | | Storage Vol | ume Calcul | ation - 100 Y | ear Post t | o 100 Year Pi | e |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 308.31 | 129.49 | 94.11 | 35.37 | | 7 | 247.76 | 556.86 | 233.88 | 169.99 | 63.89 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 287.62 | 138.06 | 107.56 | 30.50 | | 8 | 230.70 | 518.52 | 248.89 | 194.27 | 54.62 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 269.83 | 145.71 | 121.00 | 24.70 | | 9 | 216.04 | 485.58 | 262.21 | 218.56 | 43.65 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 254.33 | 152.60 | 134.45 | 18.15 | | 10 | 203.31 | 456.95 | 274.17 | 242.84 | 31.33 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 240.71 | 158.87 | 147.89 | 10.98 | | 11 | 192.12 | 431.81 | 285.00 | 267.12 | 17.87 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 228.63 | 164.61 | 161.34 | 3.27 | | 12 | 182.22 | 409.55 | 294.88 | 291.41 | 3.47 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 217.83 | 169.91 | 174.78 | 0.00 | | 13 | 173.38 | 389.69 | 303.96 | 315.69 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 208.12 | 174.82 | 188.23 | 0.00 | | 14 | 165.44 | 371.85 | 312.35 | 339.98 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 199.33 | 179.39 | 201.67 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 355.72 | 320.15 | 364.26 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 165.43 | 198.51 | 268.90 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 293.71 | 352.45 | 485.68 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 75.63 | 272.26 | 806.69 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 131.41 | 473.07 | 1457.04 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 51.34 | 308.01 | 1344.48 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 88.25 | 529.51 | 2428.40 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 44.61 | 321.21 | 1613.37 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 76.40 | 550.07 | 2914.08 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 18.89 | 408.04 | 4840.12 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 31.59 | 682.40 | 8742.24 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 10.91 | 471.44 | 9680.24 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 17.98 | 776.58 | 17484.48 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 6.29 | 543.49 | 19360.48 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 10.21 | 881.78 | 34968.96 | 0.00 |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne: | 35.37 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 63.89 | m³ | |
| Required St | orage Sumn | narv | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | d Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.31 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | d Conditions | | 1 | | | | |
| Controlled I | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.22 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing | Flow | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 35.37 | m³ | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | d Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.56 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions | | | | | | | |
| Controlled I | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.40 | m³/s | 100 Year Existing Flow | | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 63.89 | m° | | | | | | | | |

| | Project Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|---------|----------|------|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| 2 |
|---|
| 52 ha |
| 26 ha |
| 57 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| 52 ha |
| 50 ha |
| 37 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| 7 minute |
| |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Parameters | Rainfall | Allowable | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | / (Tc + B) ^C | | Intensity | Release Rate |
| Penou | Α | В | с | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 81.70 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 113.38 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 137.82 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 165.27 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 193.59 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 204.79 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Crossing C-2 (Purpleville Creek)

| Storage Vo | lume Calcula | ition - 5 Year P | ost to 5 Ye | ar Pre | | | Storage Vo | ume Calcul | ation - 100 Y | ear Post t | o 100 Year Pr | e |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 174.04 | 73.10 | 47.62 | 25.47 | | 7 | 247.76 | 314.35 | 132.03 | 86.01 | 46.01 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 162.36 | 77.93 | 54.42 | 23.51 | | 8 | 230.70 | 292.70 | 140.50 | 98.30 | 42.19 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 152.32 | 82.25 | 61.23 | 21.02 | | 9 | 216.04 | 274.10 | 148.02 | 110.59 | 37.43 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 143.57 | 86.14 | 68.03 | 18.11 | | 10 | 203.31 | 257.94 | 154.77 | 122.88 | 31.89 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 135.88 | 89.68 | 74.83 | 14.85 | | 11 | 192.12 | 243.76 | 160.88 | 135.16 | 25.72 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 129.06 | 92.92 | 81.64 | 11.29 | | 12 | 182.22 | 231.19 | 166.46 | 147.45 | 19.01 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 122.96 | 95.91 | 88.44 | 7.47 | | 13 | 173.38 | 219.98 | 171.58 | 159.74 | 11.85 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 117.48 | 98.68 | 95.24 | 3.44 | | 14 | 165.44 | 209.91 | 176.32 | 172.03 | 4.30 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 112.52 | 101.27 | 102.04 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 200.80 | 180.72 | 184.31 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 93.38 | 112.06 | 136.06 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 165.80 | 198.96 | 245.75 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 42.69 | 153.69 | 408.18 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 74.18 | 267.04 | 737.25 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 28.98 | 173.87 | 680.30 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 49.82 | 298.91 | 1228.76 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 25.18 | 181.32 | 816.36 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 43.13 | 310.51 | 1474.51 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 10.66 | 230.34 | 2449.07 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 17.83 | 385.21 | 4423.53 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 6.16 | 266.12 | 4898.15 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 10.15 | 438.38 | 8847.05 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 3.55 | 306.80 | 9796.30 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 5.76 | 497.76 | 17694.10 | 0.00 |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne: | 25.47 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 46.01 | m³ | |
| Required St | torage Sumn | nary | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.17 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | l Conditions | | 1 | | | | |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate 0.11 m ³ /s 5 Year Existing Flor | | low | | | | | | | | | | |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne | 25.47 | m³ | - | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.31 | m³/s | 100 Year Prop | sed Conditions | |] | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.20 | m³/s | 100 Year Existi | g Flow | | | | | | |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne | 46.01 | m° | | | | | | | | |

| | Project | | Teston Roa | d Class EA, City of Vaughar | 1 |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|------|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | |
| | | TABLE 05 | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | A2 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.52 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.26 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.57 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.52 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.50 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.87 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Crossing C-2 (Purpleville Creek)

| Deturn | IDF Parameters (City of Vaughan) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Return | | $i = C_f x A / (Tc + B)^c$ | | | | | | | | |
| Feriou | Α | A B C C _f | | | | | | | | |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | | | | | |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | | | | | |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | | | | | |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | | | | | |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | | | | | |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | | | | | |

