

**BASS PRO MILLS DRIVE, FROM HIGHWAY 400 TO WESTON ROAD MUNICIPAL CLASS
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

Appendix O Consultation

Appendix O.1 CONSULTATION PLAN





Consultation Plan

Bass Pro Mills Extension, Highway 400
to Weston Road

Schedule C Municipal Class
Environmental Assessment

May 7, 2019

Prepared for:

The Corporation of the City of Vaughan
IM-7212-10

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Ltd.
160540006



Table of Contents

1.0	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	1.1
2.0	KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND POTENTIAL CONCERNS/ISSUES	2.1
3.0	COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES.....	3.3
3.1	STAKEHOLDER SCAN	3.3
3.2	STUDY CONTACT LIST.....	3.4
3.3	STUDY NOTIFICATIONS.....	3.4
3.4	PROJECT EMAIL ACCOUNT/ADDRESS (OPTIONAL) ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.	
3.5	PROJECT WEBSITE.....	3.5
3.6	NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEMENTS	3.5
3.7	PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRES.....	3.5
3.8	STAKEHOLDER GROUP	3.6
3.9	TECHNICAL AGENCY COMMITTEE.....	3.7
3.10	INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS.....	3.9
3.11	COUNCIL, SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM, CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM MEETINGS.....	3.9
3.12	CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING/ISSUES MANAGEMENT	3.9
3.13	STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT REPORT	3.9
3.14	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT	3.10
4.0	INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES CONSULTATION.....	4.10
5.0	COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL	5.10
6.0	STUDY TEAM CONTACTS	6.12

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Summary of Potential Issues/Concerns and Consultation Activities	2.1
Table 2:	Summary of Study Notifications.....	3.4
Table 3:	Public Information Centres Schedule.....	3.5
Table 4:	Summary of Stakeholder Group Meetings.....	3.6
Table 5:	Summary of Technical Agency Committee Meetings.....	3.8
Table 6:	Summary of Communication Protocol	5.11
Table 7:	Key Study Team Members	6.12



CONSULTATION PLAN

Goals and Objectives

1.0 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Successful consultation processes require thoughtful planning and management. The purpose of this Consultation Plan is to outline a strategic approach to communicating with the public, stakeholders, agencies, Indigenous Communities, and other interested persons, and to summarize the consultation activities to be undertaken as part of this Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) study. This Consultation Plan is intended to:

- Establish a framework for information sharing;
- Allow for meaningful involvement in the planning and decision-making processes;
- Generate effective two-way communication between the City of Vaughan (City)/study team members, the public, stakeholders and Indigenous Communities;
- Enable consensus-building on major issues or decisions; and
- Establish protocols that are responsive to comments or concerns.

The goal of the consultation process for this study is to facilitate effective two-way communication between the City and key stakeholders such that the exchange of information effectively influences the decision-making during planning.

2.0 KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND POTENTIAL CONCERNS/ISSUES

A summary of potential issues and/or concerns that are anticipated to be raised, and the consultation activities proposed for each interested party is outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Potential Issues/Concerns and Consultation Activities

Interested Party	Potential Concerns/Interests	Consultation Activities
Public		
General public	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential increases in traffic, neighbourhood infiltration • Increases in traffic-related noise • Traffic/vehicle light exposure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mail all notifications • Newspaper postings (to be coordinated by City) • Mail/email project information as requested
Business owners/operators, tenants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ivanhoe Cambridge Inc., Vaughan Mills Centre 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts to business operations, property access 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drop off/hand deliver notifications within study area • Invitation to participate in SG to be included as part of Notice of Commencement package • Hold individual meetings as necessary
Study area property owners, developers, tenants, local interest groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weston Downs Ratepayers' Association 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential property impacts • Perceived loss in property value/use/enjoyment • Potential increases in traffic, neighbourhood infiltration • Increases in traffic-related noise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drop off/hand deliver notifications within study area • Invitation to participate in SG to be included as part of Notice of Commencement package



