CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 21, 2015

Item 41, Report No. 17, of the Committee of the Whole which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on April 21, 2015.

Regional Councillor Ferri declared an interest with respect to this matter, as his son is employed by a legal firm that represents landowners which may be impacted in the decision, and did not take part in the discussion or vote on the matter.

41 REQUEST TO SUPPORT RICHMOND HILL'S COURT CHALLENGE OF THE ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD'S DECISION REGARDING PARKLAND DEDICATION POLICIES ALL WARDS

The Committee of the Whole recommends:

- 1) That the recommendation contained in the following report of the Interim Commissioner of Legal & Administrative Services/City Solicitor, dated April 14, 2015, be approved; and
- 2) That the deputation of Mr. Kevin Hanit, Queensbridge Drive, Concord, be received.

Recommendation

The Interim Commissioner of Legal & Administrative Services/City Solicitor in consultation with the Commissioner of Planning recommends:

 That Council direct the City Solicitor and staff to support the Town of Richmond Hill's challenge of the Ontario Municipal Board's decision under OMB File No. PL1101189 regarding parkland dedication policies by seeking leave to intervene in any court proceedings relating thereto.

Contribution to Sustainability

This report is consistent with the priorities previously set by Council in the Active Together Master Plan and in Green Directions Vaughan, Community Sustainability Environmental Master Plan, Goal 2, Objective 2.2:

 To develop Vaughan as a City with maximum green space and urban form that supports our expected population growth

Economic Impact

A review is being undertaken to estimate the potential revenue loss if the OMB's Richmond Hill parkland dedication decision is applied to Vaughan, which is expected to be a significant amount.

Communications Plan

The Town of Richmond Hill will be advised of Council's decision.

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's instructions with respect to the Town of Richmond Hill's request that other municipalities support its appeal of the Ontario Municipal Board ("OMB") decision regarding parkland dedication policies, by intervening as a friend of the court.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 21, 2015

Item 41, CW Report No. 17 - Page 2

Background - Analysis and Options

Following a lengthy hearing on the Town of Richmond Hill ("Richmond Hill") Official Plan policies respecting parkland dedication, the OMB issued a decision on January 15, 2015. The OMB determined that where Richmond Hill applies the alternate parkland dedication rate of 1 ha per 300 units authorized by the *Planning Act* (the "Act"), a cap of twenty-five (25%) percent of the developable area of the site or the cash-in-lieu equivalent must be applied. The OMB's decision requires that this cap be applied regardless of the area of the site, the density of the development, or the number of units proposed.

The Vaughan Official Plan 2010 is currently under appeal before the OMB, including the parkland dedication policies, and the City of Vaughan uses the same alternate rate calculation as Richmond Hill. If the OMB decision is not overturned, there is potential that the OMB could impose the inclusion of a similar cap on the City's policies, as well as in other municipal Official Plans. It is staff's view that the OMB exceeded its jurisdiction by imposing the cap.

The Province has recently tabled Bill 73, an Act to amend the *Development Charges Act* and the *Planning Act*. Among the many amendments proposed to the *Planning Act* are amendments to Section 42 respecting Parkland Dedication. Under the current Act, the Province allows municipalities to impose an "alternative parkland dedication rate" for residential development, up to 1 ha/300 units. Under Bill 73, this rate would be reduced to 1ha/500 units. The Province's introduction of Bill 73 in the Provincial Legislature represents the appropriate means for making any changes to the rate for parkland dedication.

The Town of Richmond Hill has filed a request with the OMB for a review of the decision under section 43 of the *Ontario Municipal Board Act*. This is a request for the OMB to review its own decision. Richmond Hill also filed a motion for leave to appeal to the Divisional Court under section 96 of the *Ontario Municipal Board Act*. The appeal to the Divisional Court cannot proceed unless the Court grants leave. The test for leave to appeal requires Richmond Hill to demonstrate that:

- i) The proposed appeal raises an issue of law:
- ii) The proposed appeal raises an issue of sufficient importance to warrant the attention of the Court:
- iii) There is some reason to doubt the correctness of the Board's decision.

Part ii) of the test requires that the Richmond Hill demonstrate that there is a broader public interest in the proposed appeal, and to that end, Richmond Hill has requested that other municipalities intervene as "friends of the court' to further emphasize to the Court how important this matter is to municipalities across the province. Vaughan's participation will help to show that the OMB decision may have an impact on all Ontario municipalities that adopt Official Plan policies respecting parkland dedication. The City of Mississauga, Town of Oakville and the City of Markham have formally indicated their intention to support Richmond Hill by applying for intervener status.

If the OMB were to order a cap on parkland acquired as a condition of development approval in Vaughan, the City would not meet its parkland standards, and would have to seek to meet its parkland needs through another acquisition and funding strategy. As development charges cannot be used for parkland acquisition and other revenue tools for this purpose are limited, it is likely that the burden to maintain the same standard of parkland delivery for new residents and communities would primarily fall on the tax base. The cap would impact areas of intensification, reducing the City's ability to secure necessary parkland or collection of cash-in-lieu funds to support the expected population growth. The impacts of this decision would result in reduced service levels and could begin to create parkland gaps in priority communities.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 21, 2015

Item 41, CW Report No. 17 - Page 3

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

This report is in keeping with the priorities previously established by Council.

Regional Implications

None

Conclusion

The OMB decision in Richmond Hill could establish a precedent for appeals in other municipalities, and it is very likely that developers will seek to have a similar cap imposed through the current appeal of the City's new Official Plan. It is therefore recommended that the City seek to intervene as a "friend of the court" in Richmond Hill's motion for Leave to Appeal, and all of the court proceedings that follow.

Attachments

Attachments #1 - Letter to Honorable Mayor Maurizio Bevilacqua

Report prepared by:

Heather A. Wilson Interim Commissioner of Legal & Administrative Services/City Solicitor

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

Regional Councillor Ferri declared an interest with respect to the foregoing matter, as his son is employed by a legal firm that represents landowners which may be impacted in the decision, and did not take part in the discussion or vote on the matter.