Unit Flow Return Rates Period (L/s/ha) 2-yr 4.89

7.89

9.88

12.49

14.69

17.06

5-yr

10-yr

25-yr

50-yr

100-yr

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates

Equation E Sub-Basin 19A

Required

Storage

Volume (m³)

128.27

172.67

188.22

199.52

208.18

220.58

228.96

234.83

239.00

241.94

243.94

245.21

246.07

191.90

51.76

0.00

| Storage Vol | ume Calcula | tion - 5 Year P | ost to 5 Yea | ar Unit Flows | | Storag | ge Volume Calcu | ation - 100 Ye | ear Post to | 100 Year Uni | t Flows |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------|---|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | Tir (min | Rainfall ne Intensity utes) (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Requ Stor Volum |
| 7 | 137.17 | 174.04 | 73.10 | 1.74 | 71.36 | | 247.76 | 314.35 | 132.03 | 3.76 | 128 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 112.52 | 101.27 | 3.73 | 97.54 | 1 | 5 158.27 | 200.80 | 180.72 | 8.05 | 172 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 93.38 | 112.06 | 4.97 | 107.09 | 2 | 0 130.68 | 165.80 | 198.96 | 10.74 | 188 |
| 25 | 63.29 | 80.29 | 120.44 | 6.21 | 114.23 | 2 | 5 111.89 | 141.97 | 212.95 | 13.42 | 199 |
| 30 | 55.74 | 70.72 | 127.30 | 7.45 | 119.85 | 3 | 0 98.21 | 124.61 | 224.29 | 16.11 | 208 |
| 40 | 45.38 | 57.57 | 138.17 | 9.94 | 128.23 | 4 | 0 79.50 | 100.86 | 242.06 | 21.48 | 220 |
| 50 | 38.53 | 48.89 | 146.67 | 12.42 | 134.25 | 5 | 0 67.21 | 85.27 | 255.80 | 26.85 | 228 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 42.69 | 153.69 | 14.90 | 138.79 | 6 | 0 58.47 | 74.18 | 267.04 | 32.22 | 234 |
| 70 | 29.97 | 38.02 | 159.69 | 17.39 | 142.30 | 7 | 0 51.90 | 65.85 | 276.59 | 37.59 | 239 |
| 80 | 27.08 | 34.36 | 164.94 | 19.87 | 145.07 | 8 | 0 46.78 | 59.35 | 284.89 | 42.96 | 241 |
| 90 | 24.76 | 31.41 | 169.63 | 22.36 | 147.28 | 9 | 0 42.66 | 54.12 | 292.27 | 48.33 | 243 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 28.98 | 173.87 | 24.84 | 149.03 | 10 | 39.27 | 49.82 | 298.91 | 53.70 | 245 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 25.18 | 181.32 | 29.81 | 151.52 | 12 | 33.99 | 43.13 | 310.51 | 64.44 | 246 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 10.66 | 230.34 | 89.42 | 140.92 | 36 | 50 14.06 | 17.83 | 385.21 | 193.31 | 191 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 6.16 | 266.12 | 178.84 | 87.28 | 72 | 8.00 | 10.15 | 438.38 | 386.62 | 51 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 3.55 | 306.80 | 357.68 | 0.00 | 14 | 40 4.54 | 5.76 | 497.76 | 773.24 | 0. |
| Required St | orage Volun | ne: | 151.52 | m³ | | Requi | red Storage Volu | ime: | 246.07 | m³ | |

| Required Storage Summary | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.17 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.004 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 151.52 | m³ | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.31 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.009 | m³/s | 100 Year Unit Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 246.07 | m³ | |
| | | | |

| F)) | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| Drainage Area ID A3 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Existing Drainage Area 0.31 | ha |
| Existing Pavement Area 0.14 | ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient 0.54 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area 0.31 | ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area 0.28 | ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient 0.82 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration 7 | minute |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Rainfall | Allowable | | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | / (Tc + B) ^C | | Intensity | Release Rate |
| Penou | Α | В | с | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 46.98 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 65.20 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 79.25 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 95.03 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 111.32 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 117.76 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Purpleville Creek

| Storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Pre | | | | Storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Pre | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | Ti (mir | ime nutes) (| Rainfall ntensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 98.31 | 41.29 | 27.38 | 13.91 | | 7 | 247.76 | 177.57 | 74.58 | 49.46 | 25.12 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 91.72 | 44.02 | 31.29 | 12.73 | | 8 | 230.70 | 165.34 | 79.36 | 56.52 | 22.84 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 86.04 | 46.46 | 35.21 | 11.26 | | 9 | 216.04 | 154.84 | 83.61 | 63.59 | 20.02 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 81.10 | 48.66 | 39.12 | 9.54 | | 10 | 203.31 | 145.71 | 87.43 | 70.65 | 16.77 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 76.76 | 50.66 | 43.03 | 7.63 | | 11 | 192.12 | 137.69 | 90.88 | 77.72 | 13.16 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 72.90 | 52.49 | 46.94 | 5.55 | : | 12 | 182.22 | 130.60 | 94.03 | 84.78 | 9.25 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 69.46 | 54.18 | 50.85 | 3.33 | : | 13 | 173.38 | 124.26 | 96.93 | 91.85 | 5.08 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 66.36 | 55.74 | 54.76 | 0.98 | : | 14 | 165.44 | 118.57 | 99.60 | 98.92 | 0.69 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 63.56 | 57.20 | 58.68 | 0.00 | : | 15 | 158.27 | 113.43 | 102.09 | 105.98 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 52.75 | 63.30 | 78.23 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 93.66 | 112.39 | 141.31 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 24.12 | 86.82 | 234.70 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 41.90 | 150.85 | 423.92 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 16.37 | 98.22 | 391.17 | 0.00 | 1 | 100 | 39.27 | 28.14 | 168.85 | 706.54 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 14.23 | 102.43 | 469.41 | 0.00 | 1 | 120 | 33.99 | 24.36 | 175.40 | 847.84 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 6.02 | 130.11 | 1408.22 | 0.00 | 3 | 360 | 14.06 | 10.07 | 217.60 | 2543.53 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 3.48 | 150.33 | 2816.44 | 0.00 | 7 | 720 | 8.00 | 5.73 | 247.63 | 5087.06 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 2.01 | 173.31 | 5632.88 | 0.00 | 14 | .440 | 4.54 | 3.25 | 281.18 | 10174.12 | 0.00 |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne: | 13.91 | m³ | | Requ | uired Stor | age Volu | ne: | 25.12 | m³ | |
| Required St | torage Sumn | nary | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.10 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | nditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.07 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing | 1 | | | | | | |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne | 13.91 | m³ | - | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.18 | m³/s | 100 Year Prop | Conditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.12 | m³/s | 100 Year Existi | ow | | | | | | |
| Required St | torage Volur | ne | 25.12 | m³ | | | | | | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |
| TABLE 07 | | | | | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | A3 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.31 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.14 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.54 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.31 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.28 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.82 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