CONSULTATION PLAN

Key Stakeholders and Potential Concerns/Issues

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic/vehicle light exposure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hold individual meetings as necessary
Agencies/Local Governments/ Utilities		
Ministry of Transportation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hwy 400/Bass Pro Mills partial interchange • Hwy 400/Langstaff Road interchange (current Region of York MCEA) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Invitation to participate on TAC • Solicit feedback/encourage feedback at key decision-making points • Hold individual meetings as necessary
City of Vaughan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Planning and Environmental Sustainability • Legal • Urban Design • Real Estate • Traffic Operations • Asset Management • Development Engineering • Infrastructure Delivery • Parks Planning • Fire & Rescue 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vaughan Mills Centre Public Realm and Streetscape Plan • Ongoing development approvals • OMB hearings/decisions • Property impacts • Potential environmental impacts/mitigation • Traffic operations, active transportation considerations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Solicit feedback/encourage feedback at key decision-making points • Participation on the TAC/SG
Region of York <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transportation Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional Road connections/intersection treatments (Weston Road) • Transportation improvements along Weston Road, Rutherford Road and Jane Street to support transit and active transportation • Nearby Regional Road studies/projects (e.g., Langstaff Road widening to 6 lanes to south and Hwy 400/Landstaff Road interchange) • Sewer infrastructure requirements • Hwy 400/Bass Pro Mills partial interchange 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Invitation to participate on TAC • Solicit feedback at key decision-making points • Hold individual meetings as necessary • Provide draft ESR for review and comment
MECP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chunmei Liu, EA Coordinator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliance with MCEA process • Consultation with Indigenous Communities • Climate Change and Source Water Protection considerations • Areas of Interest – specified in response to Notice of Study Commencement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Information Form • Thorough Indigenous Communities Consultation Log • Respond to Areas of Interest
Toronto Region Conservation Authority <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manirul Islam 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species at Risk/Habitat • Wetlands • Surface water • Source water protection • Regulated Areas • Stormwater management • Black Creek (Headwater Drainage Feature) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submit natural heritage Terms of Reference to TRCA for review and approval at study onset • Invite TRCA to participate on TAC, provide input to project planning and identify requirements • Request guidance re: SWP policies • Provide SWM report for review and comment/approval



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submit draft ESR for review and comment
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species at Risk Information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Invitation to participate on TAC • Solicit feedback at key decision-making points • Hold individual meetings as necessary
York Region Transit/VIVA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of transit supportive facilities, enhanced transit service • Transit connectivity to surrounding existing/future regional network 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Invitation to participate on TAC • Solicit feedback at key decision-making points • Hold individual meetings as necessary
Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heritage Planning Unit • Archaeology Programs Unit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archaeological resources • Built heritage and/or cultural heritage landscapes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue Stage 1 and 2 archaeological assessment (AA) and cultural heritage reports for review and comment • Obtain acceptance of the AAs to the Registrar
Utilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hydro One • Bell • Enbridge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential conflicts/relocations/new infrastructure • Property/easement requirements • Clearance zones 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate on project notifications • Invitation to participate on TAC • Request/obtain 'as constructed' drawings at study onset • Provide preliminary plans/concepts and solicit feedback early in the process and at key decision-making points (as appropriate) • Hold individual/utility coordination meetings as necessary
Indigenous Communities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alderville First Nation • Curve Lake First Nation • Hiawatha First Nation • Mississaugas of Scugog Island • Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation • Huron-Wendat National Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rights to harvest, fish, trap and gather species of plants, animals and insects for any purpose including food, social, ceremonial, trade and exchange purposes. • Rights to use the water and resources from the rivers, creeks and lands across traditional territory • Environmental investigations, including ecology and archaeology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow Indigenous Community Consultation Protocol (if any) • Circulate on all study notifications • Carry out follow-up telephone conversations to confirm receipt of notifications, study information and environmental reports, as well as discuss and comments/concerns with study • Work with City regarding requests to participate in environmental/archaeological field studies, if any

3.0 COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES

3.1 STAKEHOLDER SCAN

Stakeholders may include individuals, groups, or organizations who may be affected by a decision, activity, or outcome of a project. A review for potential stakeholders helps the study team to understand



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

the community context by identifying interested persons, assessing their concerns early in the process, and help to develop targeted strategies for effective information sharing. Stantec will conduct a stakeholder scan based on information provided by the City.