Peak Flow Control Requirement Discharging to Purpleville Creek

| Deturn | IDF I | IDF Parameters (City of Vaughan) | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Return | $i = C_f \times A / (Tc + B)^{C}$ | | | | | | | |
| Feriou | Α | В | С | C _f | (mm/hr) | | | |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | | | |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | | | |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | | | |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | | | |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | | | |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | | | |

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates

| Return Period | Unit Flow Rates (L/s/ha) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2-yr | 5.15 |
| 5-yr | 8.32 |
| 10-yr | 10.41 |
| 25-yr | 13.15 |
| 50-yr | 15.47 |
| 100-yr | 17.98 |

Equation E Sub-Basin 19A

| Storage Vol | ume Calcula | tion - 5 Year P | ost to 5 Yea | r Unit Flows | | Storage Vol | ume Calcu |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 98.31 | 41.29 | 1.10 | 40.19 | 7 | 247.76 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 63.56 | 57.20 | 2.35 | 54.85 | 15 | 158.27 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 52.75 | 63.30 | 3.14 | 60.16 | 20 | 130.68 |
| 25 | 63.29 | 45.36 | 68.03 | 3.92 | 64.11 | 25 | 111.89 |
| 30 | 55.74 | 39.95 | 71.91 | 4.71 | 67.20 | 30 | 98.21 |
| 40 | 45.38 | 32.52 | 78.05 | 6.28 | 71.77 | 40 | 79.50 |
| 50 | 38.53 | 27.62 | 82.85 | 7.84 | 75.01 | 50 | 67.21 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 24.12 | 86.82 | 9.41 | 77.40 | 60 | 58.47 |
| 70 | 29.97 | 21.48 | 90.21 | 10.98 | 79.22 | 70 | 51.90 |
| 80 | 27.08 | 19.41 | 93.17 | 12.55 | 80.62 | 80 | 46.78 |
| 90 | 24.76 | 17.75 | 95.82 | 14.12 | 81.70 | 90 | 42.66 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 16.37 | 98.22 | 15.69 | 82.53 | 100 | 39.27 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 14.23 | 102.43 | 18.83 | 83.60 | 120 | 33.99 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 6.02 | 130.11 | 56.48 | 73.63 | 360 | 14.06 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 3.48 | 150.33 | 112.97 | 37.36 | 720 | 8.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 2.01 | 173.31 | 225.93 | 0.00 | 1440 | 4.54 |
| Required St | orage Volun | ne: | 83.60 | m³ | | Required St | orage Vol |

| storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Unit Flows | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | |
| 7 | 247.76 | 177.57 | 74.58 | 2.37 | 72.21 | | |
| 15 | 158.27 | 113.43 | 102.09 | 5.09 | 97.00 | | |
| 20 | 130.68 | 93.66 | 112.39 | 6.78 | 105.61 | | |
| 25 | 111.89 | 80.19 | 120.29 | 8.48 | 111.81 | | |
| 30 | 98.21 | 70.39 | 126.70 | 10.17 | 116.52 | | |
| 40 | 79.50 | 56.97 | 136.74 | 13.56 | 123.17 | | |
| 50 | 67.21 | 48.17 | 144.50 | 16.96 | 127.54 | | |
| 60 | 58.47 | 41.90 | 150.85 | 20.35 | 130.50 | | |
| 70 | 51.90 | 37.20 | 156.24 | 23.74 | 132.50 | | |
| 80 | 46.78 | 33.53 | 160.93 | 27.13 | 133.80 | | |
| 90 | 42.66 | 30.57 | 165.10 | 30.52 | 134.58 | | |
| 100 | 39.27 | 28.14 | 168.85 | 33.91 | 134.94 | | |
| 120 | 33.99 | 24.36 | 175.40 | 40.69 | 134.71 | | |
| 360 | 14.06 | 10.07 | 217.60 | 122.08 | 95.52 | | |
| 720 | 8.00 | 5.73 | 247.63 | 244.16 | 3.48 | | |
| 1440 | 4.54 | 3.25 | 281.18 | 488.31 | 0.00 | | |
| Required St | orage Volu | ne: | 134.94 | m³ | | | |

| Required Storage Summary | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.10 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.003 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 83.60 | m³ | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.18 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.006 | m³/s | 100 Year Unit Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 134.94 | m³ | |
| | | | |

| F)) | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| Drainage Area ID A4 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Existing Drainage Area 0.91 | ha |
| Existing Pavement Area 0.39 | ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient 0.53 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area 0.91 | ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area 0.79 | ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient 0.81 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration 7 | minute |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Parameters | (City of Vaugha | an) | Rainfall | Allowable |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | Intensity | Release Rate | | |
| renou | Α | В | С | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 131.99 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 183.18 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 222.66 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 267.00 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 312.77 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 330.86 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Crossing C-3 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek)

| Storage Vol | torage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Pre | | | | | | Storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Pre | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|--|--|---|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 281.49 | 118.22 | 76.94 | 41.29 | 1 | 7 | 247.76 | 508.42 | 213.54 | 138.96 | 74.57 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 262.60 | 126.05 | 87.93 | 38.12 | | 8 | 230.70 | 473.41 | 227.24 | 158.81 | 68.42 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 246.36 | 133.03 | 98.92 | 34.11 | | 9 | 216.04 | 443.34 | 239.40 | 178.67 | 60.74 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 232.21 | 139.33 | 109.91 | 29.42 | | 10 | 203.31 | 417.20 | 250.32 | 198.52 | 51.80 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 219.77 | 145.05 | 120.90 | 24.15 | | 11 | 192.12 | 394.25 | 260.20 | 218.37 | 41.84 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 208.74 | 150.29 | 131.89 | 18.40 | | 12 | 182.22 | 373.93 | 269.23 | 238.22 | 31.01 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 198.88 | 155.13 | 142.88 | 12.24 | | 13 | 173.38 | 355.79 | 277.52 | 258.07 | 19.45 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 190.01 | 159.61 | 153.87 | 5.74 | | 14 | 165.44 | 339.50 | 285.18 | 277.92 | 7.26 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 181.99 | 163.79 | 164.86 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 324.78 | 292.30 | 297.78 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 151.04 | 181.24 | 219.82 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 268.16 | 321.79 | 397.03 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 69.05 | 248.58 | 659.45 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 119.98 | 431.92 | 1191.10 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 46.87 | 281.22 | 1099.09 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 80.57 | 483.45 | 1985.17 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 40.73 | 293.27 | 1318.90 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 69.75 | 502.22 | 2382.21 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 17.25 | 372.55 | 3956.71 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 28.84 | 623.04 | 7146.63 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 9.96 | 430.43 | 7913.43 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 16.41 | 709.03 | 14293.26 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 5.74 | 496.21 | 15826.86 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 9.32 | 805.07 | 28586.51 | 0.00 |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne: | 41.29 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 74.57 | m³ | |
| Required St | orage Sumn | nary | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.28 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | ed Conditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.18 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing | Flow | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 41.29 | m³ | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | ed Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.51 | m³/s | 100 Year Prop | osed Conditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.33 | m³/s | 100 Year Existi | ng Flow | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 74.57 | m° | | | | | | | | |

| | Project | | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | | |
| | | TABLE 09 | | | | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | A4 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.91 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.39 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.53 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.91 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.79 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.81 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

Discharging to Crossing C-3 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek)

Peak Flow Control Requirement

| Deturn | IDF F | IDF Parameters (City of Vaughan) | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Return | $i = C_f \times A / (Tc + B)^{c}$ | | | | | | | |
| Periou | Α | В | C _f | (mm/hr) | | | | |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | | | |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | | | |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | | | |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | | | |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | | | |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | | | |

Unit Flow Equation E

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates

| Period | Rates (L/s/ha) |
|--------|-------------------|
| 2-yr | 4.62 |
| 5-yr | 7.44 |
| 10-yr | 9.31 |
| 25-yr | 11.78 |
| 50-yr | 13.85 |
| 100-yr | 16.08 |

Sub-Basin 19A

| orage Vo | lume Calcula | tion - 5 Year F | ost to 5 Yea | r Unit Flows | | Storage Vol | ume Calcula | ation - 100 Ye | ear Post to | 100 Year Uni | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | |
| 7 | 137.17 | 281.49 | 118.22 | 2.84 | 115.39 | 7 | 247.76 | 508.42 | 213.54 | 6.14 | |
| 15 | 88.69 | 181.99 | 163.79 | 6.08 | 157.71 | 15 | 158.27 | 324.78 | 292.30 | 13.15 | |
| 20 | 73.60 | 151.04 | 181.24 | 8.11 | 173.13 | 20 | 130.68 | 268.16 | 321.79 | 17.53 | |
| 25 | 63.29 | 129.87 | 194.80 | 10.14 | 184.66 | 25 | 111.89 | 229.61 | 344.42 | 21.92 | |
| 30 | 55.74 | 114.38 | 205.89 | 12.16 | 193.73 | 30 | 98.21 | 201.54 | 362.77 | 26.30 | |
| 40 | 45.38 | 93.11 | 223.47 | 16.22 | 207.26 | 40 | 79.50 | 163.13 | 391.51 | 35.07 | |
| 50 | 38.53 | 79.07 | 237.22 | 20.27 | 216.95 | 50 | 67.21 | 137.91 | 413.74 | 43.83 | |
| 60 | 33.65 | 69.05 | 248.58 | 24.33 | 224.25 | 60 | 58.47 | 119.98 | 431.92 | 52.60 | |
| 70 | 29.97 | 61.50 | 258.28 | 28.38 | 229.90 | 70 | 51.90 | 106.51 | 447.35 | 61.37 | |
| 80 | 27.08 | 55.58 | 266.78 | 32.43 | 234.35 | 80 | 46.78 | 96.00 | 460.78 | 70.13 | |
| 90 | 24.76 | 50.81 | 274.36 | 36.49 | 237.88 | 90 | 42.66 | 87.54 | 472.71 | 78.90 | |
| 100 | 22.84 | 46.87 | 281.22 | 40.54 | 240.68 | 100 | 39.27 | 80.57 | 483.45 | 87.66 | |
| 120 | 19.85 | 40.73 | 293.27 | 48.65 | 244.62 | 120 | 33.99 | 69.75 | 502.22 | 105.20 | |
| 360 | 8.40 | 17.25 | 372.55 | 145.95 | 226.60 | 360 | 14.06 | 28.84 | 623.04 | 315.59 | |
| 720 | 4.86 | 9.96 | 430.43 | 291.90 | 138.53 | 720 | 8.00 | 16.41 | 709.03 | 631.18 | |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 5.74 | 496.21 | 583.81 | 0.00 | 1440 | 4.54 | 9.32 | 805.07 | 1262.37 | |
| Required S | torage Volun | ne: | 244.62 | m³ | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 397.02 | m ³ | |

| Required Storage Summary | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.28 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.007 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 244.62 | m³ | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.51 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.015 | m³/s | 100 Year Unit Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 397.02 | m³ | |
| · · · | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| Drainage Area ID A5 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Existing Drainage Area 0.24 | ha |
| Existing Pavement Area 0.11 | ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient 0.55 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area 0.24 | ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area 0.22 | ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient 0.85 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration 7 | minute |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Parameters | Rainfall | Allowable | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | Intensity | Release Rate | | |
| Penou | Α | В | с | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 35.70 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 49.55 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 60.23 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 72.22 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 84.60 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 89.50 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Crossing C-4 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek)

| Storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Pre | | | | | Storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Pre | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 76.59 | 32.17 | 20.81 | 11.36 | | 7 | 247.76 | 138.34 | 58.10 | 37.59 | 20.51 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 71.45 | 34.30 | 23.78 | 10.51 | | 8 | 230.70 | 128.81 | 61.83 | 42.96 | 18.87 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 67.03 | 36.20 | 26.76 | 9.44 | | 9 | 216.04 | 120.63 | 65.14 | 48.33 | 16.81 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 63.18 | 37.91 | 29.73 | 8.18 | | 10 | 203.31 | 113.52 | 68.11 | 53.70 | 14.41 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 59.80 | 39.47 | 32.70 | 6.76 | | 11 | 192.12 | 107.27 | 70.80 | 59.07 | 11.73 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 56.80 | 40.89 | 35.68 | 5.22 | | 12 | 182.22 | 101.74 | 73.26 | 64.44 | 8.82 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 54.11 | 42.21 | 38.65 | 3.56 | | 13 | 173.38 | 96.81 | 75.51 | 69.81 | 5.71 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 51.70 | 43.43 | 41.62 | 1.81 | | 14 | 165.44 | 92.38 | 77.60 | 75.18 | 2.42 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 49.52 | 44.57 | 44.59 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 88.37 | 79.53 | 80.55 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 41.10 | 49.32 | 59.46 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 72.97 | 87.56 | 107.39 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 18.79 | 67.64 | 178.38 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 32.65 | 117.52 | 322.18 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 12.75 | 76.52 | 297.29 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 21.92 | 131.54 | 536.97 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 11.08 | 79.80 | 356.75 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 18.98 | 136.65 | 644.37 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 4.69 | 101.37 | 1070.26 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 7.85 | 169.53 | 1933.11 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 2.71 | 117.12 | 2140.52 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 4.47 | 192.92 | 3866.21 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 1.56 | 135.02 | 4281.04 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 2.54 | 219.06 | 7732.43 | 0.00 |
| Required St | orage Volun | ne: | 11.36 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 20.51 | m³ | |
| Required St | orage Sumn | nary | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate 0.08 m ³ /s 5 Year Prop | | 5 Year Propose | d Conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | | 0.05 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing | Flow | | | | | | | |
| Required Storage Volume 11.36 m ³ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate 0.14 m ³ /s 100 Y | | 100 Year Propo | osed Conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate 0.09 | | 0.09 | m³/s | 100 Year Existing Flow | | | | | | | | |
| Required Storage Volume | | 20.51 | m° | | | | | | | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |
| | | TABLE 11 | | | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | A5 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.24 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.11 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.55 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.24 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.22 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.85 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

Discharging to Crossing C-4 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek)

12.75

11.08

4.69

2.71

1.56

Peak Flow Control Requirement

22.84

19.85 8.40

4.86

2.80

Required Storage Volume:

100

120

360

720

1440

| Deturn | IDF F | Rainfall | | | |
|--------|-------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Return | | | Intensity | | |
| Periou | Α | В | С | C _f | (mm/hr) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 |

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates

| Return Period | Unit Flow Rates (L/s/ha) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2-yr | 5.29 |
| 5-yr | 8.56 |
| 10-yr | 10.70 |
| 25-yr | 13.53 |
| 50-yr | 15.92 |
| 100-yr | 18.49 |

Equation E Sub-Basin 19A

| storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Unit Flows | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Time Rainfall (minutes) (mm/hr) | | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | | | | |
| 7 | 137.17 | 76.59 | 32.17 | 0.85 | 31.32 | | | | | |
| 15 | 88.69 | 49.52 | 44.57 | 1.81 | 42.75 | | | | | |
| 20 | 73.60 | 41.10 | 49.32 | 2.42 | 46.90 | | | | | |
| 25 | 63.29 | 35.34 | 53.00 | 3.02 | 49.98 | | | | | |
| 30 | 55.74 | 31.12 | 56.02 | 3.62 | 52.40 | | | | | |
| 40 | 45.38 | 25.34 | 60.81 | 4.83 | 55.97 | | | | | |
| 50 | 38.53 | 21.52 | 64.55 | 6.04 | 58.51 | | | | | |
| 60 | 33.65 | 18.79 | 67.64 | 7.25 | 60.39 | | | | | |
| 70 | 29.97 | 16.73 | 70.28 | 8.46 | 61.82 | | | | | |
| 80 | 27.08 | 15.12 | 72.59 | 9.67 | 62.93 | | | | | |
| 90 | 24.76 | 13.82 | 74.65 | 10.87 | 63.78 | | | | | |

76.52

79.80

101.37

117.12

135.02

65.30

| Storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Unit Flows | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | | | | |
| 7 | 247.76 | 138.34 | 58.10 | 1.83 | 56.28 | | | | | |
| 15 | 158.27 | 88.37 | 79.53 | 3.92 | 75.62 | | | | | |
| 20 | 130.68 | 72.97 | 87.56 | 5.22 | 82.34 | | | | | |
| 25 | 111.89 | 62.48 | 93.72 | 6.53 | 87.19 | | | | | |
| 30 | 98.21 | 54.84 | 98.71 | 7.83 | 90.87 | | | | | |
| 40 | 79.50 | 44.39 | 106.53 | 10.44 | 96.09 | | | | | |
| 50 | 67.21 | 37.53 | 112.58 | 13.05 | 99.52 | | | | | |
| 60 | 58.47 | 32.65 | 117.52 | 15.67 | 101.86 | | | | | |
| 70 | 51.90 | 28.98 | 121.72 | 18.28 | 103.45 | | | | | |
| 80 | 46.78 | 26.12 | 125.38 | 20.89 | 104.49 | | | | | |
| 90 | 42.66 | 23.82 | 128.62 | 23.50 | 105.12 | | | | | |
| 100 | 39.27 | 21.92 | 131.54 | 26.11 | 105.44 | | | | | |
| 120 | 33.99 | 18.98 | 136.65 | 31.33 | 105.32 | | | | | |
| 360 | 14.06 | 7.85 | 169.53 | 93.99 | 75.53 | | | | | |
| 720 | 8.00 | 4.47 | 192.92 | 187.99 | 4.94 | | | | | |
| 1440 | 4.54 | 2.54 | 219.06 | 375.98 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volu | ne: | 105.44 | m³ | | | | | | |

| Required Storage Summary | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.08 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.002 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 65.30 | m³ | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.14 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.004 | m³/s | 100 Year Unit Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 105.44 | m³ | |
| | | | |