3.2 STUDY CONTACT LIST

A comprehensive study contact list, including representatives from relevant agencies, utilities, community organizations, landowners, developers, Indigenous Communities and other Interested Persons, is provided in **Appendix A** of this plan. This list will be maintained by Stantec throughout the study. Under the *Freedom of Information, Protection and Privacy Act*, all contact information collected during this study will not be shared (beyond study team members).

3.3 STUDY NOTIFICATIONS

The purpose and timing of notifications to be posted as part of this study is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Study Notifications

Notice	Purpose/Activities
Commencement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide clear project overview and objectives, study area, opportunities for public involvement, project website link, project email address (if required) and key project contacts. • Mail/Email to stakeholders, agencies and Indigenous Communities (Stantec); internal City staff (City) • Newspaper postings (City) • Drop off at properties within study area (Stantec) • Append invitation to agency representatives (MTO, York Region, TRCA, YRT, etc) to participate on Technical Agency Committee). • Append invitation to community stakeholders to participate in Stakeholder Group (SG) • Issue Project Information Form and Notice of Commencement to MECP: eanotification.cregion@ontario.ca
Public Information Centre 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide date, location and general purpose of PIC • Mail/Email to stakeholders, agencies and Indigenous Communities (Stantec); internal City staff (City) • Newspaper postings (City) • Drop off at properties within study area
Public Information Centre 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide date, location and general purpose of PIC 1 • Mail/Email to stakeholders, agencies and Indigenous Communities (Stantec); internal City staff (City) • Newspaper postings (City) • Drop off at properties within study area
Study Completion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide an overview of Preferred Design, ESR viewing locations, 30-day review notice, and Part II Order request information. • Mail/email to Stakeholders, Agencies and Indigenous Communities (Stantec); Internal City Staff (City); Newspaper (City) • Drop off at properties within study area • Issue Notice of Completion to MECP: eanotification.cregion@ontario.ca



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

Draft notifications will be provided to the City for review at least 3 weeks in advance of publication/distribution date.

3.4 PROJECT WEBSITE

Notices will also be posted on the following City social media platforms:

- The City's website: <https://www.vaughan.ca/BassProMillsEA>
- The City's twitter page (@City_of_Vaughan)

3.5 NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEMENTS

All public and newspaper advertisements will be posted in the Vaughan Citizen. PIC notices will be posted for two (2) consecutive weeks in advance of PICs. The City will be responsible for arranging for these newspaper postings.

3.6 PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRES

Two (2) PICs will be held to share information and solicit feedback from the public, agencies and other stakeholders. Table 3 provides an overview of the information to be presented at each PIC.

Table 3: Public Information Centres Schedule

Meeting	Timing	Purpose
PIC 1	September 2020	To present and solicit feedback on: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Project Background• Study Approach/Municipal Class EA Process• Existing Conditions• Problem and Opportunity Statement• Technical Constraints and Opportunities• Evaluation Criteria and Process• Alternative Solutions and Preferred Solution
PIC 2	January 2021	To present and solicit feedback on: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Project Background• Identification and Evaluation of Alternative Designs• Recommended Design Concept• Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures• Next Steps

In general PICs will be held in an open house format to support one-on-one dialogue between members of the public, stakeholders and the study team, and encourage in-depth discussion with concerned stakeholders regarding issues that are relevant to them. Key study team members will be in attendance at each PIC to respond to questions and participate in conversations.