12.08

14.50

43.49

86.99

173.97

m³

64.44

65.30

57.88

30.13

0.00

| | Project | | Teston Road | l Class EA, City of Vaugha | in |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | - | Page |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | |

TABLE 12 DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| Drainage Area ID | A6 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.55 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.39 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.71 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.55 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.51 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.85 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| Return | IDF F | Parameters | Rainfall | Allowable | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | i = C _f x A | Intensity | Release Rate | | |
| Fellou | Α | В | (mm/hr) | (L/s) | | |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 107.20 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 148.77 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 180.84 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 216.85 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 254.02 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 268.71 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Storm Sewer by Country Wide Subdivision (Discharging to Crossing C-4 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek) under interim conditions)

| Storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Pre | | | | | | Storage Vol | ume Calcul | ation - 100 Y | ear Post t | o 100 Year Pr | e | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 177.70 | 74.63 | 62.48 | 12.15 | | 7 | 247.76 | 320.96 | 134.80 | 112.86 | 21.94 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 165.78 | 79.57 | 71.41 | 8.16 | | 8 | 230.70 | 298.86 | 143.45 | 128.98 | 14.47 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 155.52 | 83.98 | 80.34 | 3.64 | | 9 | 216.04 | 279.87 | 151.13 | 145.11 | 6.03 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 146.59 | 87.95 | 89.26 | 0.00 | | 10 | 203.31 | 263.37 | 158.02 | 161.23 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 138.74 | 91.57 | 98.19 | 0.00 | | 11 | 192.12 | 248.89 | 164.26 | 177.35 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 131.77 | 94.88 | 107.12 | 0.00 | | 12 | 182.22 | 236.06 | 169.96 | 193.47 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 125.55 | 97.93 | 116.04 | 0.00 | | 13 | 173.38 | 224.61 | 175.19 | 209.60 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 119.95 | 100.76 | 124.97 | 0.00 | | 14 | 165.44 | 214.32 | 180.03 | 225.72 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 114.89 | 103.40 | 133.90 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 205.03 | 184.53 | 241.84 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 95.35 | 114.42 | 178.53 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 169.29 | 203.14 | 322.46 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 43.59 | 156.92 | 535.58 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 75.74 | 272.67 | 967.37 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 29.59 | 177.53 | 892.64 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 50.87 | 305.20 | 1612.29 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 25.71 | 185.14 | 1071.17 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 44.03 | 317.05 | 1934.74 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 10.89 | 235.19 | 3213.50 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 18.21 | 393.32 | 5804.23 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 6.29 | 271.73 | 6427.00 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 10.36 | 447.60 | 11608.46 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 3.63 | 313.25 | 12854.00 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 5.88 | 508.23 | 23216.93 | 0.00 |
| Required St | orage Volun | ne: | 12.15 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 21.94 | m³ | |
| Required St | orage Sumn | nary | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | d Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.18 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | d Conditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | | 0.15 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing | Flow | | | | | | | |
| Required Storage Volume 12.15 m | | m³ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate 0.32 m ³ /s 100 | | 100 Year Propo | sed Conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| Controlled [| Discharge Flo | ow Rate | 0.27 | m³/s | 100 Year Existi | ng Flow | | | | | | |
| Required Storage Volume 21.94 m ³ | | m³ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |
| TABLE 13 | | | | | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | A6 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.55 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.39 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.71 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.55 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.51 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.85 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

Discharging to Crossing C-4 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek)

Peak Flow Control Requirement

| Deturn | IDF F | Rainfall | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | / (Tc + B) ^C | | Intensity | | | |
| Periou | Α | A B C C _f | | | | | | |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | | | |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | | | |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | | | |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | | | |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | | | |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | | | |

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates Equation E

| Return | Unit Flow |
|--------|-----------|
| Doriod | Rates |
| Penou | (L/s/ha) |
| 2-yr | 4.87 |
| 5-yr | 7.86 |
| 10-yr | 9.84 |
| 25-yr | 12.44 |
| 50-yr | 14.62 |
| 100-yr | 16.99 |

Sub-Basin 19A

Required

Storage

Volume (m³)

130.90

176.17

192.00

203.50

212.29

224.86

233.32

239.22

243.39

246.30

248.25

249.46

250.16

192.67

46.30

0.00

| Storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Unit Flows | | | | | Storage Vo | lume Calcula | ation - 100 Ye | ear Post to | 100 Year Uni | t Flows | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---------------------|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Req Sto Volur |
| 7 | 137.17 | 177.70 | 74.63 | 1.80 | 72.83 | 7 | 247.76 | 320.96 | 134.80 | 3.90 | 13 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 114.89 | 103.40 | 3.87 | 99.53 | 15 | 158.27 | 205.03 | 184.53 | 8.36 | 17 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 95.35 | 114.42 | 5.16 | 109.26 | 20 | 130.68 | 169.29 | 203.14 | 11.15 | 19 |
| 25 | 63.29 | 81.98 | 122.97 | 6.45 | 116.53 | 25 | 111.89 | 144.95 | 217.43 | 13.93 | 20 |
| 30 | 55.74 | 72.21 | 129.98 | 7.73 | 122.24 | 30 | 98.21 | 127.23 | 229.01 | 16.72 | 21 |
| 40 | 45.38 | 58.78 | 141.08 | 10.31 | 130.76 | 40 | 79.50 | 102.98 | 247.16 | 22.29 | 22 |
| 50 | 38.53 | 49.92 | 149.76 | 12.89 | 136.87 | 50 | 67.21 | 87.06 | 261.19 | 27.87 | 23 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 43.59 | 156.92 | 15.47 | 141.45 | 60 | 58.47 | 75.74 | 272.67 | 33.44 | 23 |
| 70 | 29.97 | 38.82 | 163.05 | 18.05 | 145.00 | 70 | 51.90 | 67.24 | 282.41 | 39.02 | 24 |
| 80 | 27.08 | 35.09 | 168.42 | 20.63 | 147.79 | 80 | 46.78 | 60.60 | 290.89 | 44.59 | 24 |
| 90 | 24.76 | 32.07 | 173.20 | 23.20 | 150.00 | 90 | 42.66 | 55.26 | 298.42 | 50.16 | 24 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 29.59 | 177.53 | 25.78 | 151.75 | 100 | 39.27 | 50.87 | 305.20 | 55.74 | 24 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 25.71 | 185.14 | 30.94 | 154.20 | 120 | 33.99 | 44.03 | 317.05 | 66.88 | 25 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 10.89 | 235.19 | 92.82 | 142.37 | 360 | 14.06 | 18.21 | 393.32 | 200.65 | 19 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 6.29 | 271.73 | 185.63 | 86.09 | 720 | 8.00 | 10.36 | 447.60 | 401.30 | 4 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 3.63 | 313.25 | 371.26 | 0.00 | 1440 | 4.54 | 5.88 | 508.23 | 802.60 | C |
| Required St | orage Volun | ne: | 154.20 | m³ | | Required S | torage Volu | me: | 250.16 | m³ | |

| Required Storage Summary | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.18 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.004 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 154.20 | m³ | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.32 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Conditions |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate | 0.009 | m³/s | 100 Year Unit Flows |
| Required Storage Volume | 250.16 | m³ | |
| | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |

DRAINAGE AREA QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

| Drainage Area ID A7 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Existing Drainage Area 0.25 | ha |
| Existing Pavement Area 0.19 | ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient 0.75 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area 0.25 | ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area 0.24 | ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient 0.90 | Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration 7 | minute |

Existing and Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| | IDF F | Parameters | (City of Vaugha | an) | Rainfall | Allowable |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Return | | i = C _f x A | / (Tc + B) ^C | | Intensity | Release Rate |
| renou | Α | В | С | C _f | (mm/hr) | (L/s) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 | 50.58 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 | 70.20 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 | 85.33 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 | 102.32 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 | 119.86 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 | 126.80 |

Peak Flow Control Requirement

Discharging to Existing Storm Sewer on Ballantyne Boulevard

| Storage Vol | ume Calcula | ition - 5 Year P | ost to 5 Yea | ar Pre | | | Storage Vol | ume Calcul | ation - 100 Y | ear Post t | o 100 Year Pr | e |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) | | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 84.08 | 35.31 | 29.48 | 5.83 | | 7 | 247.76 | 151.87 | 63.78 | 53.25 | 10.53 |
| 8 | 127.97 | 78.44 | 37.65 | 33.70 | 3.96 | | 8 | 230.70 | 141.41 | 67.88 | 60.86 | 7.01 |
| 9 | 120.05 | 73.59 | 39.74 | 37.91 | 1.83 | | 9 | 216.04 | 132.43 | 71.51 | 68.47 | 3.04 |
| 10 | 113.16 | 69.36 | 41.62 | 42.12 | 0.00 | | 10 | 203.31 | 124.62 | 74.77 | 76.08 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 107.10 | 65.65 | 43.33 | 46.33 | 0.00 | | 11 | 192.12 | 117.76 | 77.72 | 83.69 | 0.00 |
| 12 | 101.72 | 62.35 | 44.89 | 50.54 | 0.00 | | 12 | 182.22 | 111.69 | 80.42 | 91.29 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 96.92 | 59.41 | 46.34 | 54.76 | 0.00 | | 13 | 173.38 | 106.28 | 82.90 | 98.90 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 92.60 | 56.76 | 47.68 | 58.97 | 0.00 | | 14 | 165.44 | 101.41 | 85.18 | 106.51 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 54.36 | 48.92 | 63.18 | 0.00 | | 15 | 158.27 | 97.01 | 87.31 | 114.12 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 45.12 | 54.14 | 84.24 | 0.00 | | 20 | 130.68 | 80.10 | 96.12 | 152.16 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 20.63 | 74.25 | 252.72 | 0.00 | | 60 | 58.47 | 35.84 | 129.02 | 456.47 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 14.00 | 84.00 | 421.20 | 0.00 | | 100 | 39.27 | 24.07 | 144.41 | 760.78 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 12.17 | 87.60 | 505.44 | 0.00 | | 120 | 33.99 | 20.84 | 150.01 | 912.93 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 5.15 | 111.28 | 1516.33 | 0.00 | | 360 | 14.06 | 8.62 | 186.10 | 2738.80 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 2.98 | 128.57 | 3032.66 | 0.00 | | 720 | 8.00 | 4.90 | 211.79 | 5477.60 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 1.72 | 148.22 | 6065.32 | 0.00 | | 1440 | 4.54 | 2.78 | 240.48 | 10955.19 | 0.00 |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne: | 5.83 | m³ | | | Required St | orage Volu | me: | 10.53 | m³ | |
| Required St | orage Sumn | nary | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | d Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.08 | m³/s | 5 Year Propose | d Conditions | | | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.07 | m³/s | 5 Year Existing Flow | | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 5.83 | m³ | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolle | d Discharge | Flow Rate | 0.15 | m³/s | 100 Year Prop | osed Conditions | |] | | | | |
| Controlled | Discharge Fl | ow Rate | 0.13 | m³/s | 100 Year Existing Flow | | | | | | | |
| Required St | orage Volur | ne | 10.53 | m³ | | | | | | | | |

| | Project | Teston Road Class EA, City of Vaughan | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Date | 5-May-23 | No. | | Page | | |
| | Ву | J. Look | Checked | S. Sadek | | | |
| Stormwater Management Calculations | | | | | | | |
| TABLE 15 | | | | | | | |

| Drainage Area ID | Α7 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Existing Drainage Area | 0.25 ha |
| Existing Pavement Area | 0.19 ha |
| Existing Runoff Coefficient | 0.75 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Proposed Drainage Area | 0.25 ha |
| Proposed Pavement Area | 0.24 ha |
| Proposed Runoff Coefficient | 0.90 Assume pavement C = 0.9, landscaped C = 0.25 |
| Time of Concentration | 7 minute |