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

3.7 STAKEHOLDER GROUP

A Stakeholder Group (SG) will be established at the outset of the study to initiate meetings at key study milestones to ensure that representatives from the community who have a good understanding of local conditions are involved in the decision-making process. At this time, it is anticipated that SG members would include representatives from the Weston Downs Ratepayers Association, local businesses, developers, and the City. The City’s Project Manager will manage communications with the SG.

In general, SG meetings will include a presentation from the study team followed by general discussion/question and answer period. One of the meetings may be held as a workshop session prior to PIC2 to review the evaluation of alternative design concepts and develop the recommended design, prior to presentation to the public, so that any ideas generated through this process can be incorporated into the recommended design for presentation at the PIC. Specific details regarding the format of the workshop can be further developed as the study progresses.

Information will be shared throughout the study process to ensure that SG participants have an opportunity to review information and provide input at key study milestones (e.g., PIC displays, study documentation, etc.), prior to presentation to the broader public. An invitation to join the SG will be distributed to selected stakeholders in conjunction with the Notice of Study Commencement.

Based on the responses received, the following stakeholders will be included in the SG:

- [Redacted]

At this time, SG meetings are envisaged to be held as summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Summary of Stakeholder Group Meetings

Meeting/ Activity	Timing	Purpose
SG 1	Project Outset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project background • Problem/Opportunity Statement • Transportation and traffic analysis • Safety issues • Design criteria • Study approach/methodology to address issues • Existing conditions • Input to evaluation criteria



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

SG 2	Pre-PIC1 Evaluation of Alternative Solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Problem/Opportunity Statement • Alternative Solutions • Evaluation of Alternative Solutions • Recommended solution
Email	Pre-PIC1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide SG with digital copy of draft PIC displays • Solicit feedback
SG 2A	Post-PIC1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tentative – if preferred solution is significantly different from recommended alternative
Email	Pre-PIC2 Alternative Design Concepts Impacts and Mitigation Measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide SG with alternative design concepts considered and potential impacts and mitigation measures • Solicit feedback
SG 3	Pre-PIC2 Evaluation of Alternative Designs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation of Alternative Solutions • Alternative crossing treatments • Identify recommended design
Email	Pre-PIC2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide SG with digital copy of draft PIC displays, including alternative design concepts, evaluation overview, and the recommended design (plan and profile, typical sections etc.)
SG 3A	Post-PIC2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tentative – if preferred design is significantly different from recommended alternative
Email	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide SG with digital copy of preliminary design
SG 3B	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tentative – if required, to discuss final draft/preliminary preferred design
Draft ESR	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circulate draft ESR

3.8 TECHNICAL AGENCY COMMITTEE

A Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) will be established at the outset of the study to meet at key milestones to: provide technical input into existing study area conditions; confirm requirements for the project; and, review and provide feedback on the alternatives and preliminary design. An invitation to join the TAC will be distributed to selected agencies, municipal representatives, utilities, and other stakeholders in conjunction with the Notice of Study Commencement. The City's Project Manager will manage communications with the SG.

Based on the responses received, the following stakeholders will be included in the TAC:

Name	Role
Hilda Esedebe	Project Manager, Transportation Planning
Christian Guerette	Legal Counsel
Shahrzad Davoudi-Strike	Urban Designer
Cynthia Patterson	Real Estate
Margie Chung	Traffic Services
Frank Marzo	Policy Planning
Fausto Filippetto	Policy Planning (long Range)
David Marcucci	Policy Planning (OMB Policy)
Ruth Rendon	Policy Planning (Environmental Planner)
Nicholas Cascone	Policy Planning (Environmental Planner)



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

Dorothy Kowpak	Active Transportation
Petr Emelianov	Active Transportation
Selma Hubjer	Manager, Transportation Planning
Katrina Guy	Cultural Heritage Coordinator
Carlos Couto	Infrastructure Planning
Christopher Tam	Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning
Frank Facchini	Infrastructure Delivery
Justin Wong	Asset Management
Steve Mota	Region of York, Transportation & Infrastructure Planning Branch
Lauren Crawford	Region of York
Manirul Islam	TRCA, Planner, Infrastructure Planning and Permits
Heather Glass	MTO, Sr. Project Engineer, Planning & Design

At this time, TAC meetings are envisaged to be held as summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Summary of Technical Agency Committee Meetings

Meeting/ Activity	Timing	Purpose
TAC #1	Project Outset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project background • Problem/Opportunity Statement • Transportation and traffic analysis • Safety issues • Design criteria • Study approach/methodology to address issues • Existing conditions • Input to evaluation criteria
TAC #2	Pre-PIC1 Evaluation of Alternative Solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Problem/Opportunity Statement • Alternative Solutions • Evaluation of Alternative Solutions • Recommended solution
Email	Pre-PIC1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide TAC with digital copy of draft PIC displays • Solicit feedback
TAC #2A	Post-PIC1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tentative – if preferred solution is significantly different from recommended alternative
Email	Pre-PIC2 Alternative Design Concepts Impacts and Mitigation Measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide TAC with alternative design concepts considered and potential impacts and mitigation measures • Solicit feedback
TAC #3	Pre-PIC2 Evaluation of Alternative Designs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation of Alternative Solutions • Alternative crossing treatments • Identify recommended design
Email	Pre-PIC2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide TAC with digital copy of draft PIC displays, including alternative design concepts, evaluation overview, and the recommended design (plan and profile, typical sections etc.)
TAC #3A	Post-PIC2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tentative – if preferred design is significantly different from recommended alternative



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Strategies

Email	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provide TAC with digital copy of preliminary design
TAC #3B	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tentative – if required, to discuss final draft/preliminary preferred design
Draft ESR	Pre-ESR Filing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Circulate draft ESR

Meetings will include a presentation followed by a question-and-answer session and general discussion.

3.9 INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS

One-on-one meetings may be required to supplement the planned consultation activities/group meetings. Individual meetings with stakeholders, property owners, business operators, developers, relevant agencies and utilities as needed. These individual/face-to-face meetings will help to sharpen the focus on key issues/concerns/opportunities outside the broader SG/TAC/PIC setting.

3.10 COUNCIL, SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM, CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM MEETINGS

It is important to keep the City Senior Management Team, Corporate Management Teams and Council/Council Committee aware of the study status. Presentations to support the City Project Manager in providing project updates and recommendations at briefing meetings and/or presentation to Councillors and senior management will be prepared, including PIC materials and ESR findings and recommendations. Relevant staff/Council members will be included on the study contact list and circulated on all notifications. All communications will be directed through the City's Project Manager.

3.11 CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING/ISSUES MANAGEMENT

Clear documentation of how questions, comments and issues raised by the public, agencies and/or Indigenous Communities have influenced project planning, and how issues have been managed must be included in the ESR. All questions and comments received at/following PICs, including those received via telephone, mail or email will be documented within a project database. This database will include: contact information (name, address, email, telephone number); issue raised; action taken by the study team; and, commitments to include in the ESR. This database/issues management log will be maintained on a shared server system (SharePoint) so that all team members have access for monitoring and updating.

3.12 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT REPORT

Thorough documentation of all public, agency and Indigenous Community correspondence, consultation events and activities, as well as how issues were managed throughout the course of the study will be compiled within a report, including: copies of all correspondence; meeting agenda and minutes; PIC displays/materials; and, a record of all feedback received throughout the process and how input influenced project planning. This report will form an appendix to the Environmental Study Report.



CONSULTATION PLAN

Indigenous Communities Consultation

3.13 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT

The draft Environmental Study Report (ESR) will be provided to the following agencies for review and comment prior to filing the final ESR for public review:

- Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks
- York Region
- Toronto Region Conservation Authority

At this time, it is anticipated that each of these review agencies will require a minimum of 4-6 weeks to review the draft ESR. The Final ESR will be available in hard copy in appropriate City library locations, and at the City of Vaughan's Clerks office. Digital copies of the final ESR will be available for review on the project website.