0.005 m³/s

m³

117.40

Proposed - City of Vaughan Rainfall Parameters

| Deturn | IDF I | Rainfall | | | |
|--------|-------|-----------|--------|----------------|---------|
| Return | | Intensity | | | |
| Periou | Α | В | с | C _f | (mm/hr) |
| 2-yr | 647.7 | 4 | 0.784 | 1 | 98.84 |
| 5-yr | 929.6 | 4 | 0.7980 | 1 | 137.17 |
| 10-yr | 1021 | 3 | 0.7870 | 1 | 166.73 |
| 25-yr | 1100 | 2 | 0.7760 | 1 | 199.94 |
| 50-yr | 1488 | 3 | 0.8030 | 1 | 234.21 |
| 100-yr | 1770 | 4 | 0.8200 | 1 | 247.76 |

Humber River SWM Quantity Control Release Rates

| Roturn | Unit Flow Rates | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Doriod | | | | | | |
| Penou | (L/s/ha) | | | | | |
| 2-yr | 5.27 | | | | | |
| 5-yr | 8.52 | | | | | |
| 10-yr | 10.66 | | | | | |
| 25-yr | 13.47 | | | | | |
| 50-yr | 15.85 | | | | | |
| 100-yr | 18.41 | | | | | |

Equation E Sub-Basin 19A

| Peak Flow Control Requirement |
|--|
| Discharging to Crossing C-4 (Tributary of Purpleville Creek) |

Controlled Discharge Flow Rate

Required Storage Volume

| Storage Volume Calculation - 5 Year Post to 5 Year Unit Flows | | | | | | Storage Volume Calculation - 100 Year Post to 100 Year Unit Flows | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|
| Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m³) | Time (minutes) | Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storm Runoff Volume (m ³) | Ex. Discharge Flow Vol. (m ³) | Required Storage Volume (m ³) |
| 7 | 137.17 | 84.08 | 35.31 | 0.88 | 34.43 | 7 | 247.76 | 151.87 | 63.78 | 1.90 | 61.88 |
| 15 | 88.69 | 54.36 | 48.92 | 1.89 | 47.04 | 15 | 158.27 | 97.01 | 87.31 | 4.08 | 83.23 |
| 20 | 73.60 | 45.12 | 54.14 | 2.52 | 51.62 | 20 | 130.68 | 80.10 | 96.12 | 5.44 | 90.68 |
| 25 | 63.29 | 38.79 | 58.19 | 3.14 | 55.04 | 25 | 111.89 | 68.59 | 102.88 | 6.79 | 96.09 |
| 30 | 55.74 | 34.17 | 61.50 | 3.77 | 57.73 | 30 | 98.21 | 60.20 | 108.36 | 8.15 | 100.21 |
| 40 | 45.38 | 27.81 | 66.75 | 5.03 | 61.72 | 40 | 79.50 | 48.73 | 116.95 | 10.87 | 106.07 |
| 50 | 38.53 | 23.62 | 70.86 | 6.29 | 64.57 | 50 | 67.21 | 41.19 | 123.58 | 13.59 | 110.00 |
| 60 | 33.65 | 20.63 | 74.25 | 7.55 | 66.70 | 60 | 58.47 | 35.84 | 129.02 | 16.31 | 112.71 |
| 70 | 29.97 | 18.37 | 77.15 | 8.80 | 68.35 | 70 | 51.90 | 31.82 | 133.62 | 19.03 | 114.60 |
| 80 | 27.08 | 16.60 | 79.69 | 10.06 | 69.63 | 80 | 46.78 | 28.67 | 137.64 | 21.74 | 115.89 |
| 90 | 24.76 | 15.18 | 81.95 | 11.32 | 70.63 | 90 | 42.66 | 26.15 | 141.20 | 24.46 | 116.74 |
| 100 | 22.84 | 14.00 | 84.00 | 12.58 | 71.43 | 100 | 39.27 | 24.07 | 144.41 | 27.18 | 117.23 |
| 120 | 19.85 | 12.17 | 87.60 | 15.09 | 72.51 | 120 | 33.99 | 20.84 | 150.01 | 32.62 | 117.40 |
| 360 | 8.40 | 5.15 | 111.28 | 45.28 | 66.01 | 360 | 14.06 | 8.62 | 186.10 | 97.85 | 88.26 |
| 720 | 4.86 | 2.98 | 128.57 | 90.55 | 38.02 | 720 | 8.00 | 4.90 | 211.79 | 195.69 | 16.10 |
| 1440 | 2.80 | 1.72 | 148.22 | 181.10 | 0.00 | 1440 | 4.54 | 2.78 | 240.48 | 391.39 | 0.00 |
| Required Storage Volume: 72. | | 72.51 | m³ | | Required St | torage Volu | me: | 117.40 | m³ | | |
| Required S | torage Sumn | nary | | | | |] | | | | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate 0.08 | | 0.08 | m³/s | 5 Year Proposed Conditions | | | | | | | |
| Controlled Discharge Flow Rate 0 | | 0.002 | m³/s | 5 Year Unit Flow Flows | | | | | | | |
| Required Storage Volume 72 | | 72.51 | m ³ | | | | | | | | |
| Uncontrolled Discharge Flow Rate | | 0.15 | m³/s | 100 Year Proposed Condition | S | | | | | | |

100 Year Unit Flows

Appendix F: Excerpt from the Zzen-Lindvest Residential Subdivision Final Stormwater Management Report by Urban Ecosystems Limited (June, 2017)

6



FINAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

ZZEN-LINDVEST RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION

PART OF LOTS 24 & 25, CONCESSION 7

CITY OF VAUGHAN, ONTARIO

06011.300 JUNE 23, 2017

7050 Weston Road, Suite 705 Woodbridge. Ontario L4L 8G7 Telephone (905) 856-0629 Fax: (905) 856-0698

uel@urbanecosystems.com