4.0 INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES CONSULTATION

The following Indigenous Communities are anticipated to have an interest in this study. However, Indigenous Communities interests will be confirmed in consultation with MECP, as well as communication with these communities:

- Alderville First Nation
- Curve Lake First Nation
- Hiawatha First Nation
- Mississaugas of Scugog Island
- Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation
- Huron-Wendat National Council

Stantec will issue a letter to MECP to introduce the project, the Indigenous Communities to be contacted as part of the study and a map of the study area, to solicit their review and approval of the initial Indigenous Communities contact list. Consultation with Indigenous Communities will include circulation of project notifications and follow-up telephone conversation to ensure project information has been received, and to discuss concerns/interests. All correspondence and/or communications with Indigenous Communities be documented within an Indigenous Consultation Log and appended to the Engagement Report and Environmental Study Report. Where requested, project information, such as PIC displays and archaeological and/or natural heritage reports, will be issued to Indigenous Communities.

5.0 COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL

Table 6 outlines the proposed actions to be undertaken during the course of this study to ensure that all study team members are responsive to comments and/or concerns.



CONSULTATION PLAN

Communication Protocol

Table 6: Summary of Communication Protocol

Communication	Action
Telephone Call	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Log the date and time of call • Prepare written record of name, phone number and address of caller • Offer to include name and address on the study mailing list • Prepare written record of the questions posed and responses provided • Issue copy of written record to the City
Complex Questions and/or Sensitive Inquiries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank them for their interest in the project • Indicate that a response will be provided in writing • Discuss the question with other study team members and prepare draft written response • Finalize in formal response letter format and review with City • cc City Project Manager on all formal letter responses
Email Inquiry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue prompt response acknowledging receipt of the email and indicating that a response will be provided • Initial response: <i>"This email response is to acknowledge that your email has been received by the study team. A response will be provided to you shortly."</i> • Prepare draft response and issue to City for review/consideration • Finalize response and issue to respondent within 5 business days of receipt of inquiry • Attach PDF/letter response if formal response warranted • Offer to include name and address on the study mailing list
Questions of field staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Field staff to carry business cards and are not to answer questions about the project • In response to inquiries during fieldwork, staff will respond that they have no information, although they have been instructed to forward all questions to the study team. The following response would also be provided: <i>"Stantec has been retained by the City to undertake a Schedule C Municipal Class Environmental Assessment to assess the opportunity to extend Bass Pro Mills Drive westerly to Weston Road. If you require additional information, please contact Stantec's or the City's Project Manager"</i> • Provide the individual with the business card(s) • If asked specific questions about what field staff are doing, they should respond by saying that they have been instructed to refer all questions to Stantec's or the City's PM • Field staff to note all comments/inquiries received in the field and immediately report to Stantec's PM, who will then advise the City's PM • Do not answer questions or make comments about the project
Media Enquiry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All media enquiries are to be directed to the City's designated contact: Hilda Esedebe, P.Eng., MBA, M.Sc. Transportation Project Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Corporate Asset Management City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive, Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1 Phone: 905-832-8585 ext. 8484 Email: hilda.esedebe@vaughan.ca
Communication with Agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record all discussions and save/file all correspondence • Forward all records to the City's PM



CONSULTATION PLAN

Study Team Contacts

Communication	Action
Requests for Plans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests for plans will be handled on a case-by case basis • Plans requested by agencies/ utilities will be provided with plans that are clearly labelled PRELIMINARY

6.0 STUDY TEAM CONTACTS

The key members of the study team and associated contact information is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Key Study Team Members

City of Vaughan	Stantec
Hilda Esedebe Transportation Project Manager Infrastructure Planning and Corporate Asset Management 905-832-8585, ext. 8484 hilda.esedebe@vaughan.ca	Peter Cholewa Senior Project Manager Direct: 905 415-6358 Mobile: 437 235-7731 peter.cholewa@stantec.com
	Diana Addley Senior Environmental Planner Direct: 905 415-6401 Mobile: 647 588-7112 diana.addley@stantec.com

